Zach Tusinger, Planning & Building Director Calistoga Planning Commission

Re: Use Permit Amendment: UP 2021-9 Design Review DR 2021-2

Dear Commissioners:

I am asking the commissioners to reject any conditional use permit requests submitted by the property owner of 345 Silverado Trail, Calistoga and direct the owner of this property remove the peafowl, relocating them to a property more in keeping and appropriate for their conduct.

These are beautiful but extremely loud birds and their habitual calling is most unsettling. I live in Chateau Calistoga. When first heard, it sounded like a woman screaming in pain or an animal being tortured. I almost called the police. I do not think them appropriate in an area that is as close as 50 feet from residential properties located in Chateau Calistoga or any other property in relatively close proximity.

These birds can be heard blocks and blocks away from the property where they live. Their call is ungodly. Every time it starts it raises the hackles on the back of my neck! It is nerve-racking and during mating can be heard as early as 5:30 a.m. and as late as midnight. Their mating season lasts for many months.

There is a wealth of information on the internet supporting proper location of these birds and 345 Silverado Trail is not what I consider one of them. It has disturbed the serenity and peace of mind of anyone living in the area.

Respectfully, I am asking that the owner of this property not be granted ANY permit amendments. I am hoping he will be asked to find new accommodations for these birds.

Thank you.	
Sincerely,	

Jeri Hansen

October 11, 2021

OBJECTION TO REQUESTED USE PERMIT AMENDMENT UP 2021-9, and Design Review DR 2021-2 for 345 Silverado Trail, Calistoga (APN 01-080-032) 2021

Dear Planning Commission Members,

City of Calistoga

BECEINED

I am writing to object to some of the above requested use permit amendments, specifically the aviaries and numerous birds on this property. I have lived at Chateau Calistoga for 21 years. Twenty-one peaceful, quiet years. That is, up until the property was sold in July of 2020 to the current owner. Soon after the owner's acquisition of the property, we at Chateau Calistoga have experienced noise, noise and more noise. Noise from construction (much without permits), noise from large late-night parties, noise from loud motorized vehicles and noise from the extensive "bird farm", which the current owner has installed.

Chateau Calistoga has been here as a Senior Mobile Home Park for approximately 50 years. It is inconceivable that in a short 1 ½ years, our lives have been so thoroughly up-ended by this one ABSENTEE person (the new owner of the property). Our "right to quiet enjoyment" of our homes has been destroyed. Please note that seniors live here and in mobile home parks primarily for three reasons: 1) affordable housing in their later years, 2) peace and 3) quiet. We no longer have the peace and quiet we once enjoyed and to which we are entitled, all because of this ONE, NEW property owner. Pretty astonishing that one person can be so destructive! We respectfully request that this torture and unfair situation come to an end immediately.

AVIARIES:

City officials have described the non-conforming aviaries as:

"As labeled on the Exhibits 185 (see Attachment 6) the aviary net enclosure is approximately 7,500 square feet and 20 186 feet high, and the duck enclosure is approximately 2,500 square feet and 20 feet high." The City has also informed us that there are currently 12 peafowl (originally there were 19), 20-25 ducks as well as other various birds.

The owner's installation of this very high and elaborate netting system to protect his birds has obstructed what used to be lovely, pristine, idyllic and valuable views of Mt. Washington. The netting is supported by high, ugly posts. Here in full display is the lack of concern the owner demonstrates for his neighbors' properties.

Tantamount is the affect this netting system and "bird farm" have on the natural biology of this area, which factually is situated along the "Pacific Flyway", the avian "freeway" for migrating birds, which extends from Mexico to Canada. There are approximately 326 bird species found in Napa County, several of which are recognized as rare, threatened, or endangered. The pond, watershed and wetlands on the owner's property have probably been there for generations, and visited annually by migrating birds. The result of the owner's alteration of this <u>natural habitat</u> is that the many <u>native bird species</u> can no

(1074)

longer fully utilize the pond, wetlands and watershed. The <u>non-native habitat excludes</u> <u>native waterfowl and wildlife</u>. Has the Environmental Protection Agency or the Audubon Society (for example) been contacted?

