MINUTES

CALISTOGA PLANNING COMMISSION

January 13, 2021 at 5:30 p.m. via Zoom

- Vice Chair Wilkes called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. He read a special
- message concerning the conduct of the virtual meeting in accordance with
- 3 provisions related to COVID-19.

4 A. ROLL CALL

- 5 Commissioners present: Chair Scott Cooper, Vice Chair Tim Wilkes, Commissioners
- 6 Alissa McNair, Doug Allan and Jack Berquist. Staff present: Planning and Building
- 7 Director Zach Tusinger, Permit Technician Claudia Aceves.

8 B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

9 C. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Nominated by Vice Chair Wilkes, Commissioner Cooper was voted Chair and approved unanimously (5-0). Nominated by Chair Cooper, Vice Chair Wilkes was re-appointed as Vice Chair approved unanimously.

13 D. PUBLIC COMMENTS

14 None

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

15 E. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

The meeting agenda was adopted as presented.

17 F. COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

Director Tusinger notes that there were approximately 80 public comments received for the Dr. Wilkinson's mural. All comments were distributed to commissioners and posted on the website. Two more emails were received, to be read into the record.

G. CONSENT CALENDAR

- 1. Approval of Draft Minutes from the December 9, 2020 meeting
- 2. Adoption of 2021 Planning Commission Regular Meeting Calendar

The Consent Calendar was adopted as presented.

24 H. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Continued Item - Dr. Wilkinson's Mural - Sign Permit SP 2020-4:

- Consideration of a sign permit design review for a proposed mural on the Fair Way façade of the Dr. Wilkinson's resort at 1507 Lincoln Avenue (APN 011-092-038). This proposed action is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Section 15301 of the CEQA Guidelines.
- Director Tusinger provides the staff report noting that as the hotel approaches the finish line of renovations, they are proposing a mural on the Fair Way façade,

which requires the commission's approval. He says this is a follow up from the two previous meetings, showing the street view of the proposed mural location and a rendition of the mural, which he notes has not changed since the last meeting. He recaps the Municipal Code guidelines for approving murals and reminds commissioners that the applicants were required to post a banner, which received at least 80 comments since posting.

Commissioner Berquist asks Director Tusinger for clarification that the mural design does not violate the General Plan or sign ordinance, to which Tusinger responds no.

Robert Kline, on behalf of applicant Maki Bara, addresses the commission commenting that he appreciates allowing the community to engage and did not expect such a universal reaction, acknowledging there were a few more negative comments than positive. He notes that they took the commissioners initial comments into consideration, including adding local elements to the mural, which is ironic because the local flower is what evokes a Hawaiian feel. He adds that the mural is a gift they'd like to provide the community and while it does benefit them, it is meant to create discourse without being negative.

The artists, **Will and Nikki Callnan**, echo Mr. Kline's comments adding that art is meant to draw a reaction, so they are excited about the amount of community engagement.

Chair Cooper comments that he appreciates them getting the banner up quickly and appreciates the artwork.

Public comments by **Karen Lynn Ingalls** and **Donna Higgins** are in favor of the mural, noting that Calistoga should not become a place of censorship that doesn't welcome creativity and questioning the definition of "historical" when applied to public art. Commenter **Marta** is opposed to the mural believing that is it too large and not and not in keeping with Calistoga's small town feel.

Two public comments received via email by **Pat Merchant** and **Laurie Shelton** were in favor of the mural welcoming business during these hard times as well as uplifting each other.

Vice Chair Wilkes addresses some comments that were received, clarifying that the Planning Commission invites public input and their job is to listen, as comments claimed what the commission was doing was unnecessary. He adds that if there is an inclination to approve the mural, he would like to attach a condition to remove the three flowers.

Chair Cooper adds that they are taking comments in an effort to approve the mural, as he doesn't know a lot about art and wanted to learn the community's opinion.

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

Commissioner McNair says her position has not changed and supports the 70 mural and art. She adds she counted the comments at 42 (for) to 55 (against).

> Commissioner Allan says his stance also hasn't changed and thinks art doesn't need to please everyone and should spark conversation, as this piece has done, and should not be offensive, which this piece is not. He disagrees with Vice Chair Wilkes' comment about removing the flower and thinks the artist should create the art.

> **Commissioner McNair** adds that the applicant mentioned modifying the flower, noting that she likes either the poppies or popcorn flower and would still support the art with or without the flowers.

Commissioner Allan reiterates that he supports the artist's vision.

