A.2.9 Action 2.2.1

Mitigation Action		
Action 2.2.1: Hardening and building redundant capability into Public Safety buildings.		
Implementing A	Agencies	
Lead Agency (ies):	Napa County OES / Risk Management	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):		
Support Agency (ies):	All Jurisdictions	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):	Schedule/Participate	
Preliminary Identified Tasks: Napa County IF		
1- Develop a plan through outside communication consu	ltant	
2- Survey all communication and data sites for seismic compliance		
3- Identify sites to provide adequate redundancy during	major disasters	
Implementation	on Costs	
Estimated Capital Costs:	\$1,000,000	
Estimated Maintenance Costs:		
Implementation	Resources	
Financial Resources (Funding):	Federal Grant Funds	
Technical Assistance Resources:		
Materials No	eeded	
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	
Implementation	Timeframe	
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:		
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:	2018	

Action 2.2.1 Progress Report

Progress Report Period: <u>Jan 2009</u> to <u>Jun 2013</u>
Project Title: Hardening and Building Redundant Communication Capability into Public Safety Buildings
Project ID# <u>2.2.1</u>
Responsible Agency: County of Napa Communications
Address: Water Street
City: Napa, CA 94574
Contact Person: Eric Parks
Phone#: <u>252-1300</u> email address: eric.parks@countyofnapa.org
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts: City of Napa Communications - Gus Ulloth
gulloth@cityofnapa.org;
Total Project Cost: 1,000,000
Funding Source: General fund/grants
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:
Date of Project Approval: June 2013 Start date of the project: Dec 2013
Anticipated completion date: 2018
Description of the Project (include a description of each phase, if applicable, and the time frame for completing each phase):

Milestones	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion
Budget for consultant contact to survey Communications infrastructure	✓	June 2013
Develop cost estimate and implementation plan		
Survey all communication and data sites foe seismic compliance		May 2014
Identify sites to provide adequate redundancy		May 2014
Develop RFP for Site Upgrades		Nov 2014
Award Contract for Upgrades		April 2015
Project Completion	≈	Dec 2017

1			
MUDAD Cool Addressed			
MHMP Goal Addressed:			
Indicator of Success: The develop hardened commendations system is		Activities of the control of the con	
the project would indicate a success		ting tine inmestering	
Project Status	Project Cost Status	S	
Project on schedule	⊠Cost unchang	ed	
Project completed	Cost overrun*		
Project delayed*	*explain		
*explain			
Project cancelled*			
*explain			
Summary of progress on project for	this report:		
A. What was accomplished during th			
Developed cost estimate for con		n 2013-2014 bud	get.
_			
D 144			
B. What successes have you encount	tered, it anyr		
C. What obstacles, problems, or dela	ys have you encountered, if any	?	
No immediate obstacles encoun	tered		

D. How was each problem resolved?
E. Based on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ensure completion?
Next Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period? Award contact for services
Other Comments:
*

A.2.10 Action 2.2.2

Mitigation Action		
Action 2.2.2: Retrofit Critical Public Safety Infrastructure.		
Implementing A	Agencies	
Lead Agency (ies):	Napa County Fire, Calistoga City	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):		
Support Agency (ies):	Napa County Building and Planning, Public works	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):		
Preliminary Identified Tas	ks: Napa County Fire	
1- Identify 9 Napa County Fire Stations		
2- Start Feasibility Study that will identify which buildings are structurally sound and will remain and which buildings will be demolished and estimate costs.		
3- Hire Architect to develop building plans and Building documents.		
Preliminary Identified	Tasks: Calistoga	
1- Identify Critical Infrastructure (Utilities)		
2- Replace Infrastructure		
Implementation	on Costs	
Estimated Capital Costs:	\$9,000,000 (1 million per station)	
Estimated Maintenance Costs:		
Implementation	Resources	
Financial Resources (Funding):	General Fund/Grants	
Technical Assistance Resources:		
Materials No	eeded	
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	