My comments on the birds, themselves, is noted below.

HECEIVED

OCT 1 3 2021

City of Calistoga

My rebuttal to the owner's answers to the City:

- 1. His statement that peacocks are not notoriously loud is false. Look at any "Google" page about peacocks, and the first thing noted is that peacocks are notoriously loud, and are impossible to quiet unless their vocal cords are removed (not a conscionable remedy to consider). The sounds peacocks make is often compared to "a woman being murdered" or a large cat or cats yowling as if in distress. It far surpasses the noise of a rooster or the constant barking of a dog, which our City Ordinances address. In addition to the screeching, screaming and yowling quality of these birds, their loudness compounds this whole disturbance. And, this screaming quality travels for long distances. In fact, there are many peacock law-suits on the books that attest to the FACTS of these hideous noise disturbances. Many U.S. states and even some countries have laws against housing peacocks!
- 2. The owner states that he has recordings of his birds to confirm his statements that they are not loud and disturbing. We have our own recordings from our properties that prove the opposite. Recordings taken from early morning, and from all hours of the day and night. We invite anyone to listen to these recordings.
- 3. The owner states that the police log of noise disturbances from our Park are not many, and therefore there is no problem. My letter of August 20, 2021 to City Council Members, Planning Commission members, etc., explains why. We homeowners at Chateau Calistoga are OLD, and are of a mind-set NOT to phone the police without an immediate, life-or-death matter. This FACT is extremely important to this conversation. Additionally, City Officials have recently told us that we no longer need to phone the police because they are now aware of this serious disturbance.
- 4. The owner's statement that he has only had ONE loud party is laughable. Upon signing the petition of October 2021 from Chateau Calistoga Mobile Home Park owners, many homeowners asked about "all the loud parties", stating they can recall at least 5 or 6.
- 5. The FACT that the owner states that he "would like to come to an agreement with the City on how the birds can be managed on-site prior to submitting a formal use permit application" is concerning and questionable. Unless the owner keeps these peafowl in a sound proof building (which would certainly be inhumane), there is no way other than their vocal cord extraction (again, unthinkable) to mitigate their ear-piercing sounds.

 There is simply not enough square footage on this property to provide the adequate open-space area for these birds. That's a fact.

(2074)

Additionally, what could the owner be suggesting regarding establishing "an agreement with the City on how the birds can be managed on-site..."? I dislike having to suggest this, but the owner certainly appears to be very wealthy, and perhaps he may be thinking about a nice donation to fatten the City coffers in exchange for letting him be "special" and not having to abide our City Ordinances? It appears due to his lack of compliance regarding City Ordinances, and his obvious desire to fight our laws instead of complying and doing the right things, i.e., respect City Ordinances, be a good neighbor, and be considerate of the health and well-being of his neighbors. Instead, unfortunately, he demonstrates privilege, arrogance and determination to get his own way, regardless of how it impacts others. He has truly and seriously negatively impacted the fabric of our lives.

I submit that the shrieking, screaming peacock noise is a health issue for height spring Seniors. It is causing us stress, disruption of sleep (both day and night) and unnecessary aggravation, which can negatively impact health. This is a Senior issue, a health issue of the same is a human issue.

I wonder why the owner <u>insists</u> on housing these birds. Does he plan on breeding them and selling them? (Peafowl are prolific breeders, and are an expensive product to buy). If so, would a bird farm BUSINESS be an acceptable addition to a property WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS of Calistoga? If the owner simply wants them here because he wants them here, and he's rich and is used to getting his own way, well then that's another unacceptable story.

Important to note that relocating the peacocks (especially) needs to be done immediately because their breeding / mating season is 6 (six) months long, usually beginning in the very early spring. However, due to our global warming crisis, their mating season may very well begin earlier than the spring, perhaps even as early as February. Additionally, peafowl are especially noisy during mating season. I can imagine the owner resisting and stalling the relocation of the birds to co-inside with their breeding season, using this delicate time as an excuse to not move them. Again, the sooner the birds are removed, the better we will all be.

