CITY OF CALISTOGA PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, June 23, 2010 3:00 PM Calistoga Community Center 1307 Washington St., Calistoga, CA Chairman Jeff Manfredi Vice- Chairman Clayton Creager Commissioner Paul Coates Commissioner Nicholas Kite Commissioner Matthew Moye

"California Courts have consistently upheld that development is a privilege, not a right."

Among the most cited cases for this proposition are Associated Home Builders, Inc. v. City of Walnut Creek, 4 Cal.3d633 (1971) (no right to subdivide), and Trent Meredith, Inc. v. City of Oxnard, 114 Cal. App. 3d 317 (1981) (development is a privilege).

Chairman Manfredi called the meeting to order at 5:35 PM.

3 4 A. ROLL CALL

1 2

5

6 7

8 9 10

11

12 13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

2425

26

2728

30

31

Present: Chairman Jeff Manfredi, Vice-Chairman Clayton Creager, Commissioners Paul Coates and Nicholas Kite. **Absent:** Commissioner Matthew Moye. **Staff Present:** Charlene Gallina, Planning and Building Director, Erik Lundquist, Associate Planner and Kathleen Guill, Planning Commission Secretary. **Absent:** Ken MacNab, Senior Planner.

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS

D. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

There was motion by Vice-Chairman Creager, seconded by Commissioner Coates to approve the agenda as amended. Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.

E. COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

- 1. Planning Commission regular meeting Minutes of May 12, 2010.
- 23 **2.** Planning Commission regular meeting Minutes of June 09, 2010.

There was motion by **Commissioner Kite**, seconded by **Vice-Chairman Creager** to approve the Consent Calendar as presented. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.**

3. TOUR OF INSPECTION

29 None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING

- 1. **Highlands Christian Church** Consideration of a Variance (VA 2010-02), Conditional Use Permit (U 2010-01) and Design Review (DR 2010-02) applications, requested by Upper Valley
- Ministries, to allow the construction and operation of a church, pre-school and kindergarten on the
- 35 property located at 970 Petrified Forest Road (APN 011-360-030) within the "RR", Rural
- 36 Residential Zoning District. Variances are being requested to allow parking to be located within
- 37 the front, side and rear yard setbacks and within the street and highway setback as established

Planning Commission Minutes June 23, 2010 Page 2 of 6

considered at the public hearing.

pursuant to Section 17.56.030(E) of the Calistoga Municipal Code. A Variance is also being requested to exceed the established height limitation.

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the City of Calistoga, Planning and Building Department has prepared an Initial Study/CEQA Checklist for the above referenced project. The Planning and Building Department has initially determined that the proposed project as amended by the included mitigation measures will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment. The Planning Commission will consider adoption of a Subsequent Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project. Additional comments will be

Chairman Manfredi announced the public hearing item.

Commissioner Coates recused himself from discussion due to conflict of interest, disclosing that he is the General Contractor of record for this project.

Planner Lundquist reported the proposed project is representative of our demographics. The applicant had previously processed land use entitlements but due to changes in the economy they needed to rethink the project and their design. Their desire is to design one facility to be used for fellowship on Sunday and a preschool during the week. Planner Lundquist provided an overview describing the 5670 square foot auditorium/classroom noting the new design will allow for future phases and growth. He advised primary changes included scaling down the size of the facility and minor trend changes to address storm water runoff (i.e. bio-swells). Frontage improvements were re-considered through an updated traffic study, with a determination it would be better to propose a turn lane providing an area for vehicles to merge. Due required enforcement of the State Water Efficiency and Landscape Ordinance there is also some change in the landscaping proposal, including scaling back the amount of turf and implementing a vegetable garden.

Planner Lundquist reported the applicant is seeking two variances; the first is a request to allow parking in the setbacks, with a finding that the shallow lot depth presents a hardship so to allow parking in the east area rather than behind the building could alleviate the hardship. The second is a request to allow a building height up to 27 feet. The code currently allows for a limit up to 25 feet in height, the use as a church tends to illustrate itself through design, with steeples, etc.; likewise the church has a predominant building height approximately two feet higher than what is allowed. Restricting the height of the church would in essence reduce the overall integrity of the use and its need architecturally to establish itself as a religious institution. The development and proposed operation of the project is appropriate and consistent with the entry corridor findings.

Vice-Chairman Creager recollected the last time the Planning Commission reviewed the parking lot area there was an agreement about the design of the outdoor lighting.

Planner Lundquist reported that mitigation measures do address outdoor lighting. Originally the proposed lighting standards were of a bollard style lighting. However the architectect has said that bollard lighting does not provide sufficient aluminares for a safe path of travel.

Director Gallina recalled previous discussions with Kingdom Hall, and Palisades Apartments, where visually attractive decorative lighting had prevailed over standard lighting due to concern with height because they were in our entry corridor.

Vice-Chairman Creager recommended language also be included to reduce the visual impact of the parking lot since it is so close to the roadway.

Chairman Manfredi asked if it was going to be possible for the applicant to come back to amend the use permit for more improvements, and asked if these road improvements were going to be adequate to accommodate future development.

Planner Lundquist replied in theory yes.

Chairman Manfredi opened the public discussion portion of the hearing at 5:52 PM.

Kelland Ingram, 2426 Kathy Way, expressed how helpful, supportive, and informative staff had been and how much it was appreciated. He presented a brief overview of the project providing elevations, site plan, basic floor plan, and landscape plan. To conserve time and yet emphasize support for the project he asked all those in the audience who were attending the meeting to show support for the project to stand and the majority of the audience stood. Mr. Ingram introduced Paul Coates as the project representative to answer technical questions on the project.

 Paul Coates, 1711 Reynard, opened with information about the parking lot, stating their intent was to eliminate as much concrete as possible. He reported the addition of more bio swells in the center of the parking lot that filtered to a larger bio swale would soften the appearance. Mr. Coates pointed out they remain at 117 parking spaces with no street parking and they will use native vegetation. Mr. Coates said they are working with staff regarding traffic lanes to protect the community as a whole. He believed they have incorporated every thing they can for safety and aesthetics; colors will be of neutral tones and the height will be within one to one and one-half feet of the requirement.

Paul Coates referenced the proposed bollard lighting, stating they were great for pedestrian purposes, but the concern is will they meet state standards. Therefore consideration has been given to add light standards to meet requirements. They want to keep it simple and yet safe.

Vice-Chairman Creager suggested there are no state standards.

Planner Lundquist reported there are no standards, i.e. State School of Architects, no building code standards related to the amount of light needed, it just addresses security purposes.

Vice-Chairman Creager asked if churches are held to the same liability requirements as schools.

Planner Lundquist reported they are held to building and fire code standards, and those do not speak to lighting, just security.

Paul Coates reminded they don't want anyone to be endangered due to lack of appropriate lighting.

Chairman Manfredi asked if lighting would only be on when there is activity on the premises and not automatically turned on and off with a timer.

Paul Coates stated lights would not run all night mainly because it would be cost prohibitive.

Commissioner Kite stated he wanted to assure the lighting around the parking lot would not look like a Wal-Mart shopping center parking lot. He further requested the landscaping be pushed closer to the road where you don't easily see parked car headlights from the roadway.

Paul Coates stated you will see head lights, but there will be some vegetation growing up. There is a need for line of sight for exiting the parking lot.

Shelby Valentine, 2771 Foothill Blvd., as a neighbor she shared great support for the project and reported they have a birds eye view of the entire piece of land. She was agreeable as far as the height variance and even higher would be acceptable because it will mitigate noise to their property. Shelby Valentine stated the project provided a unique opportunity for them to get good neighbors and she hoped they have a speedy way to process the application.

Tina Ingram, 2426 Kathy Way stated the Christian Preschool has been in operation for twenty-two years producing many high achievers, some of which are currently Calistoga firefighters and police officers. They are based on excellence, and do not provide a day care component, and the new building will provide a place where the school will prosper, and be confidence inspiring.

Ray Centanni, 3764 Silverado Trail, reported the church design is an attractive craftsman style that will appeal to every economic background. Mr. Centanni provided a brochure showing it is not just a building and then gave an overview of the established connections their organization has by serving the community.

Chairman Manfredi closed the public portion of the discussion at 6:12 PM.

Commissioner Kite recognized the project has previously been approved, it is a good project, and there is nothing here except enthusiasm. He noted the proposed height didn't bother him and the lighting and landscape plan will need to mitigate any impacts.

Vice-Chairman Creager stated he was looking forward to the project happening, no delay in any way, would like to direct staff to work with design and building team to be as consistent as possible with previous projects to address night sky and Gateway being careful to work hard to achieve the objectives.

Planner Lundquist read aloud mitigation measures.

Chairman Manfredi stated he had nothing to add. Chairman Manfredi supported the project before, and the revised plan has been toned down and it is a very nice project.

There was motion by **Chairman Manfredi**, seconded by **Vice-Chairman Creager** to adopt Resolution PC 2010-09 adopting a Subsequent Mitigated Negative Declaration, finding that with the inclusion of mitigation measures, the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment. **Motion carried: 5-0-1-1.**

There was motion by Vice-Chairman Creager, seconded by Chairman Manfredi to adopt Resolution PC 2010-10 approving Variances allowing parking within the front, side and rear yards

Planning Commission Minutes June 23, 2010 Page 5 of 6

and within the highway and street setbacks on the property located at 970 Petrified Forest Road (APN 011-360-030) within the "RR", Rural Residential Zoning District, based upon the findings and subject to conditions of approval contained in the Resolution. **Motion carried: 5-0-1-1.**

There was motion by **Commissioner Kite**, seconded by **Vice-Chairman Creager** to adopt Resolution PC 2010-11 approving a Variance allowing a building height of up to 27 feet on the property located at 970 Petrified Forest Road (APN 011-360-030) within the "RR", Rural Residential Zoning District, based upon the findings and subject to conditions of approval contained in the Resolution. **Motion carried: 5-0-1-1.**

There was motion by **Chairman Manfredi**, seconded by **Commissioner Kite** to adopt Resolution PC 2010-12 approving a Conditional Use Permit and Design Review allowing the construction and operation of a 5,660 square foot church, pre-school and kindergarten on the property located at 970 Petrified Forest Road (APN 011-360-030) within the "RR", Rural Residential Zoning District, based upon the findings and subject to conditions of approval contained in the Resolution. **Motion carried: 5-0-1-1.**

Commissioner Coates resumed his seat on the Commission.

I. NEW BUSINESS

J. MATTERS INITIATED BY COMMISSIONERS

Chairman Manfredi raised the issue that once again more and more sidewalk dining is cropping up on Lincoln Avenue in front of business. He stated while he is not opposed to sidewalk dining, it is not currently permitted on Lincoln. Stating further that businesses such as Pacifico's Restaurante have followed process to obtain proper permitting for sidewalk dining, with significant expense, and non enforcement for others is not fair.

Director Gallina advised she will raise the issue with the City Manager and Chamber of Commerce, noting he is right, it is not fair.

Vice-Chairman Creager asked what the status was for the Bistro project.

Planner Lundquist stated the applicant is at the second round level of building permit comments, He noted the addition of food to their use has triggered the need to address additional dry storage for the Napa County Environmental Management Department.

K. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS/PROJECT STATUS

Housing Element Update

Director Gallina announced the community meeting for the Housing Element Update was scheduled for July 7, 2010, at 6:00 PM. Staff has finished and presented the preliminary draft, and will be moving forward to the Planning Commission. He noted the coming Friday he would be sending out the Planning Commission packet to allow additional time for review.

Planning Commission Minutes June 23, 2010 Page 6 of 6

228229

230231

232

233

234235

236237

238

239

240

241

242243

244245

246247

248249

250251252253254255

256

257258259260

Director Gallina provided a new Building Department Inspection Schedule. Changes include a change in hours and days of service.

Napa County Vacation Rental Proposal

Director Gallina acknowledged distribution of communications from Norma Toffanelli and George Calyodonis. June 29, 2010 the Napa County Board of Supervisors will determine if the County will enforce code, allow vacation rentals, or put the issue on ballot, for determination.

Chairman Manfredi asked what time on Tuesday.

Director Gallina reported the meeting starts at 9:00 AM and the item was scheduled around 10.00 AM. Director Gallina stated she will send information out when it is available. She advised that St Helena is holding a Special Council meeting on this topic and opposes county allowing approval.

Commissioner Kite stated our city should take a leadership role in enforcement on this especially with the state of the economy.

Commissioner Coates agreed.

ADJOURNMENT

There was motion by **Chairman Manfredi**, seconded by **Vice-Chairman Creager** to adjourn to the next regular Planning Commission meeting of Wednesday, July 14, 2010, at 5:30 PM. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0**. The meeting adjourned to the next regular meeting of July 14, 2010at 6:26 PM.

Kathleen Guill

Secretary to the Planning Commission