Implementation Timeframe		
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:	2013	
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:	2020	

Action 2.2.2 Progress Report

Progress Report Period:

<u>2004</u>

to <u>2013</u>

(date)

(date)

Project Title: Retrofit Critical Public Safety Infrastructure Project ID# 2.2.2

Responsible Agency: Napa County Executive Office

Address: 1195 Third Street

City: Napa, CA 94574

Contact Person: Kerry John Whitney

Phone#: 707-253-4821 email address: Kerry.whitney@countyofnapa.org

List Supporting Agencies and Contacts: <u>County Building – Jason</u>

Napa County Fire Depart - Chief Scott Upton

Total Project Cost: 9,000,000

Funding Source: General Fund/Grants

Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun: 500,000

Date of Project Approval: 2011 Start date of the project: 2012

Anticipated completion date: 2020

Description of the Project (include a description of each phase, if applicable, and the time frame for

completing each phase): ____

Milestones	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion
Develop a Facilities Assessment Report	✓	2012
Hire Architect to Develop Retrofit Plans inclu ADA Compliance		2013
Develop Bid Packages for each Facility		2014
Award Bids for Contracts/ complete work		2015-2019

MHMP Goal Addressed:	
Indicator of Success:	
Project Status	Project Cost Status
Project on schedule	Cost unchanged
Project completed	Cost overrun*
Project delayed*	*explain
*explain	
Project cancelled*	
*explain	
Summary of progress on project for	this report:
A. What was accomplished during th	
Completion of the facilities Cond	
-	
B. What successes have you encount	tered if any?
b. What successes have you encount	tereu, ii any:
المستحد المستحد المستحد	
	e e
C. What obstacles, problems, or dela	ays have you encountered, if any?
9	

D. How was each problem resolved?
E. Based on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ensure completion?
Next Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period? Hire an architect to develop plans to retrofit/upgrade each of the 9 facilities including ADA compliance
Other Comments:

A.2.11 Action 3.1.1:

Mitigation Action		
Action 3.1.1: Develop & conduct a Defensible Space community education program.		
Implementing A	Agencies	
Lead Agency (ies):	Napa County OES	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):	Grant Administration	
Support Agency (ies):	Napa Firewise Council	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):	Project Work	
Preliminary Identified Ta	asks: Napa County	
See Progress Report		
Implementation	on Costs	
Estimated Capital Costs:		
Estimated Maintenance Costs:		
Implementation	Resources	
Financial Resources (Funding):		
Technical Assistance Resources:		
Materials Ne	eeded	
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	
Implementation 1	- Fimeframe	
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:		
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:		

Action 3.1.1 Progress Report

Progress Report Period: July, 2004 to June, 2013
(date) (date)
Project Title: Defensible Space Community Education Project ID# 3.1.1
Responsible Agency: Napa Firewise
Address: Box 4151
City: Napa, CA 94558
Contact Person: Stephen Gort
Phone#: 707-265-9624 email address: sgort@napafirewise.org
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts: Napa County Fire Department
Total Project Cost: _ Approximately \$6,000/yr. currently. See Comments below.
Funding Source: See Comments section below
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun: None
Date of Project Approval: Annually – in July Start date of the project: 2007 as configured
Anticipated completion date: Ongoing - Annually
Description of the Project (include a description of each phase, if applicable, and the time frame for completing each phase): <u>Each year a new community (or two) is exposed to the program in a "Home Ignition Zone" – 3 hour workshop. With cooperation, this proceeds to Action 3.2.1.</u>

Milestones	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion
Mt. Veeder FSC	Х	
Circle Oaks FSC	Х	
Berryessa Estates FSC	Х	
Berryessa Highlands FSC	Х	
Atlas Peak FSC	Х	
Soda Canyon FSC	Х	
Deer Park FSC	Х	
East Napa/Alta Heights	Х	

