# **CITY OF CALISTOGA** PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, June 11, 2008 5:30 PM **Calistoga Community Center** 1307 Washington St., Calistoga, CA

Chairman Jeff Manfredi **Vice- Chairman Clayton Creager Commissioner Carol Bush Commissioner Paul Coates Commissioner Nicholas Kite** 

### "California Courts have consistently upheld that development is a privilege, not a right."

Among the most cited cases for this proposition are Associated Home Builders, Inc. v. City of Walnut Creek, 4 Cal.3d633 (1971) (no right to subdivide), and Trent Meredith, Inc. v. City of Oxnard, 114 Cal. App. 3d 317 (1981) (development is a privilege).

Chairman Manfredi called the meeting to order at 5:33 PM

**ROLL CALL** 

1 2

3 4

9 10

11 12

15 16

18

21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

5 Present: Chairman Jeff Manfredi, Vice-Chairman Clayton Creager, Commissioner Carol Bush, Commissioner Paul Coates. Absent: Commissioner Kite. Staff: Charlene Gallina, Planning and 6 Building Director, Erik Lundquist, Associate Planner, and Kathleen Guill, Planning Commission 7 8 Secretary. Absent: Ken MacNab, Senior Planner.

**B. PUBLIC COMMENTS** 

C. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

13 There was motion by Vice-Chairman Creager, seconded by Commissioner Coates to approve 14 the agenda as presented. Motion Carried: 4-0-1-0.

D. CONSENT CALENDAR

17

- 1. Planning Commission Minutes of the regular meeting of April 23, 2008 2. Planning Commission Minutes of the regular meeting of May 14, 2008
- 19 20
  - 3. Planning Commission Minutes of the regular meeting of May 28, 2008

There was motion by Vice-Chairman Creager, seconded by Commissioner Coates to approve the Consent Calendar as presented. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0**.

E. TOUR OF INSPECTION

F. COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

G. PUBLIC HEARING

1. VA 2008-01, U 2008-04 and DR 2008-07. Consideration of two Variances: 1) to allow a 7'-0" front yard setback, where 20'-0" is required and 2) to allow parking within the 20'-0" front yard setback along Hazel Street. This project also includes the consideration of a Conditional Use Permit and Design Review application to operate a wine tasting and sales business within a 600 square foot structure on the property located at 1224 Lincoln Avenue (APN 011-253-002) within the "DC-DD", Downtown Commercial - Design District. The Applicant also requests the ability to conduct interim wine tasting and sales within the existing residence while the existing 600 square

Planning Commission Minutes June 11, 2008 Page 2 of 7

foot structure is being renovated. The applicant is Frank and Eugenia Romeo of Romeo Vineyards & Cellars, LLC. This proposed action is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines.

**Commissioner Coates** noted a correction reporting the Staff Report documentation identified as agenda item H-1 should have been referenced as agenda Item G-1 in conformance with the Agenda.

Planner Lundquist provided a brief property history and overview of the applicant's proposal highlighting Romeo Vineyards and Cellars existing local winery operation and permits. He noted this property will be utilized as a secondary location and will require limited modification to the interior to include but not be limited to meeting ADA requirements for the proposed wine tasting and sales facility. It was reported an existing non conforming situation triggers that new codes and standards apply, i.e. the current location of the structure is located inside the required setback and would require a variance to allow the existing building to exist within the setback. Planner Lundquist provided a review of the current parking area configuration and increased parking demand from the Staff Report page 4 of 8, and identified that one space will need to be handicap to meet handicap guidelines and an in-lieu fee would be needed for the deficit of two spaces. Staff stated with incorporated conditions of approval the proposal was found to meet current policies. Staff further clarified conditions of approval for temporary wine tasting within the residence limiting the amount of time within the residential use for wine tasting to one year and precluded any residency to weekend use until an additional Growth Management allocation can be obtained.

