XII POPULATION AND HOUSING

Analysis

a. Would the project induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

NI The 2002 Initial Study indicated that following:

> These projects do not increase the water supply to the City and, therefore, will not induce any growth. The City of Calistoga has a population of approximately 5,500. The pattern and amount of growth projected by the City's General Plan is designed to integrate with the existing built environment without disruption to the established community. The proposed project is designed to improve the system of treatment and delivery of water but not to increase the amount of water that the City of Calistoga receives. While development of the Feige Well treatment plant in Component 5 will allow for production from the well at historic levels and improved water quality, Feige Well capacity only serves as a reserve resource during a drought, reduced delivery of California Water Project water, or a local disruption in service delivery. Therefore, implementation of the proposed improvements will not induce growth because it will not increase the average normal amount of water available to the City of Calistoga.

As indicated elsewhere, the addition of the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank does not increase the amount of water available to the City. The proposed facilities serve to increase the reliability of treatment but do not increase water withdrawals or storage. The original conclusion that the project does not induce population growth remains valid.

b. Would the project displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

NI No housing would be displaced by the project.

c. Would the project displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

NI The project will not displace any people.

Cumulative Impacts

There are no adverse cumulative environmental impacts to population and housing resulting from implementation of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures

No adverse environmental impacts to population and housing have been identified; therefore, no mitigation is required.

XIII PUBLIC SERVICES

Analysis

a. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

i. Fire protection?

NI Improvements to the City's water delivery and treatment system under the original project benefit fire protection by replacing obsolescent mains with new pipes and other infrastructure to ensure a reliable delivery of water for fire protection. The proposed additions at the Kimball Treatment Plant will not have any negative impacts to fire protection.

ii. Police protection?

NI The proposed additions at the Kimball Treatment Plant will have no impact on police protection.

iii. Schools?

NI The proposed additions at the Kimball Treatment Plant will have no impact on schools.

iv. Parks?

NI The proposed additions at the Kimball Treatment Plant will have no impact on parks.

v. Other public facilities?

NI The proposed additions at the Kimball Treatment Plant will not negatively impact other public facilities.

Cumulative Impacts

There are no adverse cumulative environmental impacts to public services resulting from implementation of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures

No adverse environmental impacts to public services have been identified; therefore, no mitigation is required.

XIV RECREATION

Analysis

a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

NI As indicated in the 2002 Initial Study:

> The proposed improvements to the City's water delivery and treatment system would provide a more reliable supply of clean water. The use of parks and recreational facilities will not intensify since the amount of water to be supplied will not increase.

The proposed additions at the Kimball Treatment Plant will have no negative impact to recreational facilities.

b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

NI The project does not include recreational facilities.

Cumulative Impacts

There are no adverse cumulative environmental impacts to recreation resulting from implementation of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures

No adverse environmental impacts to recreation have been identified; therefore, no mitigation is required.

XV TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

Analysis

a. Would the project cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?

LS The project will not cause an increase in traffic. The third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank do not increase water treatment capacity and are not growth inducing. There will not be any significant increase in trips to and from the Kimball Treatment Plant from implementation of the project.

b. Would the project exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

NI As indicated in the 2002 Initial Study:

> The proposed improvements to the City's water delivery and treatment system would not have a significant effect on the existing traffic load or capacity of the local street system. The proposed project areas are located at the terminus of rural roads (Components 3, 4, and 5) or within rural roads (Components 1 and 2) where levels of service do not exceed A on a scale of A-F, best-to-worst condition. During construction, the amount of vehicles trips may increase above existing levels during peak hours but not enough to change the level of service because of the relatively small scale of construction of each of the project components and the temporary nature of the work. For instance... components 3 and 4 would involve less than ten additional vehicle trips a day during installation of the improvements... Construction of each project component can be accomplished without stoppage of traffic for significant time periods. After construction, the proposed project probably would reduce vehicle trips for daily operation of the improved portions of the water system because of the need for less maintenance vehicle trips made in response to care for the present obsolescent elements of the water system. Therefore, the project will not have a significant effect on the existing traffic load or capacity of the local street system during construction due to the remote location of the project areas, the relatively small scale of construction on a daily basis, and the low service level on local roads. After construction, the improvements may reduce the need for service calls and, consequently, maintenance vehicle trips on local roads.

