## MINUTES

## CALISTOGA PLANNING COMMISSION

# May 13, 2020 at 5:30 p.m.

- Chair Coates reads a special message concerning the conduct of the meeting in accordance with special precautions and provisions related to COVID-19.
- 3 A. ROLL CALL
- Commissioners present: Paul Coates, Tim Wilkes, Alissa McNair, Doug Allan, Scott
- 5 Cooper. Absent: None. Staff present: Planning and Building Director Zach Tusinger,
- 6 City Clerk Kendall Rose Granucci, Permit Technician Claudia Aceves.
- 7 B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 8 C. PUBLIC COMMENTS
- 9 None
- 10 D. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA
- The meeting agenda of May 13, 2020 was adopted as presented.
- 12 E. COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE
- One email was received regarding each of the agendized items. They are available on the website, to the public in the back of the room, and have been provided to each of the Planning Commissioners.
- 16 F. CONSENT CALENDAR
  - 1. **Minutes Approval**: Draft minutes for the February 26, 2020 meeting The Consent Calendar was adopted as presented.
- 18 G. PUBLIC HEARINGS
  - 1. **1207 Silver Street Parcel Map PM 2020-2**: Consideration of a parcel map to divide the property at 1207 Silver Street into two parcels. **Vice Chair Wilkes** announces a conflict and an abstention due to proximity and leaves the meeting.
    - Director Tusinger presents the staff report, providing a summary of the application. He notes a variance was previously approved by the Planning Commission to allow this parcel map application to proceed. Normal conditions are attached to the draft resolution. He notes that the Council would have to grant a waiver to the undergrounding of utilities condition in order for that condition to be dropped.
  - The applicant, **Teresa Butler** is present for the meeting and is asked by **Chair Coates** if she wants to come up and address the Commission. She shakes her head no. Chair Coates says everyone is familiar with the request and asks for a motion.

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

A motion by **Commissioner Cooper** to adopt a resolution approving the parcel map is seconded by **Commissioner McNair** and approved unanimously 4-0 (Wilkes recusal).

 Dr. Wilkinson's Resort Modifications – UP 2020-3 and DR 2020-1: Consideration of use permit and design review applications to add five guest rooms and make architectural modifications to an existing resort at 1507 Lincoln Avenue

Director Tusinger presents the staff report. He reviewed the previous entitlement from last year and explained the changes being made under the current request. He noted that the construction fence that has gone up at the property is allowed as a grading permit has been issued for the project, but that it is important to understand that the grading permit is not dependent on the requested entitlements being requested now.

Chair Coates asks the applicant to come to the microphone and speak. Jim Cowee, introduces himself as the representative of the applicant. Maki Bara from the applicant team is also present via Zoom. He appreciates working with Calistoga's staff. Maki Bara thanks the Commission for facilitating this meeting. She believes the changes they are proposing are also very appropriate for COVID-19 related reasons. Cash flow is very challenging for them right now. As they have become more familiar with the property, they have found ways to add more value to the property by adding rooms and creating a better food and beverage experience. She notes that Jim Cowee is the first person to make a business trip for their team since COVID-19, having travelled to Calistoga from Colorado.

In response to **Chair Coates**' query for questions, **Commissioner McNair** asks if the changes will require the removal of additional trees. **Jim Cowee** indicates that no additional trees are intended to be removed.

**Vice Chair Wilkes** asks if the classic car shown on the plans would happen to be the Wilkinson's old Buick. **Jim Cowee and Maki Bara** indicate that it is an astute question and they intend to replicate that and pay homage to create a mood. Vice Chair Wilkes believes this is a great idea.

Chair Coates makes a follow up comment to Commissioner McNair's question about trees. He notes that sometimes applicants will show nice pictures at Planning Commission, but then what gets built is somewhat underwhelming. It is high on the Commission's priority list that the landscaping look good and be done the way it is presented. Maki Bara indicates that trees are very important to them and they understand the significance of existing and new trees on the site. All new trees that are planted will be mature.

Commissioner Allan notes that modular buildings look good in the drawings but are concerned about their execution. The materials and details will be important. Maki Bara indicates that the food & beverage buildings are important to their ambiance and brand proposition. **Commissioner Allan** asks about the public comment related to the hazards related to the adjacent softball field. Director Tusinger notes he shared the comment with the applicant. **Jim Cowee** indicates they were aware of the issue and wanted to observe the true nature of the problem before deciding on what steps to take. **Commissioner Cooper** doesn't think the Commission needs a Condition of Approval related to it. **Commissioner Allan** is ok with that approach.

