CITY OF CALISTOGA PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, October 13, 2010 5:30 PM Calistoga Community Center 1307 Washington St., Calistoga, CA Chairman Jeff Manfredi Vice- Chairman Clayton Creager Commissioner Paul Coates Commissioner Nicholas Kite Commissioner Matthew Moye

"California Courts have consistently upheld that development is a privilege, not a right."

Among the most cited cases for this proposition are Associated Home Builders, Inc. v. City of Walnut Creek, 4 Cal.3d633 (1971) (no right to subdivide), and Trent Meredith, Inc. v. City of Oxnard, 114 Cal. App. 3d 317 (1981) (development is a privilege).

Chairman Manfredi called the meeting to order at 5:33 PM.

A. ROLL CALL

Present: Chairman Jeff Manfredi, Vice-Chairman Clayton Creager, Commissioners Nicholas Kite, Paul Coates and Matthew Moye. **Staff Present**: Charlene Gallina, Planning and Building Director, Ken MacNab, Senior Planner, and Erik Lundquist, Associate Planner.

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

2223

2425

26

2728

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

D. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

There was motion by **Commissioner Coates**, seconded by **Commissioner Kite** to approve the agenda as provided. **Motion carried:** 5-0-0-0.

E. COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

None

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Planning Commission regular meeting Minutes of September 8, 2010.

There was motion by **Vice Chairman Creager**, seconded by **Commissioner Coates** to approve the Consent Calendar as presented. **Motion carried: 5-0-0-0**.

G. TOUR OF INSPECTION

29 None.

H. PUBLIC HEARING

1. Rios & Ochoa Wine Retail and Tasting Facility: Consideration of Conditional Use Permit (U 2010-06) application to establish retail wine sales, including wine tasting and wine education in an existing 1,200 square foot commercial space located at 1307-A Lincoln Avenue (APN 011-221-027) within the "DC-DD", Downtown Commercial-Design District Overlay Zoning District. Live entertainment and/or amplified music is also proposed to occur periodically.

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 2 of 15

Planner Lundquist stated when you strip all the jargon away what we have here is an established business that wants to relocate from one side of Lincoln Avenue to the other side of Lincoln Avenue. Although being in a new establishment our downtown commercial zoning district does require them to obtain a Use Permit so Mr. Rafael Rios is the proprietor in partnership in Rios Wine Company. They have been established along Lincoln Avenue for a couple years now and we have not had any complaints with their current operation. The operation does not change any, only the design elements of the property have changed. The applicant is proposed to do wine retail sales, tasting, and education inside the structure located 1307A Lincoln Avenue which is shared with adjoining 1307B which is also wine tasting retail establishment. Those two uses should be quite compatible to each other as well as being compatible with the other uses in the area. Rios Wine Company is proposing to do outdoor seating that would occur inside of the footprint of the building under some covered patio spaces that are located along the front façade as well as at the rear of the property. The applicant is also requesting to include periodic live entertainment. Generally, live entertainment would occur during special events that are put on and in cooperation with the Chamber of Commerce, but they also want to have events for marketing of their business. With that said, staff recommends the filing of a Notice of Exemption for this project, waving of the Design Review requirement, and approval of the Use Permit.

Chairman Manfredi inquired if any Commissioners have any questions of staff.

Chairman Manfredi asked if the owner has anything to say.

 Rafael Rios, 3970 Peterson Drive, Calistoga, stated he did not have any more to add that was not in the staff report except to emphasize it is just a relocation of their current operations on the other side of Lincoln and the only difference that was mentioned also is that the location will be shared with his Uncle.

Commissioner Kite asks one of the conditions limits your use of music to indoor spaces, might you have the ability to have it outdoor as well?

Rafael Rios responded that they actually had the ability at the former space, which they used once or twice to have music outdoors, but also had music indoors which worked out very well. As Mr. Lundquist pointed out, most of their music events will occur during city-wide events and stated that he would also like to have the ability to have a promotional party or marketing event that would include music outside.

Commissioner Moye asked if all the wines to be provided are made and produced in Napa Valley.

Rafael Rios responded, yes, all are Napa Valley wines produced up and down the Valley, and as a point of interest, he indicated that they are producing their wine down in Napa and are in the process of transferring permits up to his sister's winery located on Old Lawley Toll Road.

Commissioner Creager inquired if during city-wide events, (i.e. Jazz & Blues Festival) are there temporary exemptions allowed for outdoor music, which in this case would allow Mr. Rios to have music during the times in which he said he would like to have it occur.

