## KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES INC.

GOLDEN GATEWAY COMMONS 55 PACIFIC AVENUE MALL SAN FEANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 PHONE: 415 / 398-3050 FAX: 415 / 397-5065

WWW.KEYSERMARSTON.COM

A DYJSORS JN:

REAL ESTATE
REDEVELOPMENT
AFFORDABLE HOUSING
E CONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

SANFRANCISCO
A. Jerry Keyser
Timothy C. Kelly
Kate Earle Funk
Debbie M. Kern
Robert J. Watmore

LOS ANGELES
Calvin E. Hollis, 11
Kathleen H. Head
James A. Rabe
Paul C. Anderson
Gregory D. Soo-Hoo

SANDIEGO Gerald M. Trimble Paul C. Marra

## MEMORANDUM

To:

Peter Dreier, Napa Valley Housing Authority Jenny Gomez, Napa Valley Housing Authority Karen Tiedemann, Goldfarb and Lipman

From:

Kate E. Funk

Date:

November 3, 2004

Subject:

Demographic Information on Napa Residents and

Workers

The following document summarizes demographic information on Napa County residents and workers for use in developing preference programs for affordable housing. The jurisdictions of Napa County have expressed an interest in looking into programs to give preference or priority to households that either already live or work in the local community. Since preference programs must stand up to scrutiny in light of fair housing laws, an understanding of the demographic profile of Napa residents and workers can help inform the design, implementation or administration of such programs.

All the data on existing conditions is drawn from the U.S. Census 2000. Information is presented for the five cities of Napa County, the unincorporated County area, and Napa County overall. Since a significant share of workers in Napa County live in Solano County, information is also provided for Solano County as a whole, and for Vallejo and Fairfield specifically.

The Census collects information from people by place of their residence and asks people about where they work. The Census then recomputes the information to provide tabulations on where workers in a jurisdiction live. Thus, we know about commute relationships, but the Census does not provide demographic information on workers by place of work per se. In

To: Subject: Peter Dreier, Jenny Gomez, and Karen Tiedemann

Demographic Information on Napa Residents and Workers

November 3, 2004

Page 2

other words, we know what share of workers in each Napa jurisdiction live in the various neighboring counties, but we do not have information on the age, household size, race or ethnicity of these workers. The best we can say is that if 20% of the workers come from Solano County, then 20% of the workers are likely to have a demographic profile similar to the residents of Solano County. Similarly, if we know a significant share of workers in American Canyon live in Vallejo, we can be mindful of the demographics of Vallejo in the design and implementation of a program that includes preferences for workers in American Canyon.

# The Demographics of Napa Residents

Table 1 provides information on the residents of the various Napa jurisdictions and the County overall. The data cover the factors that could be relevant to housing - age composition, percent of households with children, and distribution by race and ethnicity.

Key findings of interest are:

# Age

- The age distribution in Napa County does not vary significantly by jurisdiction, with the exception of Yountville, where residents are much older, on average. Whereas 24% of all Napa County residents are under 18 years old, in Yountville, only 11% of residents are under 18 years.
- In turn, while only 18% of Napa County residents are 62 years and older, in Yountville, almost half of the population (48%) is 62 years or older. The 1,200-bed veteran's home located in Yountville causes the skew as it accounts for about 40% of Yountville's total population.

### Households with Children

American Canyon's households are more likely to have children under 18 years old compared to Napa County as a whole, while Yountville's households are less likely. In Napa County, 34% of households contain children under 18 years old; in American Canyon, 44% of households do.

# Race and Ethnicity

• American Canyon is significantly more racially diverse than other areas of Napa County. American Canyon's population is 59% white, while Napa County is 80% white. About 16% of American Canyon's population is Asian, while 7% is Black/African American. In comparison, 3% of Napa County is Asian, and 1% is Black/African American. **To:** Peter Dreier, Jenny Gomez, and Karen Tiedemann November 3, 2004 **Subject:** Demographic Information on Napa Residents and Workers Page 3

Calistoga has a larger Hispanic/Latino population relative to the rest of Napa County.
 Calistoga's population is 38% Hispanic/Latino, while Napa County overall is 24%
 Hispanic/Latino. Yountville's population is only 10% Hispanic/Latino.