Tucker Acres	Х	
Angwin	х	
Napa County Services Elks Hall	Х	

MHMP Goal Addressed: <u>Defensib</u>	le Space Community Education (Action 3.1)
Indicator of Success: High atten Community Fire Safe Council.	dance and volunteers willing to take the next step and form a
Project Status	Project Cost Status
[X] Project on schedule	[X] Cost unchanged
[] Project completed	[] Cost overrun*
[] Project delayed*	*explain
*explain	
Project cancelled*	
*explain	
Summary of progress on project for	this report:
A. What was accomplished during thi	
	ace education seminars have been conducted (refer to table
B. What successes have you encounted	ered, if any?
Of these communities all but to	wo have formed Fire Safe Councils and have Community in place, and Deer Park's is under development.
C. What obstacles, problems, or delay	s have you encountered, if any?
Most communities accept and we to the next step, this has only hap	elcome the education, occasionally they may not follow-thru ppened twice.
D. How was each problem resolved?	
Constant follow-up and support fo	r new Fire Safe Councils typically resolves most problems or

occasional	lın	ertia

E. Based on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ensure completion?

Satisfied that our template is working very well.

Next Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period? Continuing to educate one or two communities every year until all have been reached.

Other Comments:

From 2007 through 2010 – Funding was through various federal grants and the annual expenditure was approximately \$18,000 to \$24,000. With the cut back of federal grants, and problems with staffing, funding has come from Napa County Fire Department and activity has been reduced to one community per year, at an annual cost of approximately \$6,000. This is for FY 2011-2012 and FY 2012-2013. In the earlier years — (2007-2010) as many as three or four communities were undertaken a year.

A.2.12 Action 3.1.2:

Mitigation Action		
Action 3.1.2: Draft and Promulgate Defensible Space Ordinance.		
Implementing Agencies		
Lead Agency (ies):	Napa County OES	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):	Grant Adminstration	
Support Agency (ies):	Napa County Firewise Councils	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):	Project Work	
Preliminary Identified Ta	asks: Napa County	
1- As Written		
Implementation Costs		
Estimated Capital Costs:		
Estimated Maintenance Costs:		
Implementation Resources		
Financial Resources (Funding):		
Technical Assistance Resources:		
Materials Needed		
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	
Implementation ⁻	Fimeframe	
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:		
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:		

Action 3.1.2 Progress Report

New or Refined Mitigation Action, Progress report will be issued for next update cycle.

Progress Report Period:	t	0	
(da		(date	
Project Title:		Project ID#	
Responsible Agency:			
Address:			
City:			
Contact Person:			
Phone#:	email address	S:	
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts:	i,		
			
,			
Total Project Cost:			
Funding Source:			
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:			
Date of Project Approval:			
Anticipated completion date:			
Description of the Project (include a completing each phase):	description of each p	hase, if applicable, and	
-			
Milestone	s	Completed (✓	Projected Date of Completion
	_		

Indicator of Success:		
Project Status	Project Cost Status	
□ Project on schedule	☐ Cost unchanged	
□ Project completed	☐ Cost overrun*	
□ Project delayed*	*explain	
*explain		
□ Project cancelled*		
*explain		
Summary of progress on project for	this report:	
Summary of progress on project for A. What was accomplished during th		
	nis reporting period?	
A. What was accomplished during th	nis reporting period?	
A. What was accomplished during th	nis reporting period?	
A. What was accomplished during th	nis reporting period?	
A. What was accomplished during th	tered, if any?	
A. What was accomplished during the	tered, if any?	