 Planner Lundquist explained those events are handled through a Special Event or Administrative Use permitting process. The comment is, if Mr. Rios would like to do those events separate, like a wine marketing event for his label, he recommended additional language be added to Condition 1 after "indoor spaces." (Line 94) "unless otherwise approved by the Planning & Building Director". What that would mean is Mr. Rios would have to come in and seek approval for a special event having outdoor entertainment on a certain day and time.

Commissioner Creager asked the question, when Mr. Rios came in and requested a permit for an event would you then follow-up with neighbors to see if there was anything else going on during that time that an outdoor event might be disruptive?

Planner Lundquist stated under that requirement, staff generally would not, although the Commission could also add, "Upon notification to the adjoining property owners within 300 feet" or some offset of the property.

Commissioner Creager stated not that it would be particularly important for this, but as a precedent we should have that element of ability for review.

Rafael Rios commented that most of uses around our property of operation are mostly all commercial, an empty lot behind us, the river, it wouldn't seem to be a problem, everything we do we want to make sure we are not disturbing our neighbors and, for the most part, those around us would be in support of such activities as it draws people downtown.

Chairman Manfredi stated he feels this would be unnecessary to add this language as we would be setting a precedent for the downtown and suggested that what is being discussed today is what Mr. Rios is after.

Commissioner Creager suggested what he has heard, on fairly frequent occasions, is that people do wish that we had more control over the live music downtown as we currently have no mechanism because many are grandfathered in and so, just going forward, it would be more of the same.

Director Gallina, explained she just met with the Police Chief and Aquatics and Recreation Manager Olivia Lemen to discuss the development of a new special event permit process and standard conditions of approval to be applied especially to music events because we did receive a lot of public comments on the noise generated by the Rock of Ages program. She indicated that this new process will be implemented within a few months. She also stated that when the Chamber has an event they too have to come in and get a special event permit (or Administrative Use Permit) from the City which allows staff to regulate any issues so we can address community concerns.

Chairman Manfredi closed the Public discussion and opened for Commission discussion.

There was no further Commission discussion on this item.

There was motion by **Chairman Manfredi**, seconded by **Vice Chairman Creager** to direct Staff to file a Notice of Exemption for the project pursuant to Section 15332 of the CEQA. **Motion** carried: **5-0-0-0**.

There was motion by **Vice Chairman Creager**, seconded by **Commissioner Coates** to adopt Resolution PC 2010-15 approving Conditional Use Permit (U 2010-06) to establish retail wine sales, including wine tasting and wine education and waive the requirement of Design Review in an existing 1,200 square foot commercial space located at 1307-A Lincoln Avenue (APN 011-221-027) within the "DC-DD", Downtown Commercial-Design District Overlay Zoning District based upon the findings provided in the Resolution and subject to conditions of approval. **Motion carried: 5-0-0-0.**

I. NEW BUSINESS

1. PA 2010-01; CDR 2010-01: Review of conceptual development plans for expansion of the Indian Springs Resort and Spa. The proposed expansion includes the following improvements: (1) 79 new guest rooms (for a total of 120 guest rooms); (2) a 3,000 square foot pavilion restaurant; (3) a 4,000 square foot event barn; (4) a wine cave on the western side of Mt. Lincoln; (5) a family swimming pool; (6) replacement of an existing observation deck on top of Mt. Lincoln; and (7) other related site and landscaping improvements. The project site is located at 1712 Lincoln Avenue (APNs 011-340-017 and 011-240-001) within the "CC-DD", Community Commercial-Design District Overlay Zoning District.

Commissioner Coates abstained from this item since he represented the applicant.

It was disclosed by Chairman Manfredi, Vice Chair Creager, Commissioners Kite and Moye that independent project site visits were made.

Chairman Manfredi reminded this item is scheduled for discussion purposes only. The Planning Commission will not take action on this item.

Planner MacNab explained this item is Conceptual Design Review and the process is an opportunity for a developer or project proponent to informally present an idea for development to the City and receive feedback on that idea. The scope of the review is wide open. The Commission can comment on anything it wants regarding the proposal. Those comments are not binding. This is a conceptual review; however, staff's expectation is that when the developer comes back with a formal application those comments will be addressed one way or another. There is no action being taken tonight. With that said, he proceeded in providing a detailed description of the proposed project and issues identified by staff.

Commission Creager requested Planner MacNab to talk about workforce housing.

Planner MacNab stated there is a brief section in the staff report on affordable housing. This project will generate a lot of employment or new employment which is a good thing for the City and absolutely for businesses in the City. And with that comes a need to house those new employees. The applicant has indicated that they will be seeking a development agreement with the City and, part of that discussion, will involve how they propose to address the City's inclusionary housing requirement which requires a project of this size and scope to make some contribution towards providing for affordable housing. Whether that be housing onsite, off-site, land, constructed somewhere. Staff did not receive any information on how that will be addressed

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 5 of 15

or the early thinking by the applicant on meeting the inclusionary housing requirement. That might be a question you want to pose to them tonight.