# Workers in Napa and Commute Relationships

Tables 2 through 5 provide information on workers in various Napa jurisdictions and where they commute from. If preferences are to be offered to workers, then the jurisdiction needs to be aware of its housing market area, which is a function of the extent to which its workers commute from other jurisdictions and the distances these workers are traveling. Finally, as explained in the introduction, we do not have demographic information on the workers per se, but must draw conclusions based on the demographics of where the workers now live.

## Housing Market Area

A housing market area is loosely defined as the area from which a majority of those seeking housing will look for the housing. KMA consulted a number of texts and handbooks for a precise definition or one that might put forth precise percentages but found mostly general descriptions. For example the Urban Land Institute's (ULI) Residential Development Handbook has a section on market areas and market studies that contains language like the following: "Target market areas define the location where most logical demand for a contemplated housing project exists. Various factors are considered in delineating a target market area" and goes on to talk about commute times, price structure, physical barriers, and so forth. The ULI Handbook does define a primary target market area as "where the strongest potential demand is anticipated. Typically 50 to 75% of the buyers or renters for a given project come from the primarly target market area."

Place of work is a major demand factor. Most people prefer to live near their place of work, all other factors being equal (price of housing, quality of schools, etc.). Multiple workers in the household working in dispersed locations does complicate the equation, but that aside, workers who now commute are a source of demand for local housing. For a range of public policy reasons, local jurisdictions want to facilitate local workers' ability to live in the local community.

# Key Findings About Workers

Table 2 provides an historical overview of commute patterns to Napa County. The number and percent of Napa County workers who commute from outside of the County has increased significantly over the past 40 years. In 1960, only 6% of county workers lived outside of Napa; by 2000, 26% of county workers did. Many of the workers commuting into Napa County live in Solano or Sonoma counties, 14% and 5%,

Peter Dreier, Jenny Gomez, and Karen Tiedemann

Demographic Information on Napa Residents and Workers

November 3, 2004

Page 4

respectively. In addition, the number of workers commuting from Contra Costa County doubled over the 1990s, although it still represents less than 2% of Napa workers. No other county accounts for more than 1% of Napa workers.

- Table 3 presents the workers in the City of American Canyon and the City of Napa. We have aggregated the place of residence by county, so it can be seen that 55% of American Canyon workers come from various places within Napa County, while 35% come from Solano County, predominantly Vallejo. In the City of Napa, 75% of the workers live somewhere within Napa County, and only 15% come from Solano County.
- Table 4 summarizes the findings for the Up Valley cities of Yountville, St. Helena and Calistoga. In Yountville and St. Helena, 83% of the workers come from somewhere in Napa County. In Calistoga, the percent of workers who live in Napa County drops to 75% and Sonoma accounts for 15% (which is not surprising given the commute access).
- Table 5 presents the unincorporated area of Napa County. The percent of workers from somewhere in Napa is 72% with Solano accounting for another 14%. From the Table 3 data, we can assume that workers in the unincorporated area south of Napa city, particularly the Airport Industrial Area, look like a hybrid of American Canyon and Napa city, or say 65% from somewhere in Napa County and 25% Solano County.

#### Conclusions

To:

Subject:

In general; we believe that when 70% or more of the workers come from Napa County, the county represents the housing market area. Only in the cases of American Canyon and unincorporated Napa County south of the City of Napa do we have a housing market that includes workers who now live in Solano County to a significant degree. Thus, if these areas wish to implement a preference based on workers, the demographics of Solano County should be considered when designing a program that does not violate fair housing laws.