D. How was each problem resolved?
E. Based on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ensure completion?
Next Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period?
Other Comments:

A.2.13 Action 3.2.1:

Mitigation Action		
Action 3.2.1: Foster and form neighborhood based Firewise Councils.		
Implementing Agencies		
Lead Agency (ies):	Napa County OES	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):	Grant Administration	
Support Agency (ies):	Napa County Firewise Council	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):	Project Work	
Preliminary Identified Ta	asks: Napa County	
See Progress Report		
Implementation	on Costs	
Estimated Capital Costs:	6	
Estimated Maintenance Costs:		
Implementation	Resources	
Financial Resources (Funding):		
Technical Assistance Resources:		
Materials Needed		
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	
rī		
Implementation ⁻	Fimeframe	
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:		
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:		

Action 3.2.1 Progress Report

Progress Report Period: July, 2004 to June, 2013	
(date) (date)	
Project Title: Foster and Form Neighborhood Firewise Councils Project ID# 3.2.1	
Responsible Agency: Napa Firewise	8
Address: Box 4151	
City: Napa, CA 94558	
Contact Person: Stephen Gort	_
Phone#: 707-265-9624 email address: sgort@napafirewise.org	
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts: Napa County Fire Department	
Total Project Cost: <u>Approximately \$19,000/yr currently – See Comments section below</u>	
Funding Source: See Comments section below.	
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:None	
Date of Project Approval: Annually - in July	
Start date of the project: 2007 as configured	
Anticipated completion date: Ongoing – Annually	
Description of the Project (include a description of each phase, if applicable, and the completing each phase): Each year a new community is exposed to the program	which includes:

Milestones	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion
Mt, Veeder FSC	Х	
Circle Oaks FSC	X	
Berryessa Estates FSC	X	
Berryessa Highlands FSC	X	
Atlas Peak FSC	X	
Soda Canyon FSC	X	
Deer Park FSC	Х	- A

(CWPP), usually a demonstration mitigation project and general organization assistance.

MHMP Goal Addressed:Foster and form neighbors.	hborhood Firewise Councils.	
	w Fire Safe Council meetings, volunteers stepping up to dertaking mitigation projects identified in their CWPP.	
Project Status	Project Cost Status	
[x] Project on schedule	[X] Cost unchanged	
[] Project completed	[] Cost overrun*	
[] Project delayed*	*explain	
*explain		
Project cancelled* *explain		
.=		
A. What was accomplished during this reporting In 2012 – 2013, two new communities previous years see table "completed" above	period? — Soda Canyon and Deer park were started. For	
B. What successes have you encountered, if any	e?	
All of these communities have formed F Protection Plans (CWPP) in place, and Deer	Fire Safe Councils and have Community Wildfire Park's is under development	
C. What obstacles, problems, or delays have you	uencountered, if any?	
Most communities accept and welcome	the education, organization and funding. As with continued motivation are a constant challenge.	
D. How was each problem resolved?		
Constant follow-up and support for new Fir occasional inertia.	e Safe Councils typically resolves most problems or	
E. Based on the past experiences (successes and ensure completion?	dobstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to	

Other Comments:

From 2007 through 2010 funding was through various federal grants and the annual expenditure was approximately \$57,000 to \$60,000. With the cut back of federal grants and problems with staffing, funding has come from Napa County Fire Department and activity has been reduced to one community per year, at an annual cost of approximately \$19,000. This is FY 2011-2012 and FY 2012-2013. In the earlier years – (2007 – 2010) as many as three or four communities were undertaken a year.

A.2.14 Action 3.2.2:

Mitigation Action		
Action 3.2.2: Revise General Plan Safety Element with lessons learned from Fire-wise programs and analysis.		
Implementing Agencies		
Lead Agency (ies):	City of Calistoga	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):	Update General Plan	
Support Agency (ies):	Planning Department	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):	Project Work	
Preliminary Identified Tasks: City of Calistoga		
1- As Written		
Implementation Costs		
Estimated Capital Costs:	\$50,000 per 10 YRS	
Estimated Maintenance Costs:		
Implementation Resources		
Financial Resources (Funding):	General Fund	
Technical Assistance Resources:	Fire-wise	
Materials Needed		
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	
Fire-wise programs & analysis		
Implementation Timeframe		
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:	underway	
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:	12/14	

Action 3.2.2 Progress Report

New or Refined Mitigation Action, Progress report will be issued for next update cycle.