As indicated in a.) above, the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank will not increase trips to and from the Kimball Treatment Plant in any significant way. The original conclusions that the project will not effect a level of service standard remain valid.

c. Would the project result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

NI The project will have no impact on air traffic patterns.

d. Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

NI All appropriate design elements shall be incorporated into the project to ensure that it will not increase hazards due to design features.

e. Would the project result in inadequate emergency access?

NI With respect to Components 1 and 2, the 2002 Initial Study identified Impact XV(d-e)(1) as construction of those components occurred in roadways. Construction of other components was not expected to impede emergency access. Similarly, construction of the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank will occur within the Kimball Treatment Plant and will not impede emergency access.

f. Would the project result in inadequate parking capacity?

NI The project will have no permanent impact on parking. There is adequate space at the Kimball Treatment Plant for construction worker parking.

g. Would the project conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

NI The project will have no impact on alternative forms of transportation.

Cumulative Impacts

There are no adverse cumulative environmental impacts to transportation/traffic resulting from implementation of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures

No adverse environmental impacts to transportation and parking have been identified; therefore, no mitigation is required.

XVI UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

Analysis

a. Would the project exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?

NI The 2002 Initial Study indicated that:

> The proposed project entails the improvement of the City's water delivery and treatment system. It will not increase the amount of water that the City consumes. Therefore, the project will not exceed the wastewater treatment requirement of the RWQCB.

Similarly, the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank are not growth inducing and will not generate wastewater. Therefore, it will have no impact on wastewater treatment requirements or volumes.

b. Would the project require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

NI The 2002 Initial Study concluded:

> Construction of the proposed project will not require the construction of new water facilities because the project consists of new facilities to improve the delivery and treatment of City water without increasing its supply. This Initial Study identifies potential environmental effects of the project and advances mitigations to reduce all impacts to levels of insignificance. Please refer to the body of this study for details. As indicated in subsection a above, the proposed project will not have a significant effect on City wastewater facilities because the improvements affect delivery and treatment of water without increasing the amount of water.

As was the case with the projects identified in the 2002 Initial Study, the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank project is not growth inducing and will not increase demand for water nor will it generate wastewater. It will not require or necessitate the expansion of either water or wastewater treatment facilities beyond those proposed herein.

c. Would the project require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

NI Implementation of the proposed project will not result in any impacts to storm water drainage facilities.

d. Would the project have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?

NI The project is intended to add redundancy to the water treatment facilities by adding additional filtration and filtration backwash capacity. The new third filter unit and

filter-to-waste tank will ensure that adequate water supply is available to City residents. No new water entitlements will be required.

e. Would the project result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

NI The project will have no impact on wastewater treatment.

f. Would the project be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

LS Project waste associated with the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank is anticipated to be minor in quantity and to be qualified for disposal at a local Class III facility. The project will not have any significant impact to solid waste disposal.

f. Would the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

NI The project will comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

Cumulative Impacts

There are no adverse cumulative environmental impacts to utilities and service systems resulting from implementation of the proposed project.

Mitigation Measures

No adverse environmental impacts to utilities and service systems have been identified; therefore, no mitigation is required.

XVII MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? **No.**

b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? **No.**

c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? **No.**

DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

☐ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Charlene Gallina — *Signature*

March 24, 2008 — *Date*

Charlene Gallina — *Printed Name*

For: City of Calistoga

DOCUMENT PREPARATION AND SOURCES

California Department of Mines and Geology. Regional Geologic Map Series. Santa Rosa Quadrangle—Map No. 2A. Sheet 5 of 5.

California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines. 2000.

Erosion and Sediment Control Field Manual. 1996. Bay Area Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Fault-rupture Hazard Zones in California. Special Publication 42. Revised 1997. Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology. 1983.

Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work Zones. Caltrans. 1985.

Paleontological Collecting. 1987. National Academy Press. Washington, DC.

Prepared by:

Justin Witt—Environmental Planner

APPENDIX A

MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN

APPENDIX A: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN

City of Calistoga
Water Treatment and Distribution Project

Pursuant to Section 21081.6 of the State CEQA Guidelines,[1] the mitigation measures listed in this Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (MMRP) are to be implemented as part of the proposed project. The MMRP identifies the time at which each mitigation measure is to be implemented and the person or entity responsible for implementation. The initials of the designated responsible person will indicate completion of their portion of the mitigation measure. The City of Calistoga (City) Project Manager's signature on the Certification of Compliance will indicate complete implementation of the MMRP.