The workers in all other Napa jurisdictions tend to already live in Napa County somewhere (where demographic characteristics do not vary much from one place to another); therefore, housing preferences for local workers would be of less concern.

# Solano County Demographics

In the demographics section (Table 1), we determined that the demographics of American Canyon differ from the rest of Napa County in that the city is more diverse, has more households with children, and is younger on average. As shown in Table 6, the demographics of Solano County are very similar to those of American Canyon. In Solano County, 45% of households have children under 18, compared to American Canyon's 44%. In general, the

To:

Subject:

Peter Dreier, Jenny Gomez, and Karen Tiedemann

Demographic Information on Napa Residents and Workers

November 3, 2004

Page 5

racial composition of Solano County is similar to American Canyon's, although Solano County has a slightly higher percentage of people identifying themselves as Black/African-American. The age profiles are also similar, although American Canyon does have a higher percentage of households with individuals 65 years or older (29% of American Canyon households versus 20% of Solano County households).

#### Additional Information

# 1. Place of Work for Napa County Residents

Appendix Tables A and B contain information regarding the places of work for Napa County resident workers. The key finding of these tables is that most (80%) resident workers of Napa County work somewhere within the County. Only 20% of resident workers work outside of the County. Again, American Canyon's resident workers differ from the rest of the County's in that they are much more likely to work outside of the County.

# 2. ABAG Projections

Appendix Table C summarizes ABAG's projections for total jobs by jurisdiction and for the County overall. Key findings are:

- The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) projects that Napa County will experience strong job growth through 2015, with growth slowing afterwards. By 2030, ABAG projects that Napa County will have 22,000 new jobs, a 33% increase over the 2000 level.
- Most of the new growth will occur in Napa City, although American Canyon and the Unincorporated Areas will also see significant growth. ABAG projects that Napa City will gain 13,000 new jobs by 2030. American Canyon can expect 4,400 new jobs, which would double the current level. The Unincorporated Areas will see 3,700 new jobs, but will represent a declining share of all jobs in Napa County.

TABLE 1 RESIDENT DEMOGRAPHICS: PROFILE OF NAPA COUNTY AND CITIES NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

	Napa County	ounty	American Canyon	Canyon	Napa City	city	Yountville	ville	St. Helena	ena	Calis	Calistoga	Unincorporated	orated
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percent Number	Percent	Percent Number	Percent Number	Number	Percent	Percent Number	Percent
Total Population	124,279 100%	100%	9,774	100%	72,585	100%	2,916	100%	5,950	100%	5,190	100%	27,864	100%
<u>Age</u> Under 18	29,998	24%	2,776	28%	18,670	26%	325	11%	1,494	25%	1,208	23%	5,525	20%
62 and Over	22,019	18%	1,532	16%	11,590	16%	1,403	48%	1,165	20%	1,142	22%	5,187	19%
Households with Children Under 18 Years	15,606	34%	1,397	44%	9,671	%98	195	19%		33%	636	31%	2,930	11%
Households with Individuals 65 years and over	12,652	28%	918	29%	7099	26%	388	37%	757	32%	. 727	36%	2,763	10%
<u>Race</u> White	,	80%		29%		80%	-	92%		82%		%/		78%
Black or African American		1%		7%		1%		£ 3		0%		0%	······	2, % %, %
Asian		12%		15%		2% 14%		- 4 % %		14%		19%		13%
Two or More Races		4%		7%		4%		2%		3%	<del></del>	3%		4%
		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%	,	100%
Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino		24%		18%		27%		10%		28%		38%		26%
													1	

Source: 2000 US Census; Keyser Marston

TABLE 2
WORKERS COMMUTING TO NAPA COUNTY
NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY
NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