Progress Report Period:	to	
(date)	(date)	
Project Title:	Project ID#	
Responsible Agency:		
Address:		
City:		
Contact Person:		
Phone#:email add	dress:	
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts:		
Total Project Cost:		
Funding Source:		
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:		
Date of Project Approval:	_ Start date of the project:	
Anticipated completion date:		
Description of the Project (include a description of eacompleting each phase):		
Milestones	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion
NI.		
		-

Project Cost Status □ Cost unchanged □ Cost overrun* *explain
□ Cost unchanged □ Cost overrun* *explain
□ Cost unchanged □ Cost overrun* *explain
□ Cost unchanged □ Cost overrun* *explain
□ Cost unchanged □ Cost overrun* *explain
□ Cost overrun* *explain
*

eriod?
ncountered, if any?

D. How was each problem resolved?
E. Based on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ensure completion?
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Next Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period?
Other Comments:

A.2.15 Action 3.3.1

Mitigation Action		
Action 3.3.1: Maintain and Further Develop the Fuel Reduction Program		
Implementing Agencies		
Lead Agency (ies):	American Canyon (ACEPD)	
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):	Inspection/Enforcement	
Support Agency (ies):	City of Napa, JPA	
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):	Inspector	
Preliminary Identified Tasks: American Canyon		
1- Weed Abatement Enforcement – Private Property		
Implementation Costs		
Estimated Capital Costs:	\$5,000 Annually	
Estimated Maintenance Costs:	N/A	
Implementation	Resources	
Financial Resources (Funding):	ACEPD General Fund	
Technical Assistance Resources:		
Materials No	eeded	
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)	
Office Supplies		
Inspector Vehicle		
Implementation	Timeframe	
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:	On-going On-going	
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:	On-going	

Action 3.3.1 Progress Report

Progress Report Period: <u>July, 2012</u> to <u>June, 2013</u>
(date) (date)
Project Title: Maintain and further develop fuel reduction program
Project ID#3.3.1
Responsible Agency: Napa Firewise
Address: Box 4151
City:Napa, CA 94558
Contact Person: Amy Head – for chipping; Stephen Gort for mitigation program
Phone#: _707-967-1407 (Amy Head) or 707-265-9624 (Stephen Gort)
Email address: amy.head@fire.ca.gov and sgort@napafirewise.org
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts: Program consists of a chipping program and mitigation projects run in and by individual community Fire Safe Councils. At present, funding comes from Napa County.
Total Project Cost: <u>Chipping averages \$50,000/yr. Mitigation projects vary from \$50,000 - \$75,000 pe</u>
Funding Source: Federal Grants and the Napa County Fire Department.
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:None
Date of Project Approval: <u>Annually- in July</u> Start date of the project: <u>2007 as configured</u>
Anticipated completion date: Ongoing - Annually
Description of the Project (include a description of each phase, if applicable, and the time frame fo

Milestones Milestones	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion
Obtained original chipper with grants from Insurance & BAAQMD	Х	
Napa County Department of Corrections to supply labor	X	
Napa County Fire Department supplies crew management	х	
Napa County Fire Department supplies equipment maintenance	х	

Napa County Supervisors approved adding a chipper to NCFD capital equipment inventory	Х	
Purchase a new chipper for the 2013 – 2014 season		February, 2014
Provide funding and project assist to Mt. Veeder FSC- two years	Х	
Provide funding and project assist to Atlas Peak FSC – two years	Х	
Provide funding and project assist to Berryessa Estates FSC – two years	Х	
Provide funding and project assist to Berryessa Highlands FSC – three years	Х	
Provide funding and project assist to Soda Canyon FSC – one year	Х	
Provide funding and project assist to Circle Oaks FSC – one year	х	

MHMP Goal Addressed: Maintain and further develop fuel reduction program.

Indicator of Success: Six community Fire Safe Councils continue to make annual progress against their Community wildfire Protection Plans.