**Frank Romeo**, applicant shared their desire to own their own wine tasting facility downtown Calistoga, noting their small vineyard is located just 10 minutes from here. He reported the following:

- They planned on taking off the back deck and putting the emphasis back on Lincoln Avenue; and
- Assured they would stay within the baseline water limits until further Commercial Growth Management allocation is obtained;
- Reported their intention is to sell only their own local wine to establish the business base; and
- Possibly add other wines for tastings from other local smaller farms and smaller wineries down the line.
- Reported they had reviewed the recommendations and found the conditions reasonable.
- He anticipated the house would basically stay the same.

Mr. Romeo concluded reporting the Calistoga people were friendly, the location is has a good setting and they were hopeful they would get a lot of walk in patrons.

 Mary Sue Fredianni, 1255 Lincoln Avenue, presented and read aloud a letter from Paul Smith, dated 06/11/08, requesting consideration that the City should consider limiting wine sales to that only produced from Napa Valley grapes and further to clarify truth-in-labeling issues surrounding wines which are merely branded as "Calstoga" while the fruit may actually be sourced anywhere on the planet. (See attachment). She welcomed having a proposal for more business over the bridge side on Lincoln. Referencing the Staff Report page 4, the reported three parking spaces on Hazel were questioned.

 Planning Commission Minutes June 11, 2008 Page 3 of 7

**Planner Lundquist** reported the parcel was a through lot onto Lincoln, which creates two fronts Lincoln Avenue and Hazel Street. Hazel has a front yard setback that places one parking spot in the right-of-way. They currently have two spaces for the residence, they are allowed a 25% allowance for walk away business, and will be required to pay in lieu fees for additional parking three.

**Ms. Fredianni** referenced the time line for temporary wine tasting in the house, noting Staff had reported a condition limiting the residential tasting use to one year.

**Mr. Romeo** reminded their intent for possible future sales of other wines would be limited to only small Calistoga wineries, probably from the north section of the Valley. He noted the bigger wineries have their own marketing.

**Vice-Chairman Creager** shared concern for the wording Napa Valley, cautioning using just Napa Valley, because we have Franz Valley. He suggested we should be very careful about anything like that.

**Chairman Manfredi** noted this would be a mute point at the moment because they will currently be limited to selling only their own wine.

**Commissioner Bush** questioned the use of in lieu fee monies.

**Planner Lundquist** stated the funds are placed into a restricted fund separate from the General Fund to be used only for parking improvements.

**Paul Knoblich**, 1019 Cedar Street and 1206 Hazel Street, stated he was uncomfortable with the proposal, and wished no hardship to current potential owners. He reported initially being uneasy with the setbacks, noting across the street feels residential, but then again across from the neighboring Tea Room doesn't feel residential. He was concerned big blue handicap parking markings feel commercial. Parking is already impacted with the Nance property having four vehicles which park long term without moving. He was curious with a long term plan for wine tasting in the cottage, perhaps the front unit will be used for guests. Mr. Knoblich questioned why staff recommended a variance.

### **Planner Lundquist** stated there were several factors in play:

- Street access is prohibited from Lincoln Avenue; and
- there are substantial trees located on the property, with an ordinance in place that protects trees;
- examination of the envelope for parking;
- a through lot creating special circumstances not necessarily found within the neighborhood.
- it is an existing historic structure; and
- in general special circumstances,

**Vice-Chairman Creager** suggested the concern that the parking may appear commercial, could be addressed by conditioning use of materials that are less commercial to downplay a commercial establishment.

Planner Lundquist reported bold blue handicap captions are not required, maybe they can incorporate architectural design, but the area already has colored concrete where the former owner incorporated design issues.

**Commissioner Coates** commended the applicant for addressing the handicap issue, noting he didn't really see how this would look more commercial because of it. He was impressed the way it is designed and was pleased they were willing to go the long hall to do it right. He concluded noting that maybe the problem of constant parking of five maybe six vehicles from the Nance property should be addressed.

**Paul Knoblich** asked if the reason staff is recommending approval was just to legalize something existing that is already non conforming.