Commissioner Moye posed the question, has anyone looked a how much water this project will be used out of the system.

Planner MacNab stated preliminarily, Public Works has reviewed this concept plan and have not heard any concerns about water or wastewater availability. A bigger issue is how the applicant is going to connect to the City's water and wastewater system and how they are going to dispose of their geothermal water. But as far as we know and based on the comments received so far, water supply or wastewater capacity does not seem to be an issue at this point.

Commissioner Creager asked if the City is prepared to accept if the State Department of Fish & Game and others decide that it could enhance the existing wetlands on the former Gliderport property that something that might transfer the geothermal water away from our system and into another benefit.

Planner MacNab stated the applicant has been talking with the State Department of Fish & Game, US Fish & Wildlife Service and the Regional Water Quality Control Board about the resources on the Gliderport property and the possibility of creating a walkway and a preserve on a portion of the Gliderport property. Certainly, staff will want to work with the applicant and resource agencies in exploring and implementing that idea if it turns out to be feasible.

Commissioner Creager asked how would the City address that issue in that it would be a separate project as that space is not included in our consideration here as it is a linked strategy.

Planner MacNab explained as part of this project an analysis will be required on their discharged storm water and geothermal water. In this analysis, the applicant will need to show the City how they are proposing to dispose of it and, in that review, if they are going to propose some discharge or run it through the former Gliderport property. That will open up the door for further investigation. Should they do something different, than the City will have to analyze.

Chairman Manfredi asked Mr. Merchant if he would like to make a presentation.

John Merchant, 1712 Lincoln Avenue, Indian Springs, congratulated Planner Ken MacNab on doing a great job and stated that his presentation was pretty clear but would like to bring up a couple of points that were not as clear. He clarified that the wine cave has to have an entrance and an exit, so ideally there would be a restaurant with a wine cave. Therefore, you will be able to use the cave daily as part of the restaurant. He stated that it is extra-ordinary that any town has hill in the middle of their town. The tourist will just love its access. In the event cave, it would be much less frequently used but again to have gardens, for a wedding, the cave is great asset for us and we think for the City. Off-site events would not be booked. The reason you have an event is to fill your hotel rooms. Consequently, someone who is holding a wedding on the property will be parked on-site because they will be staying at the resort, eating, and using the spa, so there will be multiple uses for that one car to park.

Mr. Merchant stated that the other item that came up was the wooden walkway. Everyone knows that the property has a gate and people want to get off of Hwy 29. Pedestrians walk down the

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 6 of 15

road and then exit. It is a natural path that people take because they want to get away from traffic. They intend to meet ADA codes. Someone could walk down and so could someone on a bicycle.

Mr. Merchant further indicated that as far as the City's needs to get rid of their reclaimed water that has been treated, they would run a pipe along the perimeter of the site to take some of the City's water. It would be a benefit for the City to get rid of that water.

He indicated that the open pavilion and restaurant would have visibility from the street. Especially for lunch; hotel guests would have priority for seating. In addition, he stated that the pool is the heart and soul of Indian Springs and Calistoga hot water. 20% of Indian Springs business is family and, in the future, the families are a huge part of the business for Calistoga. There are not many hotels or spas in Napa Valley that are conducive to children. When they were looking at a children's pool they realized how much the children love the 2 foot shallow end, 90 degree water and when families come, they are in it all the time. That said, with the restaurant at that location, they would probably end up placing it behind where the Buddha pond is located, since it would be easy to get to. Also, the pool would be solar heated which is very efficient and would only be a summer pool so there is not need to do geothermal heat.

Commissioner Creager noted that there is geothermal water and the run-off on the property. Specifically, there is 3 foot pipe under the mobile home park and that pipe goes straight back to the back ditch and runs to the back of the former Gliderport property and into the Napa River. He stated that Indian Springs is talking with the State Department of Fish & Game, US Fish & Wildlife, and Army Corp of Engineers. This water used to flow across the former Gliderport property until the mobile home park was built and they put in this 3 foot drainage. The drawback to that is, the water stays hot, goes very quickly through that pipe because it is not meandering as there is nothing to slow it down, so you get hotter water going back there and when it rains you get faster water. So, that back ditch always has to be cleaned.

Mr. Merchant offered to get copies of what has been proposed to the State Department of Fish & Game and US Fish & Wildlife, which Commissioner Creager has recently been given a copy. He stated that the majority of the plants that are in question are on the back portion of the Gliderport property on a 7 to 8 acre parcel, which is where they would love to take this water to create a stream that was once there historically in ponds and reintroduce water to the area. That decision is up to Fish & Game and so forth as we at Indian Springs can only propose.