**Commissioner Allan** appreciates the way the plans have changed and adapted. **Chair Coates** asks for public comments. There are none. **Vice Chair Wilkes** comments that he believes the modular buildings will be executed well. He also believes the softball field issue is the responsibility of the school district to address. **Chair Coates** agrees. He closes the public hearing.

A motion by **Commissioner McNair** to adopt a resolution approving the use permit and design review is seconded by **Commissioner Cooper** and approved 5-0.

#### H. GENERAL GOVERNMENT

1. **LED Sign Permit**: Consideration of a sign permit application to replace the existing monument sign with a new monument sign featuring LED price displays, at the 76 Gas Station at 1202 Foothill Boulevard. The proposal also includes new orange paint to accompany the branding and sign changes.

Director Tusinger provides a brief staff report and presentation and highlights the General Plan policies that are relevant to this proposal as it is located in the Lincoln/Foothill Gateway. The Zoning Code does not directly address LED lighting, but notes that neon and illuminated signs require Planning Commission review and approval.

Chair Coates asks the applicant to speak. Tom Vincent addresses the Commission on behalf of the applicant. He is in the image and branding group for 76. The whole company is in the process of going back to their retro branding with the orange color scheme. All 76 stations are being changed to the new retro branding. He believes the LED digits are better than the manual backlit prices. They are open to different colors (white or red) for the LEDs. He is happy to answer questions. He also directly addresses the opposition email and believes only flashing signs are prohibited. He does not believe the approval of the LED prices would set a dangerous precedent.

**Commissioner McNair** notes that there are a couple of significant LED signs in town on the school district properties, but they are outside the City's jurisdictional authority. **Vice Chair Wilkes** notes he is very familiar with them. **Commissioner McNair** says that the school signs are bright and move. She is happy that the 76 proposal does not move or flash. **Tom Vincent** says that at night, the logo on their sign is backlit.

**Commissioner Wilkes** asks if the LEDs could be manually dimmer. **Tom Vincent indicates** it may be possible, but that it would be difficult.

**Commissioner Allan** indicates he agrees with the email regarding this setting a precedent. Other communities mandate more muted designs, signs, and lighting. He also recognizes that businesses sometimes need a fresh coat of paint, but he has concerns related to the orange paint. This is marquee corner in Calistoga.

In response, **Tom Vincent** says that he believes that the ARCO at the other end of town does not present a good image for Calistoga. He believes their station will look much better and more understated. **Commissioner Cooper** has no problem with the design overall but does not like the LED prices. In response **Tom Vincent** says that they could keep the manual backlit digits and not do the LEDs. He would very much like approval of everything else that is being proposed.

Vice Chair Wilkes says that he is concerned about the LEDs, the lack of control associated with them, and the potential for them to be bad. He would be in support of everything else. Chair Coates says he does not recall any LED lights in Saint Helena either. He does not want a bunch of LED lights in front of other buildings and businesses in downtown Calistoga and he does not want this to set a precedent to allow that to happen. He is fine with the rest of the proposal. Vice Chair Wilkes remembers the discussion around Hydro's neon signs. They turned out okay, but this is different. He also lives across the street from the school's LED sign and knows the problems associated with it. Tom Vincent clarifies that the Commission is only concerned with the LED prices, not LED bulbs that backlight the internally lit logo. The Commissioners confirm that is correct.

A motion to approve the sign permit with the added and modified condition that there be no LED price displays and that the internally illuminated logo will retain the same brightness as the existing sign, and specifically eliminating draft conditions 8, 9, 10, and 11 by **Vice Chair Wilkes** is seconded by **Commissioner Cooper** and approved unanimously 5-0.

## I. MATTERS INITIATED BY COMMISSIONERS

**Vice Chair Wilkes** wonders if there is any thought to amending the sign code as it relates to LED lighting. In response Director Tusinger notes he would like to work on a sign code update this year if time allows. **Chair Coates** thanks Director Tusinger and the rest of City staff for assisting with this unique meeting.

#### J. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Tusinger indicates that there are likely to be agenda items ready for the May 27, 2020 meeting. He also indicated that the meeting would likely be held in the same format for COVID-related reasons, and likely would be that way for a while.

He is hoping to bring some other items to the Commission this summer including a code cleanup proposal related to accessory structure setbacks.

Planning Commission Minutes May13, 2020 Meeting Page 5 of 5

Director Tusinger reports that due to COVID, he was working with other up-valley Planning Departments to develop specific protocols and flexibility for restaurants and other businesses to temporarily expand outdoors.

# **K. ADJOURNMENT**

155

156

157

158

159

The meeting was adjourned at 6:41 pm.

Zach Tusinger, Secretary