**Planner Lundquist** replied "no", the recommendation was based on the findings, and there is restricted access from Lincoln, existing historic structures, protected trees, all resulting in special circumstances. Four findings required.

Paul Knoblich noted it was obvious that staff thinks this is the right thing to do.

Chairman Manfredi Closed the public portion of the hearing.

**Commissioner Coates** stated he believed this was an enhancement to Lincoln Avenue and would get more foot traffic down on that end of the street, noting anything empty or vacant is a detriment.

**Planner Lundquist** reported condition 5 encompassed everything, in the future if the Commission finds the "use is detrimental to the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public" and they are not doing what they are suppose to – it will be brought back.

**Chairman Manfredi** stated there is already commercial at Hazel Street, noting this will lessen the impact of visible commercial there and there is nothing being added. As far as dealing with Mr. Nance if it is a real nuisance persons can call the police. He noted he believed this to be a real good project. As far as the issue of use the house, long term should be discussed.

**Planner Lundquist** stated the house is to be used as a residence, also noting a loss of housing is discouraged.

**Mr. Romeo** reported the long term plan is the upstairs will be used as residential and the downstairs will be commercial, maybe the setting could compliment the business with small dinners or something. However they would never consider a bed and breakfast or anything like that.

Chairman Manfredi questioned if all potential commercial changes would require another hearing.

**Planner Lundquist** stated all business such as restaurant, food service, café, hotel, motel, and inn would require an additional use permit.

 Planning Commission Minutes June 11, 2008 Page 5 of 7

182 There was motion by Commissioner Coates, seconded by Vice-Chairman Creager to Direct Staff to file a Notice of Exemption for the Project pursuant to Section 15332 of the CEQA 183

184 Guidelines. Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.

185 186 187

188

189

There was motion by Commissioner Bush, seconded by Vice-Chairman Creager to adopt Resolution PC 2008-23 approving a Variance to permit a portion of the existing 600 square foot structure to be located within the front yard setback on the property located at 1224 Lincoln Avenue within the "DC-DD", Downtown Commercial – Design District, based upon the findings provided in the draft resolution and subject to conditions of approval. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0**.

190 191 192

193 194

195

There was motion by Vice-Chairman Creager, seconded by Chairman Manfredi to adopt Resolution PC 2008-24 approving a Variance to permit parking within the front yard setback on the property located at 1224 Lincoln Avenue within the "DC-DD", Downtown Commercial – Design District, based upon the findings provided in the draft resolution and subject to conditions of approval. Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.

196 197 198

199

200

201

202

There was motion by Chairman Manfredi, seconded by Vice-Chairman Creager to Adopt Resolution PC 2008-25 approving Conditional Use Permit (U 2008-04) and Design Review (DR 2008-07) to allow wine sales, including wine tasting on the property located at 1224 Lincoln Avenue (APN 011-253-002) within the "DC-DD", Downtown Commercial – Design District, based upon the findings presented in the resolution and subject to conditions of approval. Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.

203 204 205

#### H. NEW BUSINESS

206 207

## I. MATTERS INITIATED BY COMMISSIONERS

208 209 210

Vice-Chairman Creager for point of clarification asked what started the major change in the Bill Squire property on lower Washington. He reminded Mr. Squire had formerly come before the Commission with an application that resulted in the owner not moving forward with proposed improvements, and now what started with an apparent reroof has changed the potential status of the block in terms of future options.

213 214 215

216

211 212

> **Director Gallina** reported the improvements started out as a building safety issue. Mr. Squire came in to discuss building safety improvements that would allow him time to later followup with a formal redevelopment project application.

217 218 219

Vice-Chairman Creager stated the safety improvements have limited future options for development.

220 221 222

**Planner Lundquist** stated there are limitations when you redevelop up to sixty percent of the fair market value. We have told him it is capped unless he goes through the discretionary process.

223 224 225

Vice-Chairman Creager stated it is disappointing to see this when the Farris project is beautiful and was processed above board.

