February 13, 2012

Mr. Dan Takasugi, PE City of Calistoga 1232 Washington Street Calistoga, CA 94515



Whitlock & Weinberger Transportation, Inc.

490 Mendocino Avenue Suite 201 Santa Rosa, CA 95401

voice 707.542.9500 fax 707.542.9590 web www.w-trans.com

## Response to Comments on the Focused Traffic Impact Analysis for the Brian Arden Winery

Dear Mr. Takasugi;

Whitlock & Weinberger Transportation, Inc. (W-Trans) is in receipt of comments from Carolyn Cole and Mark Crane of Crane Transportation Group contained in a letter addressed to Chuck Meibeyer dated January 28, 2012, relative to the *Focused Traffic Impact Analysis for the Brian Arden Winery*, November 29, 2011. Following is a discussion of each comment (paraphrased and indicated in *italics*) along with our response.

1. Left Turn Lane Warrant: the Washington State DOT Left Turn Lane warrant was used in this study rather than the year 2001 update of the referenced NHRCP Report No. 279 (i.e., the update is NCHRP Report # 457, TRB). However, since Silverado Trail is a county road, Napa County standards should govern the requirement for left turn lanes. Preliminary application of this warrant indicates that a left turn lane would be warranted.

Response: The references cited in the report are those which contain the formulas and methodologies used for this evaluation, so are the appropriate reference. The proposed access driveway is located along a segment of Silverado Trail that is within Calistoga's City Limits; therefore, application of Napa County's left turn lane warrant is not appropriate for this proposed project.

2. Saturday Analysis Needed: The Saturday PM peak hour should be analyzed, as well as the project driveway and Silverado Trail as volumes may be higher during this time period.

Response: A sensitivity analysis was performed on the left-turn lane warrants, and it was determined that weekend peak hour volumes on Silverado Trail would need to be over three times greater than weekday a.m. peak hour volumes and more than double weekday p.m. peak hour volumes to warrant a left-turn lane at the proposed Brian Arden Winery access driveway. Based on previous studies conducted along Silverado Trial, weekend peak hour volumes are slightly lower or equal to volumes experienced during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods. Therefore, the left-turn lane evaluation contained in the Traffic Impact Analysis represents a worst case scenario and adequately addresses the potential need during the Saturday peak periods as well.

3. All Components of Existing and Future Traffic Should be Clearly Identified: The study should provide graphics showing each increment of traffic referenced: existing volumes, future volumes without project, project increment, etc. Traffic volumes must account for all uses, not just the proposed new uses.

Response: The left-turn lane warrant calculations that were provided with the Traffic Impact Analysis display the projected future a.m. and p.m. peak hour volumes, so the data needed to evaluate the project's potential impacts is available. To further clarify the data used, the referenced 2007 machine counts used to evaluate existing conditions are enclosed. As regards to the level of analysis performed, since a turn pocket is not warranted under the worst case condition (Future

plus Project) it can reasonably be concluded that the turn lane would likewise not be warranted for scenarios with lower volumes.

4. New Traffic Counts Needed: The study should not rely on turning movements that are nine years old (August Briggs Traffic Analysis, W-Trans, 2002) and 2007 machine counts. New counts conducted during the weekday PM peak hour and a Saturday peak hour would provide a reasonable basis for this analysis. These volumes could then be factored to present a "worst case," based on historical counts, if needed.

Response: As noted on Page 3 of the Traffic Impact Analysis, a comparison of traffic volumes obtained for various projects in and around Calistoga has shown that volumes collected in 2011 are either equal to or less than volumes collected in 2007 and 2008, so the applied volumes can reasonably be expected to result in a conservative analysis. As regards the reference to 2002 counts, the volumes used were for turns into and out of the project site, and unless the use has changed, there is no reason to expect that the volumes would have, so new counts at this location were deemed unnecessary, especially given the findings of the sensitivity analysis discussed in the response to Comment 2 above.

5. Basis for Future Traffic Projections: The study should state why the County model (Solano-Napa Travel Demand Model) was not used to provide future traffic projections for Silverado Trail: instead of a growth factor.

Response: Based on previous evaluations, it has been determined that volumes produced by the Solano-Napa Travel Demand Model appear unreasonable for the study segment of Silverado Trail as well as Brannan Street and Lincoln Avenue (SR 29). Therefore, a growth factor, which was calculated based on the population growth of the area, was applied to existing volumes to determine future traffic projections.

6. Silver Rose Inn and Winery Access: Is only going to be one "secondary" driveway to the Silver Rose Inn and Winery project 200 yards to the west of the Brian Arden driveway.

Response: Based on the most recent site plan for the Silver Rose Winery and Resort project there is only one secondary access being proposed and it would be located approximately 200 feet (not yards) west of the existing driveway that will provide access to the Brian Arden Winery. Given the distance separating these driveways, adequacy of line-of-sight, and the direction of the offset, operation of both driveways is expected to be acceptable.

We hope this information adequately addresses the comments. Please call if you need any further information.

Sincerely,

Principal

Chris Helmer

Transportation Planner

Dalene J. Whitlock, PE, PTOE

Enclosure: 2007 Machine Count Data

DJW/ch/CAL033.R2C

W-Trans 490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 201 Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Site Code: CAL016 Station ID: Silverado Trail North of Brannon Street

W-Trans 490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 201 Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Site Code: CAL016 Station ID: Silverado Trail North of Brannon Street

15505	Tue South B No	e North Bo	Wed South B North Bo		Thu South B North Bo	F South B	Fri B North Bo	Weekda South B	Weekday Average South B North Bo	South E	Sat North Bo	Sun South B North Bo	ر North Bo
		$\vdash$		_		⊢				-			
_	0	9	*	*	*		*	10		*	*	*	*
	7	7	•	*	*		*	7	9	*	*	*	*
	9	2	*	*	*		*	80		*	*	*	k
	8	80		*			*	10		*	*	*	
	31	10	*	*	*		*	36		*	*	*	*
	69	19		*			*	71	16	*	*	*	*
,	86	46	*	*	*	,,	*	191		*	*	*	*
	258	111		*	*		*	264		*	*	•	
.,	244	113	*	*	*		*	223		*	*	*	*
	161	112	•	*			*	156	104	*	*	*	*
	147	117	*	*	*		*	142		*	*	*	*
	*	*	•	*	*		*	149		*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	of premote account for	*	160		*	*	*	*
	×	k		*	*		*	122		*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*		*	131		*	*	*	*
	*	*	•	*	*		*	152		*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*		*	145		*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*			*	142		•	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*		*	100		*	*	*	*
	*	*		*	*		*	44		*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*		*	38		*	*	*	*
	*	*	•	*	*		*	24		*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*		*	17		*	*	*	*
	*	*		*			*	12		*	*	*	•
	1127	558	0	0	0 0		0 0	2354	2194	0	0	0	0
	1685		0		0		0	45	4548		0	0	
Ū	00:20	10:00						00:20	11:00				
	258	117						264	117				
								12:00	16:00				
								160	301				
	1685		942		4782		5352	66	9523	51	5127	3362	8