Commissioner Creager requested clarification regarding what water is that, is it that water that has been routed through your system for use?

Mr. Merchant's responded no. What Indian Springs actually has is an overflow pipe. So when the geyser is filling, these tanks then feed the pool and spa. When those tanks are too full, this geyser water then goes into the overfill pipe, and it is that pipe that drops into the pond and then goes back. It has never been touched by anyone. So, it is the historic geyser water that is the excess flow. When the pipes are drilled out, this puts out 300 gallons per minute and one could not possibly use it. So we have this excess flow. Frankly, it is just being wasted. When you consider historically how much geothermal water was flowing, the mobile home park, as well as the former Gliderport property was all spring grounds so there was enormous mineral flow and all that dried up when they put down wells. The only source of water really left to put back on the

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 7 of 15

Gliderport property. Therefore, if you look at the Napa Blue Grass and Popcorn Flower, the only other site would be out at the Geyser off Tubbs Lane. And if you look at the other side of the Tubbs Lane, that field has cat tails where water has historically always flowed and then into the river. It has never been stopped where all that water has been stopped on the Gliderport property. Mr. Merchant, once again offered to provide a copy of the analyses which have all been done.

Mr. Merchant stated that the residents from the mobile home parks have been invited over for a gathering to share his plans which he believes were well received. The main complaint was dust. Indian Springs wants to excavate this area so they don't have to do this every year. The end result would be low dust and a beautiful view for the residents with a double berm which is growing now.

Chairman Manfredi inquired if Commissioners had any questions of staff.

Dieter Deiss, 3000 Palisades Road, stated that it would be a great opportunity to talk about biking. He stated that he belongs to the Board of the Napa Vine Trail. This trail is proposed ultimately connect the Vallejo valley with Calistoga. It is under the guidance of The Vine Trail Coalition with a 25 member of organizations of the valley. Almost everyone of public life has a seat on the Board and right now the engineering subcommittee is helping Calistoga to prepare an engineering detail of how we connect the Oat Hill Mine parking area via Calistoga along Lincoln Avenue with the Train Depot, then pick up the old right of way, tie into the Dunaweal Class I Bikeway and connect to Bothe Park. If that is achieved, we have in the north end of the valley 3 miles of the forty miles which would be incredibly valuable for us in Calistoga. A double win for tourist and one for us who live here. If there was a way that the Merchants, with the generous opportunities you offer, use that passage way. He offered to sit down with the Merchants to show the engineering details, in which the Vine Trail Coalition will pay 50% of engineering costs. He urged that we take advantage of that. He further stated that as seen in Yountville their Class I bikeway; St. Helena is working with the Vine Trail Coalition to put in 2.2 miles of that trail through St. Helena, eventually everything will be connected. He suggested on behalf of the Vine Trail Coalition that we have an informal get-together to show Mr. Merchant what we have done and see how we can possibly get something exciting done.

Chairman Manfredi addressed Mr. Deiss stating what the Merchant's are proposing for the walkway that is no longer wooden but a crush granite path that would accommodate bikers and walkers. What is your concept and would that fit in with your scheme?

Dieter Deiss doesn't see that as a problem because there are new surfaces today which have a very natural look and still meet the State of California specifications for Class I. We do want it to be a Class I trail.

Florence "Edie" Parker, Calistoga Springs, low man on the totem pole if you look at the upper most block of 8 units, I'm directly opposite it. I have whole 10 feet between the road and my backyard. However, that is not my complaint for today. The first thing I want to correct which is terribly important, and apologize to Mr. Merchant, I'm usually not confrontational and I'm a sweet old lady. She stated that she did not have any opportunity to attend his lovely wine and cheese party. We got an invitation to a wine & cheese party period. It came very late and a number of the residents were already busy. Calistoga Springs has 143 places. Normally, for free drink and

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 8 of 15

food, we can turn out 50 to 60 people. 20 means that we did not think it was important. I asked people who went and they stated that there was some casual talk. There were some maps on the wall. No one paid any attention because it was not formal, official conversation, no presentation, and would requested that it be corrected in the record where it mentioned the residents were consulted. I also noticed that in Mr. Merchant's paper he says throughout the development the mobile home owners will be free to have open dialogue with us regarding any concerns.

