Estimated Water Availability

July 2017

Units in acre-feet per year (afy)

6.	Growth Management, Standby and Other Allocations Range of Available Supply [Line 5 – Line 6]	231 215 - 446 afy
	Range of Unused Supply [Line 4 – Line 3]	446 - 677
4.	Range of Firm Yield Supply [Kimball Reservoir + NBA]	1057 - 1288
3.	Adjusted Maximum Demand [Line 1 - Line 2]	611
2.	Demand Management ¹ [Line 1 x 10%]	68
		679

Notes:

- 1. Calistoga's average annual water demand over the last five years (2012–2016) as measured by the Napa and Kimball meters.
- 2. Assumes 10% reduction through voluntary conservation during a below normal year².
- 3. Maximum demand minus demand management.
- 4. Firm yield for a below normal year based upon 90% reliability which is an accepted methodology by the State Department of Water Resources.
 - a. Kimball Reservoir supply is 328 afy³. With adoption of the Kimball Interim Bypass Plan (2011), Kimball Reservoir's supply yield is reduced by 41 afy⁴ to 287 afy.
 - b. The North Bay Aqueduct (NBA) sources include 500 afy of original NBA, 925 afy of Kern County water, and 500 afy of American Canyon-purchased water for a total of 1,925 afy. A firm yield of 52% delivery can be expected⁵ which equals a firm yield of 1,001 afy.
 - c. Alternately, the average NBA water allocation from the State Water Project for the past 10 years has been 47% (905 afy). The average NBA water allocation from the State Water Project for the past five years has been 40% (770 afy)
- 5. Estimated current supply available before standby and other obligations are subtracted.
- 6. Growth Management, Standby and Other Allocations (rounded)⁶

Standby (customers with meter but no use)	30.28
Paid Allocations and Development Agreements	112.38
Bottling Works Unused Obligation	86.28
Growth Management Allocations	2.14
Total	231.08

_

¹ Below normal year yields assumed

² Water Facilities Plan, Section 3.7.1, Summit Engineering, May 2000

³ Per Dec 2013 reservoir survey

⁴ Kimball Interim Bypass Plan, Appendix 34

⁵ Water Facilities Plan, Section 2.2.4, Summit Engineering, May 2000

⁶ From Attachment 3

Estimated Wastewater Treatment Capacity

July 2017

Units in millions of gallons per day (mgd) and acre-feet per year (afy)

	Available Treatment Capacity [Line 4 – Line 7]	165.1 afy
7.	Total Reserved [Line 5 + Line 6]	271.8 afy
6.	Capacity Buffer [Line 4 x 10%]	43.7 afy
5.	Growth Management, Standby and Other Allocations	228.1 afy
4.	Excess Capacity in acre feet [Line 3 x 1,120]	436.8 afy
3.	Excess Capacity [Line 1 – Line 2]	0.39 mgd
2.	Average Dry Weather Flow	0.45 mgd
1.	Permitted Treatment Plant Capacity	0.84 mgd

Notes:

- 1. Treatment plant permit rated capacity (average dry weather flow).
- 2. Average Dry Weather Flow over the last five years (2012-2016), based on metered influent flows to the WWTP between July through September.
- 3. Excess capacity available
- 4. Excess capacity converted to acre-feet per year.
- 5. Growth Management, Standby and Other Allocations (rounded)¹:

Standby	18.0 afy
Paid Allocations and Development Agreements	118.1 afy
Bottling Works Unused Obligation	90.9 afy
Growth Management Allocations	1.1 afy
Total	228.1 afv

- 6. Capacity Buffer is 10% of the excess available capacity before standby and other obligations are deducted.
- 7. Estimated total reserved is the combined total of the standby and other obligations plus a 10% capacity buffer.

¹ From Attachment 3

Potential Water Usage and Wastewater Generation July 2017

	20.290	
50.000 42.040 11.460 3.210 2.520 .938 .930 .428 .428	112.382	
	86.280	
.428 .428 .428 .428 .428	2.140	
Total	231.082	acre-feet/year
Total		acre-feet/yeaı
Total	18.000	acre-feet/yea
60.000 45.650 6.390 2.790 1.470 .674 .440 .224 .224		acre-feet/yea
60.000 45.650 6.390 2.790 1.470 .674 .440 .224	18.000	acre-feet/yea
	42.040 11.460 3.210 2.520 .938 .930 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428	42.040 11.460 3.210 2.520 .938 .930 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428 .428

Total 228.100 acre-feet/year