Commissioner Berquist considering the applicant and community perspectives, says the art is not in violation of any codes, is on private property and doesn't believe it is inappropriate or offensive. He was happy with the community participation noticing many comments focused around the flowers and the appropriateness to town, which he said is personal and hard to define. He comments he is supportive of the art without forcing them to make any changes.

Chair Cooper comments that his challenge was the resistance against considering suggestions by commissioners. He liked the depiction of the Palisades, which were ultimately removed by the artist.

A motion by **Commissioner Allan** to approve the item as presented is seconded by Commissioner Berquist and approved by all except Chair Cooper (4-1).

3. Indian Springs Food & Beverage Expansion – Design Review DR 2020-10 and Use Permit UP 2020-12: Consideration of design review and use permit applications to permit the addition of a new food & beverage establishment with outdoor dining near Lincoln Avenue on the lawn of the Resort at Indian Springs at 1712 Lincoln Avenue (APN 011-340-027). This proposed action is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines.

Director Tusinger provides the staff report describing the proposed project to install a manufactured airstream with prep kitchen and bar to facilitate outdoor dining, to be permanently connected to utilities. He notes that due to COVID, the hotel had a similar establishment temporarily and is now seeking to make it permanent. He shows an example photo of a similar setup the applicant is interested in doing, as well as a site plan showing relation to Lincoln Avenue, noting a 15-foot setback as it is zoned Community Commercial. He says draft conditions include lighting to be dark sky compliant, no amplified music or

entertainment and signage to be reviewed by staff. He says this is intended to be a permanent amenity.

Vice Chair Wilkes asks if they will be serving alcohol. Director Tusinger responds the applicants intend to serve alcohol, which will require separate ABC approval. He adds that ABC has a rigorous process and if anything came up that merited bringing it back to commissioners, staff would accommodate.

Commissioner Allan says he understands this kind of situation requires some type of partition, which he asks the applicant for clarification.

Applicant, **Daniel Merchant**, responds that their liquor license carries across the entire property. He says this proposal would go next to an existing event space where there is a prep kitchen, which already has ABC sign-off.

Commissioner Allan wonders if ABC would require anything more than stanchions and the degree to which they apply their standards and Mr. Merchant adds that it usually includes the "no alcohol beyond this point" which they already have on property.

Commissioner McNair asks for clarification about the prep kitchen near the restrooms and if it is intended for this proposal and Mr. Merchant responds that it would be mostly used for storage. He adds that it is part of an existing meeting room and is mainly for refrigeration. He says the food prep will be occurring at the restaurant, although the airstream will include the equipment necessary including refrigeration.

Commissioner McNair asks for clarification on the live music, and **Mr. Merchant** responds that there was live acoustic guitar during the summer meant as mellow background music. He comments on the vicinity of hotel rooms, which would deter them from having loud music.

Commissioner Berquist comments that he thinks it would be a great addition and wonders if there is a requirement for additional screening or landscape. Director Tusinger responds that staff is recommending a final landscape plan be approved and commissioners may add additional requirements if the applicant agrees.

Commissioner Allan asks about operating hour restrictions. Director Tusinger responds that ABC has some requirements and the city has a noise ordinance and the PD would enforce any complaints.

Mr. Merchant says they intend to close at 9 p.m. or sooner, as they observed the crowd dies down by 8 p.m.

Chair Cooper asks about the use permit, and Director Tusinger responds that if the Merchants want to move the airstream from its proposed fixed location, it would require a new Design Review or Use Permit to go before the commission.

Commissioner McNair asks to make clear the amplified music.

Vice Chair Wilkes asks about disposable cups and plates making alcohol consumption difficult to police, which Mr. Merchant said they did during the summer and had no trouble policing.

Vice Chair Wilkes adds that amplified music doesn't sound like an issue and doesn't have a problem with it as long as it stays within the city noise ordinance, to which Commissioner Berquist and Vice Chair Cooper agree.

A motion by **Commissioner Allan** that Planning Commission adopt a resolution approving Use Permit 2020-12 and Design Review 2020-10 with the change in striking the "No amplified music or entertainment allowed," is seconded by **Vice Chair Wilkes** and approved unanimously (5-0).

3. **Municipal Code Amendment – ZOA 2020-3:** Consideration of a recommendation to the City Council regarding proposed amendments to the Calistoga Municipal Code Title 17 Zoning. The amendments are intended to modify the limits and requirements for new tasting rooms in the Downtown Commercial and Community Commercial Zoning Districts. This proposed action is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Section 15305 of the CEQA Guidelines.