She expressed that there are unusual concerns, you will be surprised. I cannot do anything about that road 10 feet from my home, but the plantings Mr. Merchant started last year have upset a number of the mobile home park residents. When complaints were received from friends about the plantings, I said, you forget his view, you forget what Mr. Merchant and his guests will be looking at which is our little mobile home park. But Mr. Merchant put in olive trees. Mr. Merchant put in an olive tree in my backyard about 2 feet from my fence which everyone assures me, when and if it ever grows up to be a big boy, which will take forever, I'm going to get all the droppings and the olives all over everything that I'm going to have to clean up. You put in Oleanders, which are right next to the fence which are very flammable and they are going to be half Oleanders because some are coming over into my yard. We all know what I can do with the airspace in my yard. The plantings should have been setback but I know Mr. Merchant was thinking of his 10 feet and worried about how he could do that.

The rear of Mount Lincoln we did not know it was prestigious because on my side, the people who dig his mud, is very close to a landslide. I get dirt in my yard because the trees wavery. There is one tree I'm continuously watching because it will come down if they dig anymore.

Residents are concerned about the dust, the noise, what time the employees and machinery starts in the morning, and all of this can be worked out. She expressed that she is very concerned about the lighting.

As another concern, based on San Bruno, there are no escape routes for the Mobile Home park if there is a catastrophe. The mobile home park residents have been told, I do not know if Mr. Merchant knows it, or remembers it, or did it, but sometime ago there was a second exit promised on the backside of the Merchant property. I would like you all to think about it because all of Calistoga Springs residents which is 143 units, shares this one skinny road, Champagne Road, with Chateau which is 193 units which all dumps out on Brannan Road. This was in the planning for strategies.

Ms. Parker continued with identifying concerns about Brannan & Hwy 29 as mobile home park residents walk to the library. The corner of Brannan & Hwy 29 is very hazardous; the Oleanders are seven, eight, nine feet tall and it is a blind corner. When coming from town and turning onto Brannan, that is the main street to the side street. One has to stop because when rounding the corner, grown adults are walking down the middle of this ideally country street probably onto the housing on the corner. It is a blind corner, one cannot see from Hwy 29 going in it very hazardous, and the Oleanders on right on the corner.

In closing, Ms. Parker stated she will be back and identified that she is one of the activists in the mobile home park. She goes to every council meeting and this was her main speech after living in Calistoga three years. She thanked the Commission for their time in listening to her concerns.

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 9 of 15

Commissioner Creager stated this is the beginning of a very long public process and expressed gratitude for being here tonight and indicated that this item would be back as that is what these processes are all about, sharing these concerns at the community level. He indicated that the Merchant's will be contacting her and talking to the residents on a formal basis. Starting the project based upon Conceptual Design Review is unheard-of so there will be plenty of time and opportunity for these kinds of discussion and communication.

384

385

386 387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

372

373374

375

376

377

378

Norma Harnett, 14 Camellia, Calistoga Springs, my family has lived in Calistoga Springs since its opening, my maternal grandparents also live there, so I'm a third generation Calistoga Springs resident. I have been here for five years. Each spring for the last 36 springs, my family has watch deer bring their fawns down from the mountains to Indian Springs. We have watched blue heron and many hunt and search all through the area. It is a natural wonderland and pleasure to live next door to. It is all gone now. Mr. Merchant has dug up everything from what was left of the original springs, it is all gone. That is a shame and it will be missed. The Staff report says, conceptual development plans reflect thoughtful consideration to the guest experience and to design compatibility for surrounding uses. Further it states, new buildings and improvements have been arranged and spaced in a manner that servers to maintain the site open and natural feel. The uses and vision in the concept plan have been arranged and oriented in a manner that helps minimize the impact to adjacent residential areas; the proposed architecture of new buildings being proposed draw upon exciting site architecture, rural imagery, and architecture found elsewhere is in Calistoga. I find these all very odd statements since there are no two story buildings near the proposed site. The nearest 23 units are single story residential structures. So the report goes to say the more noise sensitive the areas of Calistoga Springs Mobile Home Parks, guest quarters are being proposed and expected, the guest quarters are envisioned to be quiet and peaceful areas that are largely free from noise. To minimize any adverse noise impacts and visual intrusions on the residents, the guest units will be setback 35 to 85 feet from the Furthermore, the applicant has indicated that enhanced landscaping will be installed to further reduce noise and visual impacts.