County of Residence	<u>1960</u>	<u>1970</u>	1980	<u>1990</u>	2000
San Francisco	0	77	14	117	237
San Mateo	0	11	18	0	141
Santa Clara	0	75	74	34	161
Alameda	0	235	72	211	340
Contra Costa	0	253	343	453	1,094
Solano	1,000	2,958	2,846	5;492	8,256
Napa	17,000	20,295	31,808	37, <b>46</b> 4	44,341
Sonoma	0	470	1,238	2,026	3,030
Marin	0	115	104	189	380
Bay Area	18,000	24,489	36,517	45,986	57,980
Neighboring Counties Other Locations*			381	821	1,543 352
Total Workers in Napa	18,000	24,489	36,898	46,807	59,875
Total Who Live Outside of Napa County	1,000	4,194	5,090	9,343	15,534
Percent of Napa Workers who Live Outside County	6%	17%	14%	20%	26%

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Out-of-town" business persons who worked in the Bay Area during the Census reference week, March 2000.

Source: MTC County-to-County Commuting in the Bay Area, 1960-2000. Based on data from the US Census Bureau.

TABLE 3 WORKERS IN SOUTHERN NAPA COUNTY CITIES BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

	American Ca	anyon	Napa Cit	<u>ty</u>
•	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Total Workers	1,648		29,166	
Place of Residence				
American Canyon	400	24%	700	2%
Napa City	410	25%	17,000	58%
All Other Napa County	94	6%	4,115	14%
Napa County	904	55%	21,815	75%
Vallejo	335	20%	2,040	7%
Fairfield	80	5%	1,050	4%
Vacaville	75	5%	615	2%
All Other Solano County	79	5%	725	2%
Solano County	569	35%	4,430	15%
Sonoma County	53	3%	1,130	4%
All Other Counties	122	7%	1,791	6%

(1) The data presented in these tables are from the US Census. The US Census rounds each entry to the nearest multiple of 5; 1-7 is rounded to 4 and 0 is kept 0. The US Census does not present these data for places with fewer than 2,500 residents.

WORKERS IN NORTHERN NAPA COUNTY CITIES BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY TABLE 4

	Yountville	ille	St. Helena	ena	Calistoga	da
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Workers	1,703		5,043		2,418	-
Place of Residence	385	46%	r.	260	ć	70 F
St. Helena	70	4%	1,170	23%	80	3%
Calistoga	15	1%	245	2%	1,030	43%
Angwin	. 40	2%	165	3%	. 20	3%
(1) Total North Napa County	390	23%	1,665	33%	1,200	20%
Napa City	815	48%	1,410	28%	380	16%
American Canyon	15	1%	30	1%	10	%0
Total South Napa County	830	49%	1,440	29%	390	16%
(2) Unincorporated Napa County	190	11%	1,080	21%	235	10%
All of Napa County	1,410	83%	4,185	83%	1,825	75%.
Solano County	228	13%	180	4%	96	2%
Sonoma County	39	2%	263	2%	354	15%
All Other Counties	26	. 2%	415	8%	200	%8

(1) Does not include unincorporated areas, except Angwin where specified.
(2) Except Angwin.
The data presented in these tables are from the US Census. The US Census rounds each entry to the nearest multiple of 5; 1-7 is rounded to 4 and 0 is kept 0. The US Census data fror places with fewer than 2,500 residents.

Prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. File Name: 16085.002\Napa County workers.xis\ Up County\11/3/2004\hgr

TABLE 5
WORKERS IN UNINCORPORATED NAPA COUNTY BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE
NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY
NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

	Unincorpo	rated Areas
	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Total Workers	19,716	
Place of Residence		
Yountville	215	1%
St. Helena	780	4%
Calistoga	490	2%
Angwin	1,315	7%
(1) Total North Napa County	2,800	14%
Napa City	6,555	33%
American Canyon	384	2%
Total South Napa County	6,939	35%
(2) Unincorporated Napa County	4,465	23%
All of Napa County	14,204	72%
Solano County	<b>.</b> 2,803	14%
Sonoma County	1,179	5%
All Other Counties	1,530	8%

#### Notes:

The data presented in these tables are from the US Census. The US Census rounds each entry to the nearest multiple of 5; 1-7 is rounded to 4 and 0 is kept 0.