Consultant Planner, **Justin Shiu**, of M-Group presents the discussion item. He reminds commissioners he first brought the discussion item to them in November regarding regulating wine tasting rooms in the downtown area. After receiving commissioner feedback, staff proposed updating the zoning code text and preparing a tasting room policy for regulating wine tasting rooms. Mr. Shiu says the zoning code updates are minor with the biggest change being the creation of a new tasting room definition from "Winery Tasting Room" to "Tasting Room," to encompass other types of alcohol. He adds the new tasting room policy would be a supplemental document to the zoning code. The policies proposed include limit of two permits per year, limit of 12 storefronts along Lincoln Avenue in DC district, 18 storefronts in DC district as a whole, limit of 2 storefronts along Washington Street in CC district and 8 storefronts in CC district as a whole.

Due to a technical difficulty, **Director Tusinger** jumps in for Mr. Shiu noting that this would be a policy referral recommended by Planning Commission to City Council.

Mr. Shiu says staff is also proposing a secondary use requirement, which would make 25 percent of the net floor area dedicated to a secondary use in addition to

tasting room to promote retail diversity. Additionally, staff recommends the secondary use be at the front of the establishment.

Vice Chair Wilkes asks whether during the analysis, were physical extensions of retail on Lincoln Avenue were taken into consideration. Director Tusinger responds that the percentages are based on the number of storefronts downtown currently. He adds that part of the reason for recommending bifurcating into municipal code amendments that refer to a separate policy document is that as downtown changes, the city can respond to changing conditions effectively.

Vice Chair Wilkes adds that for all the years of discussion, staff nailed it.

Commissioner Allan asks if there were any more public comments and Director Tusinger recalls some comments from previous discussions, but none for this meeting. Commissioner Allan adds that he wants to make the number of tasting rooms clear, as Calistoga Wine Stop is a retail wine shop and the Grade is closed. Director Tusinger responds that the Wine Stop has a tasting room use permit and the Grade's use permit runs with the land, both of which have not lapsed yet.

Commissioner McNair asks about historical trends in approving tasting rooms. Director Tusinger says applications come in waves, but he has seen up to two or three a year at the most. He adds that staff is doing their best to propose something and start a conversation based on the analysis of different cities and based on commissioner feedback, staff recommends that they incorporate non-wine retail to a project.

Commissioner Berquist asks if there are advertising requirements for signage as retail versus tasting room. Director Tusinger responds there isn't anything in particular related to signage, although staff is working on the signage ordinance separately. He adds that anything proposed would have to comply with the allowed uses in the zoning district, in regards to retail.

Commissioner Allan says he believes this is a solution in search of a problem. He doesn't see a problem in the number of tasting rooms, but sees a problem with all the empty storefronts. Rather than limiting, we should be promoting wine.

Commissioner McNair references places like Healdsburg and Carmel which have tasting room after tasting room as a counter to Commissioner Allan's comment.

Vice Chair Wilkes comments that they've heard from the community for years about limiting tasting rooms adding that because it is not an issue right now doesn't mean it won't become an issue in a month or later.

Planning Commission Minutes January 13, 2021 Meeting Page 7 of 7

Commissioner Allan says they should focus on the landlords who won't rent at a lower rate for businesses we need.

Vice Chair Wilkes adds that this gives them a tool to be able to reject a tasting room if there is public outcry. He says it is a good place to start and can be modified.

Commissioner Berquist agrees with Allan that it's better to have tasting rooms than empty storefronts but realizes it can be changed.

Chair Cooper adds that this will give them the ability to slow tasting rooms down, which has been a community concern for a long time.

Commissioner McNair comments that the proposed numbers feel comfortable and likes the retail idea for tasting rooms.

Commissioner Allan adds that he can recognize there is plenty of room to grow.

A motion by **Vice Chair Wilkes** that the Planning Commission adopt a resolution recommending to the city council approval of zoning code amendments to modify tasting room standards and to adopt a separate tasting room policy is seconded by **Commissioner McNair** and approved by all except Commissioner Allan (4-1).

I. MATTERS INITIATED BY COMMISSIONERS

Vice Chair Wilkes asks Director Tusinger about the Dr. Wilkinson's signage, which has not been removed since the last meeting. Director Tusinger says he will follow up with them to remove the temporary signage.

J. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Tusinger reports that he will be taking time off in February and is doing his best to get current items in the January meetings. There are no major items for February, as of yet, and if any time sensitive material comes up he will make a meeting happen. He says Justin Shiu will be at the next meeting. Director Tusinger also updates the commissioners on the two remaining buildings requiring retrofits, which should occur this year.

K. ADJOURNMENT

On a motion from **Chair Cooper** that is adopted unanimously (5-0), the meeting was adjourned at 7:20 p.m.

Claudia Aceves, Secretary