The mitigation measures included in the MMRP are considered conditions of approval of the proposed project. The City agrees to implement the mitigation measures proposed in the MMRP. Implementation of the mitigation measures included in the MMRP is expected to avoid, minimize, rectify, reduce, or compensate potentially significant impacts to a less than significant level.

TIME OF IMPLEMENTATION

Project Design:	The mitigation measure will be incorporated into the project design and/or included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.
Pre-construction:	The mitigation measure will be implemented prior to project construction.
Construction:	The mitigation measure will be implemented during construction.

RESPONSIBLE PERSONS AND DEPARTMENTS

The City will be responsible for the overall implementation of the MMRP. Generally, the City's Project Manager will sign off on the mitigation measures included in the MMRP. Periodically, other City staff or regulatory agencies will be involved in the implementation of specific mitigation measures. In these instances, the staff, department, or agency will be identified in the MMRP.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

The City will be responsible for providing signatures on the Certification of Compliance. The Certification of Compliance is a double-check to ensure that the MMRP was fully implemented.

RECORD KEEPING

The City's Project Manager will maintain the records of the MMRP. When the MMRP is fully implemented, the original signed copy will be maintained by the City.

1 California Code of Regulations Title 14.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

Complete the Certification of Compliance after mitigation measures have all been initialed. Use this Certification of Compliance to ensure the full implementation of each mitigation measure.

Project Design

City's Project Manager has reviewed the project design, the plans, and the contract special provisions to verify that designated mitigation measures have been incorporated.

_____

Signature & title *Date*

Pre-construction

City's Project Manager has verified that designated mitigation measures were implemented prior to construction.

_____

Signature & title *Date*

Construction

City's Project Manager has verified that designated mitigation measures were implemented during construction.

_____

Signature & title *Date*

AIR QUALITY

Mitigation III(a-c)(1) *To reduce construction-related emissions, applicable BAAQMD Basic and Enhanced Control Measures controls shall be implemented at all construction sites. Specific controls to be implemented include the following:*

- *Water all active construction areas at least twice daily.*
- *Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least two feet of freeboard.*
- *Pave, apply water three times daily, or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas and staging areas at construction sites.*
- *Sweep daily (with water sweepers) all paved access roads, parking areas and staging areas at construction sites.*
- *Sweep streets daily (with water sweepers) if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent public streets.*
- *Hydroseed or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers to inactive construction areas (previously graded areas inactive for ten days or more).*
- *Enclose, cover, water twice daily or apply (non-toxic) soil binders to exposed stockpiles (dirt, sand, etc.).*
- *Limit traffic speeds on unpaved roads to 15 mph.*
- *Install sandbags or other erosion control measures to prevent silt runoff to public roadways.*
- *Replant vegetation in disturbed areas as quickly as possible.*
- *Construction equipment shall be maintained in accordance with manufacturers' specifications.*
- *To the extent feasible, construction equipment shall be left idling for periods of more than 10 minutes.*

The measures detailed above shall be incorporated into the Construction Management Plan prepared for the project by the project sponsor and reviewed and approved by the City Planning and Building Director.

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.

Initials *Date*

Construction: City's Project Manager or Construction Observer shall insure that the mitigation is being complied with during construction.

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. shall insure that the mitigation is being complied with during construction.

Initials *Date*

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Mitigation V(a-d)(1) *In the event that previously unknown archaeological resources are discovered during any land alterations, the construction crew will cease work immediately in the discovery area (i.e., within 20 meters). A qualified archaeologist approved by the City of Calistoga shall be consulted to evaluate the resource in accordance with State and Federal guidelines. If prehistoric Native American remains are discovered, the State Native American Heritage Commission and affected Native American groups shall be notified according to State regulations. Mitigation measures consistent with CEQA Section 21083.2 will be devised and a mitigation plan submitted for approval of the City of Calistoga Department of Planning and Building. All archaeological activities will be conducted in accordance with prevailing professional standards as outlined in CEQA section [21083.2]. Mitigation according to the City of Calistoga Planning and Building Department will be implemented before recommencement of work within the area of the resource discovery.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design:

City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Construction:

City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is implemented during construction by having a qualified staff person or construction observer present during ground disturbing work.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is implemented during construction by having a qualified staff person or construction observer present during ground disturbing work.

