Communication "C"; August 23, 2011 Special Calistoga City Council Meeting Item 1: Final Kimball Reservoir Bypass Plan

Friends of the Napa

68 -B Coombs Street, Napa, CA 94559 Phone 707-254-8520 www.friendsofthenapariver.org info@friendsofthenapariver.org

CITY OF CALISTOGA City Clerk August 22, 2011

City Council, City of Calistoga % Susan Sneddon, City Clerk 1232 Washington Street

AUG 2 3 2011

RECEIVED

Board of Directors

Bernhard Krevet (President) Francie Winnen (Vice-President) Tim Yarish (Vice-President) Myma Abramowicz (Secretary) Chuck Shinnamon (Treasurer)

Karen Bower Turjanis Barry Christian Shari Gardner **David Graves** Jim Hench Tony Norris Arvis Northrop Laurie Puzo Kent Ruppert

Honorary Advisory Board:

Leslie Barnes Moira Johnston Block Suzanne Easton Mel Engle David Garden Roger Hartwell Ralph Ingols Harold Kelly Rudolf Ohlemutz Mike Ribbev Judith Sears Ginny Simms Barbara Stafford

Calistoga, CA 94515 (SSneddon@ci.calistoga.ca.us)

RE: Kimball Reservoir Bypass Plan

Dear City Council:

Friends of the Napa River welcome the opportunity to comment on the Kimball Reservoir Bypass Plan. Our comments are based on the Draft of May 27, 2011, as we did not have a chance to review the Final version of August 19, 2011. Our board member Roger Hartwell, a Fisheries and Wildlife Biologist, provided the analysis.

We understand that in response to California Fish & Game (CDFG), the city of Calistoga is developing a Kimball Dam Bypass Plan to provide flow below the dam during periods it normally occurs and thereby mimic the natural hydrograph. This is in keeping with DFG code 5937 that requires enough water to be passed by a dam to maintain the normal fishery that would exist downstream were the dam not there. The City of Calistoga must balance this bypassed water with the city's need for a public water supply. The bypass schedule is based on inflow, water year, and date. According to a fishery assessment done in 1997 by Rob Leidy at three sites around Kimball Reservoir, it appears Calistoga will be passing enough flow to sustain several species of fish below the dam at critical periods of the year.

To determine more quantitatively if water bypassed at Kimball Dam is sufficient to sustain the fishery, an IFIM (Instream Flow Incremental Methodology) will be performed. This study will develop recommendations for flows ranging from optimum to sustaining conditions for various species of fish in different life stages, but will probably concentrate on spawning and rearing steelhead (oncorhynchus mykiss.)

The City of Calistoga states "The Bypass Schedule is a voluntary decision by the City and not intended to create a "starting point" for further modification." However, should the IFIM show there would be significant benefit from increased flow (higher than have been suggested by Calistoga), CDFG may have legal grounds to press the issue. Finally, Calistoga reserves the right to suspend flows in dry years, which would mimic the natural hydrograph. Such a suspension may find agreement from CDFG.

We feel that the instream flow study is important. It should be tied to adaptive management strategies that will adjust the Schedule of Bypass Flows listed to best manage the fisheries below the dam. If depths, velocities, and substrate below the dam would sustain steelhead based on species connectivity in the Napa River and parameter preference values as found from the many studies done on nearby streams (such as for PG&E's work at the Geysers), absent water quality issues it can be assumed a SH fishery would develop.

By recognizing those issues we would like to encourage Calistoga to complete an IFIM in due course.

and Hoch

Sincerely,

Bernhard Krevet, President, Friends of the Napa River

FONR KimbaliReservoir-2011-08.doc -- Page 1 of 1