<sup>(1)</sup> Does not include unincorporated areas, except Angwin where specified.

<sup>(2)</sup> Except Angwin.

TABLE 6
RESIDENT DEMOGRAPHICS: PROFILE OF SOLANO COUNTY AND SELECTED CITIES
NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY
NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

	Solano	Solano County	<u></u>	Fair	Fairfield	Val	Vallejo
	Number	Percent	Z]	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Population	394,542	100%		96,178	100%	116,760	100%
Age Under 18	<del>\-</del>	28%		28,659		32,219	
	677,44	%-		10,684	<u>8</u>	15,392	13%
Households with Children Under 18 Years	58,196	45%		14,788	48%	16,771	42%
Households with Individuals 65 years and over	26,293	20%		5,731	19%	9,384	24%
Race White		56%			56%		36%
Black or African American		15%		-	15%		24%
Asian		13%			11%		24%
Other		10%			11%		10%
Two or More Races		%9			7%		%2
		100%			100%	· · · ·	100%
<b>Ethnicity</b> Hispanic/Latino		18%			19%		16%

Source: 2000 US Census; Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

APPENDIX TABLE A
LOCATION OF JOBS FOR NAPA COUNTY RESIDENTS
NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY
NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

	Napa County	unty	American	merican Canyon	Napa City	Olty	Yountville	fville	St. Helena	ena	Calistoga	oga	Unincorporated	orated
Residents Who Work	57,393	100%	4,164	100%	33,743 1	100%	974	100%	2,748	2,748 100%	2,290	100%	13,474	100%
Residents who Live and Work in Same Place (1)	21,146	37%	421	10%	17,002	50%	263	27%	1,170	43%	1,028	45%	1,262	%6
Residents who Work Outside of Place of Residence, but within Napa County	23,195	40%	1,116	27%	9,573	28%	575	29%	1,232	45%	968	42%	157.6	72%
Residents who work outside of Napa County	12,820	22%	2,627	83%	7,051	21%	131	13%	305	11%	294	13%	2,412	18%

(1) For Napa County, this is the number of Napa County residents who live and work in the same town; people who live in one fown and work in another town, even if they are both within Napa County, are not included. Also, this number does not include anyone who lives and works in an unincorporated area of Napa County except Angwin or Deer Park.

Source: U.S. Census 2000, Keyser Menston Associates.

APPENDIX TABLE B
WORKING RESIDENTS: AVERAGE ONE-WAY COMMUTE TIMES, 2000 AND 1990
NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY
NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

													٠	
	Napa County	Αm	American Canyon	anyon	Napa City	Sity	Yountville	vi∥a	St. Helena	eha	Calistoga	oda	Unincorporated	orated
2000												200		
Under 30 minutes	37,437 65%		2,152	52%	22,550	67%	695	71%	1,935	¥0.2	1,668	73%	8,437	63%
30 to 44 minutes	8,614 15%		769	18%	5,236	16%	11	11%	363	13%	254	17%	1,881	14%
45 minutes or longer			1,106	27%	4,774	14%	102	10%	229	8%	248	11%	1,968	15%
Worked at Home	2,915 5%		137	3%	1,183	4%	99	1%	221	8%	120	5%	1,188	%6
Total	57,393 100%		4,164	100%	33,743	100%	974	100%	2,748	100%	2,290	100%	13,474	100%
1990				•										
Under 30 minutes	35,698 69%		1,791	20%	20,511	71%	663	80%	1,805	78%	1,349	70%	9,579	%69
30 to 44 minutes	7,238 14%		664	19%	4,258	15%	70	%8	234	10%	240	12%	1,772	13%
45 minutes or longer	6,745 13%		1,054	30%	3,557	12%	88	11%	147	9%9	237	12%	1,662	12%
Worked at Home	1,892 4%		83	2%	289	2%	#	1%	131	%9	106	5%	305	9%
Total	51,573 100%		3,564	100%	29,013	100%	832	100%	2,317	100%	1,932	100%	13,915	100%
1990-2000									,					
Overall Growth in Working Residents	11%	- :	17%		16%		17%	***************************************	19%		19%		%6-	
Growth in Commutes Longer than 30 minutes	22%		%6		28%		35%		55%		2%		12%	
Growth in Commutes Shorter Than 30 minutes	5%		50%	:	40%		2%		%.2		24%		-12%	
Growth in Working at Home	54%		149%		72%		500%		%69		13%		32%	