_____

Initials *Date*

GEOLOGY & SOILS

Mitigation VI(a)(iii)

1. *The project sponsor shall secure a California-certified engineering geologist and civil engineer to provide the project structural engineer with seismic design criteria and recommendations based on State and City requirements for development in areas exposed to moderate-to-severe earthquakes. The recommendations shall be site-specific and approved by City engineer before being incorporated into the final design and the improvements are constructed based on them.*
2. *The project sponsor shall incorporate techniques into the final grading design to reduce the secondary effects of ground shaking on human-made improvements according to Uniform Building Code and City requirements.*
3. *Fill used during construction of the project shall be designed properly with subsurface drainage and compacted adequately to minimize fill settlement.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Construction: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

Mitigation VI(d)

1. *The project sponsor shall secure a California-certified engineering geologist and civil engineer to provide the project structural engineer with normal and seismic design criteria and recommendations based on State and City requirements for development in areas with expansive soils. The recommendations shall be site-specific and approved by City engineer before being incorporated into the final design and the improvements are constructed based on them.*
2. *The project sponsor shall incorporate techniques into the final grading design to reduce the secondary effects of expansive soils on human-made improvements according to Uniform Building Code and City requirements.*
3. *Fill used during construction of the project shall be designed properly to minimize the adverse effects of expansive soils.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Construction: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

HAZARDS/HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Mitigation VII(a-b)(1) *The City shall amend the HMMP, if required, to include the proper transport, storage, use, and remediation of hazardous substances in the construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed project in a manner consistent with the City's amended version of the Uniform Fire Code. Before issuance of an encroachment, grading or building permit for any component of the proposed project, the Planning and Building Director and the Fire Marshal shall review and approve the amended HMMP.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Construction: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is implemented prior to startup of the new facilities.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is implemented prior to startup of the new facilities.

_____

Initials *Date*

Mitigation VII(g-h)(1) *The Construction Management Plan prepared for the project by the project sponsor and reviewed and approved by the City Planning and Building Director shall include fire safety measures to control the type, quantity and use of flammable substances and to prevent construction practices which could result in the introduction of fires to surrounding wildlands. The plan shall be consistent with the provisions of the Uniform Fire Code as amended and adopted by the City of Calistoga. The Construction Management Plan, including the fire safety measures must be reviewed and approved by the Planning and Building Director and the Fire Marshal before issuance of a grading, encroachment, or building permit for any work on Components 1, 2 and 5, and the third filter unit and filter-to-waste tank.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Preconstruction: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Mitigation VII(a)(3) *Before issuance of ~~a building permit~~ the Notice to Proceed for the ~~Feige Well~~ filter-to-waste tank and third filter unit at the Kimball Treatment Plant, the project sponsor shall submit for review and approval of the Planning and Building Director a grading and drainage plan that includes gutters, downleaders, bubblers, bioswales, or other means of capturing and ~~passively treating~~ directing runoff generated by the new facilities before it ~~reaches Cyrus Creek~~ leaves the site.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications prior to awarding a construction contract.

Initials *Date*

Construction: City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

Initials *Date*

NOISE

Mitigation XI(a)1 *The project plans and specifications shall provide for the following:*

1. *All equipment and vehicles used for construction will be maintained in proper mechanical condition with engine mufflers installed.*
2. *Construction equipment such as generators and/or air compressors shall be turned off when not in use.*
3. *Construction activities shall generally be restricted to the hours between 7:00 am and 6:00 pm, Monday through Friday. Noise generating construction activities shall generally be prohibited on Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays. Should special circumstances necessitate performance of construction work outside the hours and days specified herein, the contractor may request and City may approve such work.*

Implementation & Monitoring

Project Design:

City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into the project design and included in the project specifications and contract special provisions prior to awarding a construction contract.

_____

Initials *Date*

Construction:

City's Project Manager will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*

Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. will verify that the mitigation measure is incorporated into project construction, as appropriate.

_____

Initials *Date*