DEPRESSING OUR PROPERTY VALUES:

Homeowners on the perimeter of the Chateau Calistoga Mobile Home Park pay a premium for their location. Between the horrific sounds of the peacocks, geese and other fowl, plus the ugly huge netting aviaries, our properties have been devalued significantly. Who would purchase a property that experiences this horrendous bird noise? Who would purchase a property with a view of ugly, looming netting, unnecessarily hiding one of the most beautiful views in Calistoga? Simple solution: if

(374)

the birds were not there, there would be no need for the netting, and our property values would be healthy and competitive once again.

In replying to the owners' requested use permit amendment, the City has specifically cited the appropriate Zoning Ordinances, CMC Ordinances and other violations to conclude the following recommendations:

"... under the property's use permit that the aviaries be removed from the property, and that 393 peacocks and other non-native bird species not be allowed to be kept outdoors. Staff 394 recommends that the Planning Commission deny aviaries, and not allow peacocks and 395 other non-native bird species to be kept outdoors on the subject property."

The owner's obnoxious additions to and usage of his property have had a monumental impact on his Calistoga neighbors, to which he appears oblivious. His illegal and unnecessary property usage is unacceptable and needs to be rectified by City government immediately. We implore the City Planning Commission to please follow the recommendations of City Staff and help us regain our "right to quiet enjoyment" of our homes.

The "David and Goliath" story rears its ugly head frequently. The ultra-rich, out-of-towners often are inconsiderate of long-time, not-so-rich local homeowners. Hopefully, in this case David will prevail again!

CONCLUSION:

We seniors depend on and need the might of the City to protect us.

We are counting on the sound judgement and authority of our City officials to protect us and our town.

Thank you for your kind attention to this serious matter.

Sincerely,

Lorry Hood

924 Champagne North

Calistoga, CA 94515

lhood3000@comcast.net

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 2021

City of Calistoga

(494)

We, the undersigned, are home owners at the Chateau Calistoga Mobile Home Park. We respectfully object to the request by the owner of our neighboring property at 345 Silverado Trail, to continue many of the illegal and unpermitted activities happening there, including: (I) the incessant loud, shrieking noises from the 12+ peacocks (7 days a week), (II) loud sounds from 20-25 ducks, numerous geese, and other non-native species, (III) the loud music and voices emanating from frequent parties, (IV) the frequent noise from loud motorized vehicles (including motorcycles and quad bikes), and (V) the installation of a very high, 20' looming fence netting, which protects the birds and obstructs our views. This is all going on less than 50' from senior homes.

The subject property is WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS, for which there are many City Ordinances regarding (1) "Activities objectionable by reason of noise..." and (2) rules about the number and types of animals allowed and 3) a restriction regarding fence position and height (6 ft height allowed).

The current owner of this property is violating many of the above, which are adversely affecting our health and our right to the <u>quiet enjoyment</u> of our homes. This is a human issue, a senior issue, it is a health issue.

OCT 1 3 2021

We respectfully request that the City:

City of Calistoga

- (A) Deny the request of the owner of 345 Silverado Trail to change or amend or be exempt from the current City Ordinances, which he is currently in violation of,
- (B) Have the owner immediately remove all peafowl, geese, and other noisy animals / birds,
- (C) Have the owner reduce the number of ducks (now 20-25) to no more than 5, to be in conformity with the number of chickens per property, currently permitted by City Ordinance,
- (D) Amend the existing City ordinances to prohibit the housing of peafowl and other noisy animals / birds within the City Limits,
- (E) Amend the existing City ordinances to prohibit the use of loud motorized vehicles such as motorcycles and quad bikes within the City Limits.

Many of us in the Chateau Calistoga Mobile Home Park haved lived here for many years and we have a right to continue to live the peaceful quiet life we have enjoyed here in Calistoga.