226 227

**Vice-Chairman Creager** stated he felt the process had been by-passed.

228 229 230

#### J. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS/PROJECT STATUS

231 232

1. Project Status Update and Work Program

233 234

**Director Gallina** provided a copy of the work program for the Planning Department reporting the City Council has identified the following as a priority for 2008/2009:

236

235

Amendments to the Zoning to assure consistency and policy direction of the General

237

• Completion of the Urban Design Plan and implementation of any policies related;

238 239

Manage and advance key private development;

240 241

 Prepare a comprehensive affordable housing strategy; Update development impact fee's hiring a consultant to assist in the modifications (noting our fees are really low).

242 243 244

245

246 247

248

Director Gallina also reported the City Council wants to schedule a joint session to talk about issues, priorities, and development in general. She asked the Commissioners for their availability, suggesting this meeting could be scheduled immediately following the June 25, 2008 Planning Commission meeting. The tentative June 25 agenda is light and the joint meeting could start at 6:30. The alternate date would be on Monday, July 7, at 6:00 pm and asked the Commissioners preference.

249 250 251

Chairman Manfredi stated if there is only one item on June 25<sup>th</sup> a joint meeting following the regular meeting would be his preference.

252 253 254

Commissioner Bush reported that would also work for her because she would not be available for the July date.

255 256

**Commissioner Coates** stated either date will work for him.

257 258 259

**Director Gallina** further asked if there were other topics the Commission desired to be discussed.

260 261

**Commissioner Coates** stated he feels that staff is not getting Council support for a lot of issues and would like this to be discussed.

262 263 264

It was further suggested there should be discussion related to the Growth Management program (GMA), and having potential projects wait a whole year, while some approved projects fail or sit on the board, suggesting possible streamlining the system.

266 267 268

269

270

271 272

273

274

275

265

**Director Gallina** reported this year will be the fifth cycle for GMA, and maybe we should allow for administrative approvals for medial increases to accommodate existing business to expand, for example the wine tasting room. Maybe talk about changes to process twice a year, or change the length of time to secure the GMA. Is one year an acceptable time frame. Staff needs to bring recommendations on general objectives to Council in July and conversation with City Council will help give direction on objectives to be brought forward. As a result of former sphere of influence discussions, maybe discuss options for better communication with the community. We currently have a quarterly news letter, should we hold quarterly town hall meetings to understand what the community thinks is going on and what the issues are.

276 277 278

279

**Vice-Chairman Creager** suggested discussion on the sphere of influence and its relationship to town and outlying areas, noting they are integral to one another. Understanding people who work close to town, reflects on our character, suggesting that character is not tied to growth, but retaining families and providing housing is. Coming up with a comprehensive strategy will be his focus with Council.

**Commissioner Coates** noted the Community Pool project is lagging and he questioned the Veterans Memorial timing.

**Director Gallina** stated October is now the real date for the pool.

Commissioner Coates asked when they will commence phase 1 at Logvy Park.

**Director Gallina** reported Phase 1 at Logvy was scheduled for March of 2009 and included the Teen Center adjacent. A Master plan and Environmental Master Plan should be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the end of summer.

Vice-Chairman Creager asked if the redesign is due to the shared parking not working out.

**Director Gallina** reported there is no interest by the Fairgrounds to allow for shared parking.

**Chairman Manfredi** stated they did not say it never would happen, but during the last meeting about six weeks ago they stated they were not interested.

**Director Gallina** reported the Fairgrounds would be a Community Pool status report at the next City Council meeting on Tuesday.

#### L. ADJOURNMENT

There was motion by **Vice-Chairman Creager**, seconded by **Chairman Manfredi** to adjourn the meeting. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.** The meeting adjourned at 6:35 PM.

The next regular meeting of the Planning Commission is scheduled for Wednesday, June 25, 2008 at 5:30 PM

- 316 Kathleen Guill,
- 317 Secretary to the Planning Commission

319 Attachment