City of Calistoga Staff Report

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Michael Kirn, Public Works Director/City Engineer

DATE: October 21, 2014

SUBJECT: Update on Monhoff Building Rain Damage and Direction to Staff

APPROVAL FOR FORWARDING:

Bond-On

Richard Spitler, City Manager

ISSUE: Update on Monhoff Building Rain Damage and Direction to Staff.

RECOMMENDATION: Receive report and by, motion, provide direction to staff on next steps for the Monhoff Building.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION: In February of this year the Monhoff Building roof leaked and caused extensive damage to the building interior and contents. The Teen Center and Recreation Services Division have been relocated into temporary modular buildings and the racquetball courts have been closed.

Due to the amount of effort needed to return the building to full occupancy our Municipal Code requires fire sprinklers be installed and the Building Official has determined that code upgrades are required including ADA compliance. Staff estimates the range of costs is between \$650,000 and \$750,000 to reconstruct the Monhoff Building.

The adjuster for AIG appealed the determination of the Building Official to the City Building Standards Advisory and Appeals Board (BSAAB). The appeal was denied by the BSAAB on August 26th and the Building Official's determination stands.

On October 8th the Mayor, Councilmember Barns and City staff met with our broker Bob Frye to review the different alternatives the City might wish to pursue. Previously, the Council approved Councilmember Barns participation in claims discussion given his professional experience in the insurance industry.

A fundamental concern was the Recreation Services Division being located on the second floor which posed a liability due to access issues and created a hardship for families with small children having to climb the stairs. The current Recreation Services Division modular at Logvy Park lacks restroom facilities and with the coming winter this needs to be addressed through their relocation to an alternative location.

Staff has identified several alternatives for the Monhoff situation and our Broker provided some insight on each alternative:

1. Make the necessary improvements to the existing building (including installation of fire sprinklers and ADA upgrades) and resume occupancy of the building. Our Broker indicated that we have code upgrade coverage that should cover the costs associated with reconstruction and re-occupancy.

 2. Demolish a portion of the building, restore the racquetball court and construct new ADA compliant restrooms. It would be a challenge to restore the Teen Center at the Monhoff location due to lack of remaining area after construction of a new restroom, building set back issues, and costs associated with new construction. A modular may be possible but the capital investment would be the responsibility of the Teen Center.

3. Demolish the building and use the insurance proceeds for a new building at a different location and allow the Teen Center to use the area. We would need to provide land for the new facility and the insurance proceeds would not cover 100% of the cost of construction. The City would be responsible for the difference which could be as much as one million dollars. The Boys and Girls Club would be responsible for any construction costs for their Teen Club facility.

4. Demolish the building and use the insurance proceeds to construct a new building at the current location. It is likely that the insurance proceeds would not cover 100% of the cost of construction. The City would be responsible for the difference which could be as much as one million dollars and building set back issues would result in a smaller facility.

After reviewing the pros and cons of each alternative, the consensus was that Alternative 1 -reconstruction of the building, was only way to ensure that no individual stakeholder was displaced, notwithstanding the need to relocate the Recreation Services Division. Also, most of the cost of the reconstruction would be covered by our insurance.

Given the consensus on the reconstruction alternative, staff is seeking Council direction to undertake the necessary actions to reconstruct the Monhoff Building addressing ADA code issues. This would include consultation with the Boys and Girls Club and racquetball players to develop a plan that addresses their needs in an effective manner. We will also need to find a location for the Recreation Services Division which has restroom facilities.