Project Status	Project Cost Status	
[X] Project on schedule	[x] Cost unchanged	
[] Project completed	[] Cost overrun*	
[] Project delayed*	*explain	
*explain		
Project cancelled*		
*explain		

Summary of progress on project for this report:

A. What was accomplished during this reporting period?

Have chipped over 1,000,000 cubic yards of vegetation over the ten years of the program. Napa County Fire Department has agreed to buy and be responsible for a chipper – relieving Napa Firewise of the cost.

B. What successes have you encountered, if any?

	mmunity Fire Safe Councils continue to make annual progress against their Community re Protection Plans.
C. Wha	at obstacles, problems, or delays have you encountered, if any?
Chip _l	ping equipment takes a significant beating with our volumes.
D. Hov	v was each problem resolved?
double	apa County Fire Department has done a great job of maintenance, giving our original chipper the manufacturer's predicted life. Additionally, the Napa County Supervisors have approved chipper to NCFD capital equipment inventory. Relieving Napa Firewise of this capital expense.
	ed on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ecompletion?
Satisfi	ied that the template is working very well.
-	
Next S	Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period?
1.	Purchase a new chipper, develop and implement a maintenance program for it; have it in service for the 2014 season; and add annual allocation to the County capital equipment replacement reserves accounting process.
	Continue to fund community Fire Safe Council mitigation work.
3.	Investigate, pursue and apply for (though limited) federal fire safety grant funding.
Other	Comments:
ų.	
-	

A.2.16 Action 3.4.1

Mitigation Action			
Action 3.4.1: Ensure training is provided for Command & General Staff positions in EOC's. Ensure EOC exercises are performed at least annually.			
Implementing A	Agencies		
Lead Agency (ies):	Napa County OES		
Roles and Responsibilities of Lead Agency (ies):			
Support Agency (ies):	All Jurisdictions		
Roles and Responsibilities of Support Agency (ies):			
Preliminary Identified Tasks: Napa County			
1- Fire Training through VASI			
2- Annual County Drill with Shake Out & Health and Medical Drill(see pdf page 20)			
3- Train County EOC 'A' and 'TS' shift in shift changes.			
Implementation	on Costs		
Estimated Capital Costs:	\$20,000 Bi-Annually		
Estimated Maintenance Costs:			
Implementation	Resources		
Financial Resources (Funding):	General Fund		
Technical Assistance Resources:			
Materials No	eeded		
(Equipment, Vehicles, and Supplies):	Readily Available (RA)/Need to Purchase (NTP)		
	(itil)		
Implementation	Timeframe		
Estimated Mitigation Action Start Date:	Bi-Annually		
Estimated Mitigation Action Completion Date:			

Action 3.4.1 Progress Report

New or Refined Mitigation Action, Progress report will be issued for next update cycle.

Progress Report Period:	to_		
(da	ate)	(date)	
Project Title:		Project ID#	
Responsible Agency:			
Address:	5±3		
City:			
Contact Person:			
Phone#:	email address:_		
List Supporting Agencies and Contacts	·		
			-
Total Project Cost:			
Funding Source:			
Anticipated Cost Overrun/Underrun:_			
Date of Project Approval:	Start	date of the project:	
Anticipated completion date:			
Description of the Project (include a completing each phase):			
Milestone	es	Completed (✓)	Projected Date of Completion

Project Status	Project Cost Status	
☐ Project on schedule	□ Cost unchanged	
□ Project completed	□ Cost overrun*	
□ Project delayed*	*explain	
*explain		
□ Project cancelled*		
*explain		
A. What was accomplished during the		
B. What successes have you encoun	tered, if any?	
,		
C. What obstacles, problems, or dela	ays have you encountered, if any?	

D. How was each problem resolved?
E. Based on the past experiences (successes and obstacles), what changes, if any, need to be made to ensure completion?
Next Steps: What are the next step(s) to be accomplished over the next reporting period?
Other Comments: