City of Calistoga Staff Report

TO:

Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Charlene Gallina, Planning and Building Director

DATE:

April 20, 2010

SUBJECT:

Review of the Napa County Winery Definition Ordinance Proposal

APPROVAL FOR FORWARDING:

ames C. McCann, City Manager

<u>ISSUE</u>: Consideration of and authorization of the submittal of comments on the Napa County Winery Definition Ordinance Proposal.

RECOMMENDATION: Review, discuss and authorize comment letter.

BACKGROUND: Public concern was expressed at the April 6, 2010 Calistoga City Council meeting regarding pending amendments to the County's Winery Definition Ordinance (WDO). As a result of this comment, the City Council requested the City Manager to immediately forward a letter to the Napa County Board of Supervisors expressing our initial opposition and concerns on this issue, since this item will be before the County Planning Commission on April 21, 2010. In addition, City Manager informed the City Council that the Planning Director would be presenting this issue to the Planning Commission for review and recommendation to the City Council on April 14, 2010 and then return to the Council on April 20, 2010 for final direction on a comment letter to the County.

16 17 18

19

20

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

On April 8, 2010, the City Manager's letter was forwarded to the Board of Supervisors expressing the City's opposition to any changes to the Winery Definition Ordinance that would expand winery business activities in the County based on the following reasons: (Refer to Attachment 2)

21 22 23

24

25

The cities and the County have agreed through mutually supportative General Plans that development belongs in the cities and the primary purpose of the unincorporated area is for agriculture.

Napa County Winery Definition Ordinance Proposal City Council Staff Report April 14, 2010 Page 2 of 3

- Increased business activities in the unincorporated area may impact or compete with businesses in the cities, specifically the lodging and restaurant industry.
- Increased business activities in the unincorporated area will create jobs and
 increase pressure for housing, particularly affordable housing.
- Increased business activities in the County's Ag Preserve can have negative impacts on the long term viability of agriculture.

It should be noted that this letter also requested that the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors delay any action on this proposal until such time as inclusive and meaningful discussions with City representatives can occur on this topic.

<u>DISCUSSION</u>: On April 14, 2010, this item was presented to the Planning Commission for their review and confirmation of staff's assessment of this proposal. A copy of the Commission's staff report which includes a detailed assessment of this issue is provided in Attachment 4.

After Commission discussion and public comment, the Commission endorsed staff's assessment of this issue and has recommended to the City Council that another letter be sent to the County that states strong opposition to <u>any changes</u> in the Winery Definition Ordinance that would <u>expand</u> existing winery business activities based upon the previously noted concerns presented in the City Manager's letter to the County. Furthermore, the Commission recommended that the City's letter include a request that the County strictly enforce, through their code enforcement program, regulations as they exist under the 1990 Winery Definition Ordinance.

A copy of the Napa County Planning Commission Staff Report of April 21, 2010 identifying County staff's latest recommendation to the Commission on the Winery Definition Ordinance proposal has been attached for Council review and discussion. (Refer to Attachment 2) At this time, staff is requesting that the City Council discuss this item and authorize staff to finalize and forward the attached letter to the County Planning Commission their consideration. (Refer to Attachment 1)

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Draft Comment Letter
- 2. Napa County Planning Commission Staff Report of April 21, 2010
- 3. City Manager letter dated April 8, 2010
- 64 4. Public Comment

Napa County Winery Definition Ordinance Proposal City Council Staff Report April 14, 2010 Page 3 of 3

65		a.	Norma Tofanelli, dated April 14, 2010
66	5.	Ca	alistoga Planning Commission Staff Report of April 14, 2010 (Abbreviated)
67			Public Comments
68		b.	Napa County Initial Study and Draft Negative Declaration for the 2009-2010
69			Winery-related Zoning Ordinance Amendments and Associated Interpretive
70			Guidance Resolution
71			