DATE	NAME (printed)	SIGNATURE	ADI	DRESS	
10-9-21	Cehreena Olive	r CNG	h 3	gl Chablis	South
12-9-21	michael Oliver	Michael	Thirty	((1. /
(10-9-W)	Too Sand	No Local	Soundale	\mathcal{L}	
10-94	OLGA Gui V	to so to	The Start	7307 Qualit	esara
10/9/21	Jeanne Lavarter	De Rakert	w/31)	Chablis Sor	Jh
18/9/21	Jerome Lavarta	Jund	1/2	31) Chalolis.	South
10/9/2	Lowell White-	JWell FA	Allueli:	315 Chablis S	outh
10 (9) 2	1 VALGEROS A.R.	MPaANU	Valorie	A. Randol al	WELLES SI
18 9/2/	LEE HODE	BES N	Latter 1	Rolge	
[0-10-9	Mark Olac	nea /	Now C	ladre itol Bi	sondy5
10-09-	2021 Mady Pelle	marlys Gil	MOF 23	Changage S	outh_
10 -9	Hum Tookh	>	927	CHAMPAGNS	80,
10-9	I Ama Cap	a	· (· · ·)	il u	н
10/9	Joan allrig	JOAN ALB	601 X	ort South	
1, ,9	SERENJAH /	DIAND	Mollie	Q14 CHAM	PAGNE WEZ
10 9	Maryann Saling	er Sta	Billing	/ 8/4 champ	sagne Cor E.
\$ 6/9,	Jessica Marsh	rall the	Forwall	race 800 cho	ungagno B
10/9	Kim McCou	et Di	n M Cai	J 944 Ch	ampagne No
10-9	Margarito	Kamirez	Jugarga	ulo Komer	Jan Ward
10-9	Silvia Bar	ninez ,	Silve	e) Lam	TO MANO D.
10-9	FIDEL Bue	END 1	Ful	& Blieno	A
			303 CH	unffen E	V

DATE	NAME (printed)	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS
10/10/2	BRUCE Joh	nson 1	770 Chyret W
10/10/2	1 Patricia Co	erey Tatricia	
10/10/	al Richel	Androne	- OW way
10/1	0/21 Janel Wa	Hins Yarul h	Tallto 942 Champagne N.CA
DETOP	ER 10, 2021 RO	BIN BORDOW AB	SLAN 942 CHAMPAONE NORTH
10/1	0/21 Drang G	yrustiken fin	11 _ 934 Chargrane North
101	0 21 Lydie	Crosul 9	35 Champayne North
10/1	0/21 Maxy	undo O Salya	de 600 PM North
10/10	21 Mm + Patou	Hah 614 10R	THE FATSY MAKE
l, at	14	u W	Wantal
10/10	21 Sandra Sue Ha	PEN M937CH	rampigno So Sandra Der Harper
10/10	Ann Hethcark		11 11 Min
70/N	Cripthia &	ung Cythex	208 Champagne Dest
10/11	Sue Bradfo	ind S	-204 Champange West
Rolu	JUE KUHN	Buckgeln	> 224 CHAMPAGNE WEST
10/11	BOB KUHN	Bol Kuhn	224 ChAMP AGAR WEST
10/11	TERRAN BOYD	Terran Boyd	
10/11	Dick KnyKerda	a West kylina	
10/11	DIANE KUYKEN	ITALL Ducku	yeard 905 CHAMPAGNES
10 lu	ANDEE BORCS	in i ander Bu	Seni 607 Shory So
10/11	1 1		A Michaels

DATE	NAME (printed)	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	
00 (10	Regina Penna	Regina his	Poura 520 Sherry ne	oith .
10/10	Patricia Jane	Voseler Pater	CIA JANE VOGGERTING BU	irgunag/
10/10	Jeri Langer	All Hous	en 418 Burgundey	₹/,
10/10	mike Thates	5 410	Burgulof	
telo	David Lamas	410	Burgundy N	
16/10	14.0		PORT SOUTH Charles	4. dalic
10/10	noli Rodic	a cos	port South noti R	2. Redica
10/11	Maryour	Durcan "	Flo Burgundy N	94515
10/11	Susan Cho	inness 41	5 Burgundy So. Call	stoge CA
10/10	Lois fanz	onl 3	18 Charles N. Calisto	gala,
10/10	CAROL CLOIN	Coulding		
1010	Jerome C	lairtel	-408 Burguno	LY &
19/10	EPIKA PUSE	y Sika	Duy 306 CHABLIS	CALISTOGA CA 94515
W/13	Sarah Pusey	SOTULIA	NOW 306 challis N	
90/13	Kin Bar	uis 312 ch	ablis n. Caledaga	754
10-2	3- John Sic	Barrios	312 CHABLIS & CALIS	TOGA \$\$ 15
10-13	3 Panula S.	McCullage	202 Champagne W. C	alistoga 515
10/13/			210 Champagne W Ca	listoga 94575
10/13/	2 Paul John	slow	210 Champagnew Ca	945/5
10/13	Margaget Joan Br	UNO Toganthoon		4515
10/13	FRANK L. BRUND	Frank & But	212 Champacke W 9	4515

DATE	NAME (printed)	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	
0/9/21	SUSAN KEITER	Dush Sti	1 702 Claret n.	-A
10/9/2	WILLAM GRA	i6 105	710 CLANST	Barth
10/9/215	BARBARA LE 1	Nieur Loland	LEMIEUR 708 CLAREN.	
10/9/21	Lynette Pri	ce Leutst	Per 904 clonet 1	<u></u>
10/9/21	GEORGE 1.	Whings All	W JUN 936 CHANPAGE	est -
6-2-2	1 KAKUN S	Subl WAN	930 Hoant CNE	$\sim N_{\sim}$
10191	21 Castlin Co	dest May	es 932 Clayane	N
10/9/21	Suranne Bake	N SO	926Champagne 1	<u>j</u>
10/9/21	LORRAINE HOOD	Loffed	924 Clarpage N	/
12/W.09	ARK ETIN	615	- SOL SHERKER N.	
10/10/	21 TRINA	Etima Es	3 502 Sheet	n
10/10/2	21 John GALLAN	A John Goll	et 518 SHENG neight	
118/10/	121 Deb Dan	roth Debra	Davon 518 8herry	horot
12/10/2	1 Robin Ric	hardson Dolin	Di 716 Clavet 1	Vo.
10/10/2	1 Susan S	Chito Sum	n South 721 Claret	- S.
10/10/	of Teresa Know	sich Lucy	Entolich 823 Cha	mpagne 1
10/10/			ww geefe) 813	CHARPAGNE
10/10/-		M 8.	21 Champague &	
10/10/8		In Solinje	814 Champagne & Colistoga a	A 94515
10/10/2			Ob Chowpagne E Ca	
10/10/			06 Champagne & Colès	toga CA
1	0		<u> </u>	U

DATE	NAME (prin	nted)	SIGNATUR	RE	ADDRESS		
10/10/2	LANA	YELVIN	Jan &		922 0	usmpagne,	NORTH
inlinks	Arex	YELNIN	Rex	15	922	Cusonparve	\sim
10/10/	121 Die	La Tayl	ev h	Butter	1 504	hermy;	Dough
10/11/21	ADAM	LMIS (1	dam I	Elin	V 918 CHAMPAG	WE N. CAUST	06A, CA 945 15
10	10/21	Debb:	ie Fisch	w Du	2 5/1	Sherry NCo	Vista G
10/11	121 C	LIVE RIC	CUALDSON	Live	felordon	718 CLAR	67 LURU
10-11-21	BILL	-BROK	CH Be	1/2/	520	SHERRY	CAL
10-11-2	1 Tener	le SAUNA	ers for	ale Soins	les 506 B		cal.
10-12-		MES I	- doy	DER de	and Red	gder Box	85 Post South
10-13	21 Sh	ellie St	evens	Mes	80800	rampage E	ć
10-13	- 21 STE	IN Johns	stance	8 2	os champ	age East	•)
70 10	VIC	no other	0351	- 2			
						N	