City of Calistoga Staff Report

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Richard Spitler, City Manager

DATE: September 3, 2013

SUBJECT: Consideration of a Resolution adopting Procedures for Establishing and Increasing Fees and Charges under Proposition 218

APPROVAL FOR FORWARDING:

Bond-Bon

Richard D. Spitler, City Manager

<u>ISSUE</u>: Consideration of a Resolution adopting Procedures for Establishing and Increasing Fees and Charges under Proposition 218

3 4 **REC**

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution.

5 6

7

8

9

1

2

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION: The City is considering an increase in the water and wastewater rates. Under state law the City must adhere to Proposition 218 public noticing requirements. The City Attorney has prepared the attached resolution that clarifies procedural matters related to the required public hearing and notification requirements to ensure compliance with this state law.

10 11 12

FISCAL IMPACT:

13 There is no fiscal impact associated with adoption of this resolution.

14 15

ATTACHMENTS:

16 17

1. Draft Resolution

18

Resolution No. 2013- XXX

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CALISTOGA, COUNTY OF NAPA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA ADOPTING PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING AND INCREASING FEES AND CHARGES UNDER PROPOSITION 218

WHEREAS, Proposition 218 (Articles XIIIC and XIIID of the California Constitution) requires the City to comply with the substantive and procedural requirements set forth in section 6 of Article XIIID of the California Constitution prior to imposing or increasing a fee or charge upon any parcel of property or upon any person as an incident of property ownership; and

WHEREAS, Proposition 218 requires that the "record owner" of the parcel upon which the fee or charge is proposed for imposition or increase be notified of the amount of the fee, the basis upon which the amount of the fee was calculated, the reason for the fee, and the date, time, and location of a public hearing on the fee; and

WHEREAS, Proposition 218 requires that all protests against the fee or charge be considered at that public hearing; and

WHEREAS, Proposition 218 does not clearly set forth the meaning of "record owner" for purposes of the notice required by section 6 of Article XIIID; and

WHEREAS, Proposition 218 does not clearly set forth who is allowed to submit protests, the procedure for submitting protests, or how the protests are to be tabulated; and

WHEREAS, the City Council wishes to set forth procedures for these two issues in this resolution which are intended to be consistent with both Proposition 218 and with the Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act.

- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Calistoga, that the following procedures shall be used when imposing or increasing a fee or charge subject to
- 26 Article XIIID of the California Constitution:
- Section One. Fee or Charge. For the purposes of this resolution, "fee or charge" means any levy other than an ad valorem tax, a special tax, or an assessment, imposed by an agency upon a
- 29 parcel or upon a person as an incident of property ownership, including a user fee or charge for a
- 30 property-related service.
- 31 **Section Two**. <u>Property-related Service</u>. For purposes of this resolution, "property-related service" means a public service having a direct relationship to property ownership.
- 33 Section Three. Notice. Prior to imposing a fee or charge, each parcel upon which the fee or
- 34 charge is proposed for imposition shall be identified. The City Clerk shall mail written notice at
- least forty-five days prior to the date of the public hearing on the fee or charge to: (a) all owners
- whose names and addresses appear on the last equalized secured property tax assessment roll (as
- provided in Government Code § 53750(j)) at the address shown on the roll; and (b) all persons
- who have signed up to receive the service for which the fee or charge is imposed as reflected in
- 39 the billing records of the City at the time the notice is given at the mailing address shown in the
- 40 billing records of the City.

In addition to the persons identified in this section, the City Clerk shall also mail written notice to anyone who has submitted a request to receive notice of the imposition or increase of a fee or charge.

Section Four. Protest Procedure.

- **A.** Any owners whose names and addresses appear on the last equalized secured property tax assessment roll and any persons who have signed up to receive the property-related service for which the fee or charge may submit a written protest against the proposed fee or charge at the noticed public hearing or to the City Clerk. Protests submitted by e-mail and verbal protests will not be considered by the City Council to determine whether a majority of the owners of the identified parcels have submitted protests. All members of the public are entitled to make comments at the public hearing whether or not they choose to submit a written protest.
- **B.** All written protests must be submitted before the conclusion of the noticed public hearing. The City Clerk shall not accept or consider any protest that is received after the conclusion of the public hearing even if postmarked prior to that time.
- C. Written protests must identify the affected property (by assessor's parcel number of street address) and must include the original signature of the person submitting the protest. The person signing the protest shall identify him or herself as either the owner of the parcel as shown on the last equalized security property tax assessment roll or as the person who signed up to receive the property-related service for which the fee or charge is imposed.
- **D**. One written protest per parcel, submitted by the owner of the parcel whose name appears on the last equalized property tax assessment roll or submitted by the person who signed up to receive the property-related service for which the fee or charge is imposed, shall be counted. If either the owner of the parcel whose name appears on the last equalized property tax assessment roll or the person who signed up to receive the property-related service for which the fee or charge is imposed submits a written protest, that protest shall be included in the calculation of whether there is a majority protest against the fee or charge. If more than one person or entity owns the parcel, if one of the owners submits a written protest, that protest shall be included in the calculation of whether there is a majority protest against the fee or charge. Under all circumstances, only one protest per parcel shall be counted.
- **E.** Any person who submits a written protest may withdraw it by submitting a written request that the protest be withdrawn in person at the public hearing or to the City Clerk at City Hall located at 1232 Washington Street, Calistoga before the conclusion of the public hearing. The written withdrawal of a protest shall identify the parcel and the name of either the owner whose name appears on the last equalized secured property tax assessment roll or the person who signed up to receive the property-related service for which the fee or charge is imposed and include a request that the protest be withdrawn. The withdrawal of a protest shall only be valid if it is submitted by the same person who submitted the protest.
- **F.** Written protests shall remain sealed until the conclusion of the public hearing. From and after the time they are opened after the conclusion of the public hearing, the written protests shall constitute "public records" (as defined in Government Code § 6254). The City Clerk shall establish appropriate safeguards to ensure that the protests are not opened prior to the conclusion of the public hearing.

83 **Section 5**. Tabulation of Written Protests. The following procedures are hereby adopted for calculating whether a majority protest against a fee or charge has been received: 84 A. The City Clerk shall determine the validity of all protests. The City Clerk shall not 85 86 accept as valid any protest if the City Clerk determines that any of the following: 87 i. The protest does not identify a parcel which receives the property-related service for which the fee or charge is imposed 88 89 ii. The protest does not bear an original signature of the person submitting the protest. 90 iii. The protest does not state its opposition to the proposed fee which is the subject of the protest proceeding. 91 92 iv. The protest was not received by the City Clerk before the close of the public 93 hearing on the proposed fee. 94 v. A request to withdraw the protest is received prior to the close of the public hearing 95 on the proposed fee. 96 **B.** The City Clerk's decision regarding the validity of a protest shall constitute a final action of the City and shall not be subject to appeal to the City Council or to any other board or 97 employee of the City. 98 99 C. The City Clerk shall begin tabulating written protests within twenty-four business hours following the close of the public hearing. The City Manager may retain a private firm with 100 demonstrated experience in tabulating written protests to assist the City Clerk. If, at the 101 conclusion of the public hearing, cursory review of the protests received demonstrates that the 102 number received is manifestly less than one-half of the parcels served by the City with respect to 103 104 the fee which is the subject of the protest, then the City Clerk may advise the City Council of the absence of a majority protest without tabulating the protests. 105 106 **D**. A majority protest exists if, with respect to a majority of the parcels subject to the 107 proposed fee, written protests which comply with each of the requirements of this resolution are timely submitted and not withdrawn. 108 109 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this activity is not a project and therefore is not subject to 110 the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15060(c)(3). 111 PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Calistoga at a 112 regular meeting held this day of ______, 2013. 113 114 115 **AYES:** 116 **NOES:** 117 **ABSTAIN/ABSENT:** 118 119 Chris Canning, Mayor 120 ATTEST: 121 122

AMANDA DAVIS, Deputy City Clerk

123