

CALISTOGA PLANNING COMMISSION

STAFF REPORT

TO Chair Coates and Members of the Planning Commission
FROM Lynn Goldberg, Planning & Building Director
Derek Rayner, Public Works Director
MEETING DATE January 22, 2019
SUBJECT Infrastructure Element Update (GPA 2019-1)

1 **ITEM**

2 Consideration of the draft updated Infrastructure Element of the Calistoga General Plan

3 **BACKGROUND**

4 The Infrastructure Element of the Calistoga General Plan provides information and
5 policy guidance related to utility infrastructure in Calistoga and its Planning Area.

6 The Element covers the following topics:

- 7 • Water Supply and Service
- 8 • Wastewater Collection and Treatment
- 9 • Stormwater Collection and Discharge

10 The current Infrastructure Element was adopted in 2003. Because significant changes
11 have occurred to the city's infrastructure systems since its adoption, as well as to
12 federal, state and regional regulations, the entire Element has been reviewed and
13 updated by Planning and Public Works Department staff.

14 **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT REVISIONS**

15 Water Supply and Service

16 The demand for water during an average normal year has significantly decreased from
17 1,025 acre-feet per year (afy) in 2002, to 656 afy in 2019. This decrease can be
18 attributed primarily to water conservation related to drought and rate increases). It can
19 also be attributed to expanded use of recycled wastewater for irrigation (increasing from
20 approximately 120 afy to 320 afy), and the enforcement of Stage I Water Emergency
21 conservation measures. The 2019 water demand is only 55 percent of the amount
22 projected for the year 2015 by the 2003 Infrastructure Element.

23 Water available to serve new demand ranges from 178 to 504 afy, after accounting for
24 standby customers, paid allocations, projects with development agreements (i.e.,
25 Calistoga Hills and Silver Rose) and bottling works unused obligations; as well as
26 potential fluctuations in supply from the State Water Project and North Bay Aqueduct.
27 There is sufficient water supply to accommodate current and potential demand (as
28 shown in Element Tables I-2 and I-3) through 2035, with water remaining.

29 Many changes to the city's water infrastructure have occurred since adoption of the
30 original Infrastructure Element. For example, the 1.5-million-gallon Mt. Washington
31 water storage tank has been constructed, and the 1-million gallon Feige tank and two
32 water delivery pump stations replaced. The development of a backflow prevention
33 program with required annual testing has reduced the intrusion of contaminated water
34 into the potable water supply.

35 Wastewater Treatment and Effluent Quality

36 The 2003 Infrastructure Element reported that the wastewater treatment plant had a
37 dry-weather capacity of 0.70 million gallons per day (mgd), with an average dry-weather
38 flow of 0.67 mgd. Since then, the plant's capacity has been increased to 0.84 mgd, and
39 the average dry-weather flow has decreased to 0.44 mgd. The reduced flows are
40 primarily attributable to the decrease in water use describe above; replacements of
41 sewer trunk main sections and improvements to two lift stations; and replacement of
42 some sewer collection pipes.

43 Wastewater treatment capacity remaining after accounting for standby customers, paid
44 allocations, development agreements, and bottling works unused obligations is
45 approximately .17 mgd. There is sufficient treatment capacity to accommodate current
46 and potential demand (as shown in Element Tables I-2 and I-3) through 2035, with
47 capacity remaining.

48 The City has been subject to a Cease and Desist Order (CDO) imposed by the San
49 Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board several years after the adoption of the
50 2003 Element. This order requires a reduction in disinfection by-products known as
51 trihalomethanes (THMs). It also requires the elimination of bypasses from the treated
52 wastewater spray fields, reduction of inflow and infiltration, measurement of source
53 pollution (e.g., antimony, boron) and installation of metering on geothermal dischargers.

54 In response to the CDO, the City built a 16MG recycle water storage pond that has to
55 date eliminated bypasses from occurring, changed its disinfection from chlorine to
56 chloramines to be compliant with THM levels, installed geothermal discharge meters on
57 significant dischargers, and done source testing on constituents of boron and antimony.

58 Modern facilities are required to use closed-loop heat exchange systems that re-inject
59 geothermal water into the geothermal aquifer, reducing geothermal discharge to the
60 sewer system. The geothermal meters will also encourage the conservation of
61 discharge. Mud bath businesses were educated on source pollutants encouraged to
62 utilize different mud materials that had significantly lower antimony levels and new
63 resorts have been required to install mud separators to further reduce this constituent. It
64 is anticipated over time the reduction of geothermal water and mud material source
65 reduction will further reduce boron and antimony in the city's sewer system. To address
66 inflow and infiltration, the City smoke tested and repaired inflow point sources, oversaw
67 the replacement of a mile-long trunk line and various sewer lines, and began developing
68 a sewer model.

69 **DISCUSSION**

70 The updated Infrastructure Element includes goals, objectives and actions intended to
71 continue the City's efforts of maintaining and improving its utility systems. The Element
72 demonstrates that there is adequate water supply and wastewater treatment capacity to
73 serve potential development until at least 2035.

74 The General Plan's 2003 Geothermal Element was adopted at a time when it was
75 common practice for users of geothermal water to directly discharge the waters to the
76 storm drain and/or the wastewater sewer systems for disposal. Therefore, the updated
77 Infrastructure Element incorporates relevant information from the Geothermal Element,
78 with the intent that the latter will be rescinded upon adoption of the updated
79 Infrastructure Element.

80 **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

81 Under Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
82 Guidelines, the proposed updated Infrastructure Element is not subject to CEQA
83 because it can be seen with certainty that they could not have a significant effect on the
84 environment.

85 **RECOMMENDATION**

86 Staff recommends that after conducting a public hearing, the Planning Commission
87 adopt a resolution recommending to the City Council adoption of the updated
88 Infrastructure Element of the Calistoga General Plan and rescission of the Geothermal
89 Element.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft resolution
2. Updated Infrastructure Element (distributed under separate cover)