399 400 401

402

403

404 405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417 418

419

She indicated that virtually all of the 23 mobile home units that boarder on this area have their master bedroom in the rear are within ten to thirty feet of the property line. So that means these off-sets are about somewhere between 40 to 50 feet and maybe up to 100 feet from somebody's bedroom. And once again, these are old folks who will be sleeping, who sleep a lot more and most likely to be woken up. While the guest guarters are guieter than an event center, there is no likelihood of them being guiet and peaceful. We are talking about parking lots, six of them with eight spaces in each spot, we are talking about a two-lane road going all the way around the property and traffic going in both directions, we are talking door slamming, luggage being hauled up and down stairs, children hollering at parents, parents hollering at children, car alarms going off, all of this within 30 to 40 feet of where residential bedrooms are. Let's not forget this going to be seven days a week in our backyard. There will be late arriving guests, early departing guests, so we are talking about people moving fairly late at night and fairly early in the morning. Furthermore, that offset, 35 to 85 feet in the staff report is mostly composed of bare asphalt. It is a parking lot and a street. So there is not going to be a lot of noise deadening provided by the space. The remaining 10 feet where the enhanced landscaping is not going to solve our visual and light impact noise impacts. Mr. Merchant and the Indian Springs people have tried and failed for decades to grow anything on that property. There is a large section where the soil is actually is poisonous to plants and nothing grows there. From #14 in the mobile home park to the corner of the property is a dead zone. It all volcanic ash, nothing grows there, nothing at all. If they are

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 10 of 15

going to try and put an enhanced landscaping, it is probably going to have to be very tall wall unless all the dirt is replaced. Those bedrooms are going to be pretty bad. Every night we will have illumination on the loop road, illumination in the six parking lots, illumination on the stairways going up, if you look at the way the building is designed, the entrances to all the units is on the site facing the mobile home park, light on all those door ways, it is going to be fairly bright out there. She would suggest consideration on requiring single story buildings along that loop road. It would be far more of a match to the community and the neighborhood because 143 units are single story. A double story building, let alone six of them, is not going to fit with the neighborhood. Furthermore, she suggested that rather than having the loop road on the fence line and the buildings on the interior, these buildings should go on the property line and the loop road be placed on the interior. This would mitigate both the noise of people going in and out of there their units, driving around, and everything else.

Finally, Ms. Harnett indicated that she does strongly support Mr. Merchant's right to expand and develop his property. She was not there just be an adversary. I just think it would nice to see a little more consideration for his neighbors and something more in keeping with the neighborhood itself. On the sidewalk issue, fresh gravel, asphalt, we are talking a lot of elder folks who walk up to the grocery store, or even further to do their shopping and back which right now they walk on the asphalt. They do not go down Mr. Merchant's property to stroll along the dirt road because it is much too uneven. A gravel path is not going to be a solution. Either is the wooden path because basically they are unstable and when you are in your eighties you want something firm on under foot. It is way past time for a genuine sidewalk from Brannan Street to the front of Indian Springs. Just for folks to feel safe. Consideration should be given to crosswalks. (i.e., Brannan, Wappo, and Lincoln Avenue intersections). With affordable housing on Brannan Street, there are many children walking from area to their school and many seniors using the same area. Having a crosswalk there would be very helpful.

Jim Barnes, 1710 Michael Way, congratulated Mr. Merchant on a wonderfully designed facility for our town and I would urge Commission to give it the speediest consideration you can. The element combined in here, an event barn, another nice restaurant open to the public, and most especially the wine cave in conjunction with the historic hot water springs will make a fabulous facility and if you can get this through in a timely manner, just in time the recession should be over, we will have this new facility in town to hopefully draw in many more tourists. He urges the Commission to give this project the fast track as you can.

Paul Coates, 1710 Renard, identified that he was working with the Merchant family on this project, and listening to some of the people in the mobile home parks, I know a few of them. Ms. Parker has some legitimate concerns. He acknowledged that one of them is the secondary exit which is very valid. He further acknowledged that this issue has been considered by the Merchant's and would have to be something to talked about because it is affected by the adjacent parcel, not the property where you can get on to the Gliderport property. For emergency purposes something could be worked out that would allow this to happen. He believed that the Merchant's would like to see that happen as well. Landscaping, what has been said is correct, but there are a lot of things to be done to address the soil which there would be a master plan approved by the Commission to address those issues and to screen the buildings. Regarding the walkway out front, this needs to be ADA compliant so the folks with disabilities would be able to go down that path. He indicated that all would be addressed and mandated by the state law. The corner of Brannan and Hwy 29 is definitely an issue because residents take that as a shot-cut to

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 11 of 15

get out to Silverado and turn very quickly. It's a very big concern. He revealed that he was at the function that the mobile home residents were invited. There were pictures there, there were conversations had, it wasn't designed to be blown up as this big formal event. It was an introduction to where they are, what they are doing, and to talk as neighbors. And, as you have mentioned Chairman Manfredi, this is only the first step and there will several hearings to fine tune and mitigate those issues that were spoken tonight.

Mr. Merchant stated that he appreciates the comments on the fire exit. The City, at one time, drew a fire exit where the two mobile home parks meet by the parking area for RV's, which they would strongly consider. The other thing, if there was park created back there, the mobile home park would also have short cut to town as they could walk straight through and not go out on Hwy 29 which is a dangerous and long walk. If there was a fire road and a walkway in the middle of the park going into through Gliderport property, they would have short cut straight to town for a much safer and shorter walk, but again, that is up to other agencies.

