# City of Calistoga Staff Report

TO:

Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Dan Takasugi, Public Works Director

DATE:

September 6, 2011

SUBJECT:

Discuss and provide direction regarding a Phased Approach to Inspection

and Repair of Sidewalk Trip Hazards throughout the City

APPROVAL FOR FORWARDING:

Richard D. Spitler, City Manager

,

<u>ISSUE</u>: Discuss and provide direction regarding a Phased Approach to Inspection and Repair of Sidewalk Trip Hazards throughout the City.

3 4 5

1 2

**RECOMMENDATION:** Discuss and provide direction.

6 7

# **BACKGROUND:**

11

12

13

The California Street and Highways Code, under Section 5610-5618 (Attachment B), and the City Municipal Code Section 12.10.020 (Attachment C) place responsibility on the abutting property owner for maintaining in safe condition the sidewalk fronting or adjacent to their property. Historically, the City of Calistoga has enforced the sidewalk repair ordinance by complaint only. The City has not actively inspected sidewalks for trip hazards.

14 15 16

17

18 19 Sidewalk trip hazards may vary from small cracks to abrupt edges. Repair costs vary considerably from one type of trip hazard to another. Once a complaint is received by the City, a code enforcement letter is sent to the abutting property owner, directing a timely repair in accordance with Code Section 12.10.020. The enforcement action is typically not well received and enforcement may require significant staff time and attention.

20 21 22

23

24

25

The City has been drawn into litigation on several trip-and-fall injury cases due to sidewalk trip hazards. Staff has relied upon City Municipal Code 12.10.030, which places the liability for injuries related to sidewalk maintenance upon the abutting property owner. Regardless of whether or not the City has any shared liability in such injury cases, a considerable amount of legal fees and staff time are consumed in defending the claims and litigation.

26 27 28

> 29 30

31

Due to the number of reported "trip and fall" claims in the City these past years, PARSAC, the City's self-insurance authority, has notified the City that it needs to take immediate steps to put a program in place for sidewalk inspection to reduce our liability. This process presumes that we follow up with some type of enforcement action or repair program.

Date: September 6, 2011

Discuss and provide direction regarding a Phased Approach to Inspection and Repair of Sidewalk Trip

Hazards throughout the City

Page 2 of 4

### **DISCUSSION:**

To take on a City-wide sidewalk inspection program will require trip hazard inspection by a qualified contractor and preparation of a report that will indicate where the trip hazards exist. There is concern that this type of report may expose the City to "constructive notice" on possibly hundreds of sidewalk trip hazards, which may increase our liability. Code enforcement letters would need to be sent to abutting property owners, requiring them to make timely repairs to their sidewalks. If the property owners do not make such repairs, then California Streets and Highway Code Section 5614 directs the Public Works Department to make such repairs and place a lien on the property for the cost of the repair.

To avoid the City-wide sidewalk inspection scenario described above, staff proposes a phased inspection and repair program, with a cost share component. Such a phased program is conceptually planned over a period of 4 years, but could take longer depending upon the extent of trip hazards discovered and the degree to which abutting property owners choose to participate in the cost share component. A map of the inspection and repair sectors is shown on Attachment A. Conceptually, one sector would be inspected each of the next 4 fiscal years.

 Sectors were selected and prioritized on the basis of several factors, including:

- Proximity to downtown
- More heavily used walking routes
- Proximity to schools
- Age of sidewalk infrastructure

The inspection and repair program would follow the following general steps within each sector:

a. Sidewalks within a sector will be inspected by a qualified inspection contractor. During that inspection, small trip hazards, less than 1.75-inches in maximum height, will be repaired at City cost.

 b. The inspection contractor will provide a detailed written itemization of trip hazards greater than 1.75-inches in maximum height within that sector, but will not make those repairs. Trip hazards of this larger size typically require the sidewalk to be removed and replaced.

c. The City Public Works Department will issue written notice to those abutting property owners for the larger trip hazards, and offer a 50/50 cost-share (up to a cost limit) to complete the trip hazard repair.

d. Abutting property owners who have been noticed for such larger trip hazards, will have the option of, (1) Performing the sidewalk repair themselves and not participating in the 50/50 cost-share, (2) Selecting their own contractor to perform the repair and submitting the invoice for a 50/50 cost-share reimbursement, or (3)

Date: September 6, 2011

Discuss and provide direction regarding a Phased Approach to Inspection and Repair of Sidewalk Trip

Hazards throughout the City

Page 3 of 4

Partnering with a larger aggregated City sidewalk repair contract and receiving a 50/50 cost-share for their specific sidewalk improvement cost. Note: The City repair contract would need to be performed under prevailing wages, and would likely nullify any economy-of-scale savings for the property owner. In all cases a City encroachment permit will be required.

e. If the noticed abutting property owner does not choose to repair the trip hazard, then the City Public Works Department is obliged by the Streets and Highway Code to make the repair and charge all costs as a lien on the property.