Source: US Census, 2000. Keyser Marston Associates.

APPENDIX TABLE C
ABAG PROJECTIONS 2003: PROJECTED TOTAL JOBS BY JURISDICTION
NAPA HOUSING PREFERENCE STUDY
NAPA VALLEY HOUSING AUTHORITY

Napa Year Number	Napa County	American							i				
_ <u>Z</u>			canyon	Napa	ity.	Yountville	ville	St. Helena	lena	Calistona	toda	Imingar	norated
	County Share	Number	County Share	Number	County	Number	County		County :		County		County
						1	Cliate	an land	Silare	Number	Share	Number	Share
2000 66,840	0 100%	4.220	%9	31 660	747.07	0.770	, ,	. (					
		5 160	76%	000,00	9, 10	2,4 <u>k</u> 0	\$ 1	6,060	% 55	3,040	2%	19,440	29%
		2 0	2	) ) ) )	204	2,450	800	6,140	%	3.280	2%	20,630	2000
		200,0	% D	37.070	48%	2 490	706	טייני פ	200				2
_		7.530	796	40.230	7007	1 0	2 :	0,440	, 0	3,310	%	21,480	78%
	-	0001	2 6	000,00	2	7,080	3%8	6,300	%	3,390	4%	22.190	270%
	•	000,1	8	42,210	20%	2,620	%6	6,330	7%	3.430	40%	22 R10	7070
		8,290	10%	43,540	50%	2.630	%6	8 330	70%	077.6	, ,	0.00	77.70
_	•	8 590	10%	77 870	\aC#		3 6	200	2	0,440	8,4	22,840	%9Z
			2	74,040	0.00	7,000	3%	6,330	7%	3,460	4%	23.120	26%

# Incremental Growth by Period

	Napa County	ounty	American Canyon	Canyon	Napa City	Yountville	St. Helena	'a	Calistona	nda	Unincorporated	norstad
	Number	Number Percent	Number	Percent	Number Percent	Percent Number Berger			-			
						Tipole I contra	manipal Ference	I Cent	Number Percent		Number Percent	Percent
2002 - 2002	5,410	%	940	22%	2930 0%	200	č			Γ		
2005 - 2010	4.980	76%	1 500	è	2,000			- %	240		1,190	%9
2010 - 2015	200, 1	7 - 7	000	202	7,480	40 2%	80	1%	30	<b>1</b> %	850	707
	20.5	0%./	0/8	13%	3,260 9%	80 4%	2	10%	C	200	1 (0	2 2
2012 - 2020	2.870	3%	460	%9				2 ;	3	2,29	21.	80
2020 - 2025	1 880	700	2	2 6			) 0e 	 %	40	%	420	2%
2025 - 2030	1 920	200	0 6	0, 7			'	- %0	10	%0	230	%
	720,1	2.70	36	4%	1,300 3%	20 1%	,	- %C	20	1%	280	7.0%
2000 - 2030	22,150	33%	4,370	104%	13 180 42%	220 408/		-				2
							7 0/7	4%	420	76%	2 690	1007

Source: ABAG Projections 2003

Prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. File Name: ABAG projections.xls; Comparison; 11/3/2004; hgr