Chairman Manfredi opened it up to Commission discussion and or comments, questions, and then on page 19 to 20 there are seven questions that we can touch on to help staff and the applicant and get an idea of the Commission's is thinking. Does anyone have a burning issue at the moment that they would like to address. Commission Response: None at this time.

Chairman Manfredi requested the Commission to turn to page 19 of the Staff Report. Briefly, these are comments to the owner and staff as well as other issues of Commission's concern. Starting with issue #1: Are the uses and intensity of development being contemplated appropriate for the subject site?

Commissioner Moye stated what is being proposed doesn't look like it is going to be making a huge difference than what is going on there now other than more people. When Public Works looks at these kinds of projects, they also have other projects like Enchanted Resorts and other projects in the pipeline. He expressed concern whether or not there will be enough water for all these projects coming forward.

Director Gallina responded that annually the Public Works Department prepares a report to the Council regarding the availability of water and wastewater capacity and the last report that was delivered to the Council in 2009 had indicated availability was over 133 acre feet of water. To get to that number, all applicants (Development Agreements, Use Permits, Stand-by Lists, etc) are all considered, so when it comes to projecting out to the future, they try to accommodate that as well.

Commissioner Kite expressed he thinks it is a very nice looking project, an appropriate level of density and there are some comments about the two versus the one story, but in his own opinion, he likes the idea of few, slightly larger building.

Chairman Manfredi said the intensity is fine since this is a large piece of property.

Commissioner Creager indicated that the intensity of the project is fine. But acknowledged that they have heard some design issues that need to be addressed as part of moving the project forward. Some good points were made and a lot of thought has been given the visitor experience but there needs to been more interaction with the Merchant family and their neighbors regarding some of these design issues to see if there are alternatives they can develop.

Chairman Manfredi said the second question is, is the proposal to locate parking along Lincoln 518 Avenue frontage (screened) by existing landscaping acceptable.

Commission Kite asked Director Gallina if parking is allowed along Hwy 29 at Cottage Grove.

Director Gallina responded that she wasn't sure if parking was allowed and would check into this issue.

Doug Cook, 109 Wappo, Brannan Cottage Inn, added by saying that he did not believe it is marked as no parking. However, he did state that there is no demand to park there at the present time.

Commissioner Creager indicated that there is a need to address parking. Although he is not an expert on circulation or on parking, he hated to see parking defined projects. He further indicated that he likes to find creative ways around parking. He agreed though that there is a gap between what is proposed under our existing standards, but he doesn't always agree on our existing standards. However, given the assumptions used that all the people attending an event center not providing an additional parking is risky. There is no alternative other than across the street on Lincoln Avenue and not having a safe crossing, even for healthy people is also risky. Therefore, he requested that the applicant to give some serious thought to their circulation and parking and come up with a more convincing argument about how to handle it.

Chairman Manfredi stated he had this outline. First of all, to answer #2, he does not have a problem with it and it behoove the applicant to make this parking lot disappear as much as you can and explained he goes with what people have done before hand. We dealt with the same exact issues with Solage as they did not have sufficient parking. What it comes down to it is if you have events, and you want your place to prosper, then you will need a parking management plan for when these events occur. It has to be presented as a plan for those times when it is factual or you anticipate them.

Commissioner Creager stated he does not have an issue with the proposed parking on Lincoln Avenue frontage with appropriate landscaping.

Commissioner Kite shared that he goes to Solage a great deal and it is so rare that even 25% of that parking lot is used. Once in a while on a Saturday night it is used, but mostly it is empty.

Planner MacNab stated as part of the traffic impact study, the traffic engineer will look at the demand from these uses what is realistically expected, whether is there an opportunity to eliminate spaces or if parking is shorter than we thought. All of these questions will be part of the analysis.

Chairman Manfredi stated issue #3: Proposal to construct an onsite pedestrian path along the sites Lincoln Avenue frontage acceptable in lieu of sidewalk. He stated that he didn't think a lot of time should be spent on this as it will probably change drastically.

Commissioner Creager explained that a Class I bike way accommodates both pedestrians and cyclers. It is a moot point.

Commissioner Kite said if we could find a way of accommodating the resort's needs and the Napa Valley Vine Trail Coalition, everybody's needs should be met.

Commissioner Moye said he likes that the Merchants are welcoming to have people on their property but asked whether or not this an easement situation if they going to give a sidewalk to the City or to allow the public to just walk on their property.

Planner MacNab explained we would need to talk to the Public Works Director but if it is intended to provide public access as part of a Class I system, we would probably be looking at easement.