Public Works staff desires to attain quality inspection and concurrent repair for the smaller trip hazards under 1.75-inches in height. After thorough research, staff has determined that a "saw cut" trip hazard repair method is preferable to a "grinding" method. Concrete grinding contractors have a wide variance in quality of performance. It would be very difficult for staff to specify and monitor the quality of trip hazard grinding. Furthermore, concrete grinding leaves a less aesthetic repair, and in certain cases may leave a trip hazard in place. On the other hand, saw cutting leaves a cleaner repair, has a reliable standard of quality, and can be more accurately sloped to meet ADA standards.

 A contracting company, Precision Concrete Cutting (PCC), performs this saw cut repair method for over 30 Bay Area cities, including Santa Rosa, Rohnert Park, and American Canyon. Under the Uniform Construction Cost Accounting Procedures, and because of PCC's patented methods, the City can legally sole source their services up to \$35,000 annually. Staff has determined that the cost per linear foot of trip hazard repair for PCC saw cutting (\$30 per inch-foot) is approximately the same, or possibly lower than the less-aesthetic grinding repair method cost. An "inch-foot" is equivalent to repairing a 1-inch high and 1-foot long trip hazard, or for example, a 0.5-inch high and 2-foot long trip hazard. PCC performs the sidewalk inspection of trip hazards as part of the scoping process to perform saw cut repairs. As such, trip hazard inspection is a minor cost element of the inspection and repair process. Therefore, Staff proposes using PCC to perform the inspection and repair of smaller trip hazards.

A small pilot project with PCC was performed in July 2011 to gauge their effectiveness and workmanship. A sampling of PCC's recent saw-cutting repairs can be seen around the Community Center and City Hall. An executive summary report of the pilot project in included as Attachment D.

While some municipalities will allow asphalt or grout repairs to sidewalk trip hazards, staff recommends against this practice. First, most trip hazards need to be feathered to nearly a "zero taper". This is difficult with asphalt or grout and cannot be expected to last for many years. Also, thin asphalt or grout is prone to breakage and spalling, which is unattractive in appearance and perpetuates the underlying trip hazard. Additionally, the better quality of asphalt is "hot mix", which must be obtained from either Napa or Santa Rosa. It is not typically sold in small batches, and is not often "hot" after being transported for such a distance in a small batch.

Date: September 6, 2011

Discuss and provide direction regarding a Phased Approach to Inspection and Repair of Sidewalk Trip

Hazards throughout the City

Page 4 of 4

Funding for 50/50 cost shares will be disbursed on a first-come, first-served basis. Until the trip hazard inspection for a particular year's sector is complete, it will be difficult to know whether funding will be available for all. However, requested cost-share reimbursements are proposed to be provided on the next available budget appropriation for this purpose.

128 129

130

131

132

133

134

While staff desires that all property owners will choose the 50/50 cost-share for larger trip hazards, it is likely that some will not comply. For those trip hazard repairs on "non-compliant" properties, staff proposes to issue one aggregated contract to make such repairs, itemizing the costs for each repair. The City may not have adequate budget to fulfill their obligation to make repairs and then lien the noticed property. Therefore, some lower priority trip hazard repairs may need to wait until the following fiscal year, when new funding can be budgeted.

135 136 137

138

139

140

141

Staff proposes to issue a \$25,000 contract to PCC to perform inspection and saw cutting repair of trip hazards in "Sector A" during the fall of 2011. The remainder of available budget will be used to fund 50/50 cost-shares with property owners for larger trip hazards, fund City-owned sidewalk repairs, and fund the cost to effect repairs of large trip hazards at non-compliant properties. Staff is also investigating the use of Community Development funding (formerly CDBG grant funds) to lower repair expenses for low-income residents.

142143144

If this conceptual approach, to sidewalk trip hazard inspection and repair, is acceptable to Council, then staff will prepare a policy issue for Council deliberation in the near future.

145 146 147

### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

148 149

150

The sidewalk trip hazard inspection and repair program is budgeted for \$60,000 in FY11/12. Of that amount, \$8,000 is anticipated to be provided in a grant from the City's insurance provider, PARSAC.

151152153

The staff administration of the inspection and repair contract, the code enforcement, the cost-share program, and administering tax liens on non-compliant properties, is expected to impose a substantial staff time burden on City departments.

155156157

154

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

158 159

160

161

- A. Map of Phased Sidewalk Trip Hazard Inspection and Repair Program
- B. Copy of California Street and Highways Code Section 5610-5618
- C. Copy of City of Calistoga Municipal Code Section 12.10
- D. Executive Summary of PCC Pilot Saw Cutting Project in July 2011