Chairman Manfredi stated issue #5: Does the conceptual site plan adequately mitigate potential noise and visual impacts to the adjacent Calistoga Springs Mobile Home Park?

Commissioner Creager responded he believes there is more work to be done.

Chairman Manfredi confirmed it will take a lot of work. The other thing outlined is to have one or two meetings at the Calistoga Springs Mobile Home Park just to get everything out and to make the attempt to cross these bridges.

Commissioner Creager agreed, with appropriate discussion, there will be a solution that will work for everyone.

Chairman Manfredi stated issue #6: Are the number in nature of events being contemplated appropriate for the subject site? Again, He stated that this is a business, if you are not going to have too many and you do not want to have too few; and, hopefully you will not be turning some down.

Mr. Merchant stated what we all want is a full hotel. That is the only thing that makes money for everybody. So, does it have 50%, 60%, 70% or 80% occupancy, we run at 80% occupancy now which is when the hotel is profitable and extremely profitable for the City. What you don't want is the hotel at 50% occupancy. Then you have to build two hotels to get the same revenue. We would hope our project has that level of success. If you go through Indian Springs and look at the tenure of the place, it is not a party place. It tends to be families, couples, quiet, if you go through there now, 40 units in the evening, I doubt you would hear anything going on. People are in their robes, going to the pool, and that's what he wants to continue with this expansion project.

Commissioner Creager requested that when Mr. Merchant does these designs with the community, he needs to put effort into them, make them honest, because that is what actually caused community resistance to project and that eats up more time than official business at the City level. The City gets blamed for a lot of this but actually we have to resolve these issues at the community level and so he encouraged all to do that in a structural and professional way so to engage folks and give their issues serious consideration. He stated that process will speed your project faster than anything the Commission can do.

Chairman Manfredi indicated that Commissioner Creager made very good comment. We talked about having the meetings with neighbors, possible emergency exit, which is a fabulous idea, and improve the safety of the Brannan/Lincoln.

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 14 of 15

Commissioner Creager shared that this was an extremely exciting project that is very consistent with Calistoga's preferred type of growth and really, really hope Mr. Merchant can put his best foot forward to address these issues because the project has a good start.

Chairman Manfredi thanked everyone for coming, their comments, and hopes the Commission has been helpful and looks forward to the next meeting.

Commissioner Coates resumed his seat on the Commission at 6:57 PM.

J. MATTERS INITIATED BY COMMISSIONERS

Commissioner Kite, in reference to the earlier comments made about a crosswalk at Brannan Street, he asked if there are any children crossing at that point and cutting straight across onto Wappo to get to school. Is there a crossing guard, and if not what is the City/Caltrans planning to do there in the future?

Planner MacNab stated there is nothing there now. The issue has come before the Commission and Public Works, partially related to people crossing to get the market there and Lincoln. Public Works has looked at whether or not some sort of traffic/pedestrian control at that intersection would be appropriate. He stated that he believes Public Works did not find anything at this point that would make them comfortable in terms of if there should be a flashing light or cross walk because it is on a bend and the visibility at the speeds folks travel at is limited. He stated that it is an issue everyone is aware of and with the direction of the Commission this issue will be looked at with the Indian Springs Resort project proposal.

Director Gallina stated with the work done on the Urban Design Plan, specifically with ideas and suggestions related to critical intersections such as Brannan Street and Lincoln Avenue, it was suggested that the City look at special pavement or something similar to demark pedestrian crossings. So with this project, we will be asking the traffic study consultant to help us bring forward recommendations and ideas for improvement.

Chairman Manfredi suggested this issue be brought up during negotiations of the development agreement.

Commission Creager stated we do have one of those flashing speeding sign, but why are we spending money on a street that gets 3 cars an hour versus Lincoln which get 800.

Director Gallina said this will be looked at as the Merchant project moves forward.

K. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS/PROJECT STATUS

 Director Gallina announced we will not need to hold a Planning Commission meeting for October 27th, since there are no items scheduled for action. Therefore, she requested that this meeting be adjourned to November 10. She further noted that the October 27 meeting date will be used for a Housing Element Update Advisory Committee meeting as the committee will address written issues that have come forward from the State Department of Housing and Community

Planning Commission Minutes October 13, 2010 Page 15 of 15

Development so we can bring forward a Housing Element the State will support and the City will adopt.

660 adopt.

ADJOURNMENT

There was motion by **Chairman Manfredi**, seconded by **Commissioner Coates** to adjourn to the regular Planning Commission meeting of Wednesday, November 10, 2010, at 5:30 PM. **Motion carried: 5-0-0-0**. The meeting adjourned at 7:04 PM.

668 Kathleen Guill

662

663

664 665

666 667

Secretary to the Planning Commission