CITY OF CALISTOGA PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, February 09, 2011 5:30 PM Calistoga Community Center 1307 Washington St., Calistoga, CA Chairman Jeff Manfredi Vice-Chairman Paul Coates Commissioner Nicholas Kite Commissioner Matthew Moye Commissioner Carol Bush

"California Courts have consistently upheld that development is a privilege, not a right."

Among the most cited cases for this proposition are Associated Home Builders, Inc. v. City of Walnut Creek, 4 Cal.3d633 (1971) (no right to subdivide), and Trent Meredith, Inc. v. City of Oxnard, 114 Cal. App. 3d 317 (1981) (development is a privilege).

Chairman Manfredi called the meeting to order at 5:31 PM.

3 4 A. ROLL CALL

1 2

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2425

26 27

28 29 30

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

Present: Chairman Jeff Manfredi, Commissioners Nicholas Kite, Matthew Moye and Carol Bush.
 Absent: Vice-Chair Paul Coates. Staff Present: Ken MacNab, Planning and Building Manager,
 Erik Lundquist, Senior Planner and Kathleen Guill, Planning Commission Secretary.

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS

D. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

There was motion by **Commissioner Kite**, seconded by **Commissioner Bush** to approve the agenda as provided. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.**

E. COMMUNICATIONS/CORRESPONDENCE

The following late communication was provided to the Commission at the beginning of the meeting.

Email from Mitch Hawkins, Received 02/08/11, regarding Item I.1. U 2000-06(A) – Live Entertainment Management Plan, La Prima Pizza. Attachment 1.

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

Planning Commission regular Meeting Minutes of January 26, 2011.

There was motion by **Commissioner Moye**, seconded by **Commissioner Kite** to approve the Consent Calendar as presented. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0**.

G. TOUR OF INSPECTION

31 None.

H. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Squire & Rothberg Multifamily Apartment Modification Project: Consideration of a Design Review (DR 2011-03) application, requested by William Squire and James Rothberg, to allow modifications to an existing legal non-conforming multifamily apartment building (fourplex) on the property located at 800 Washington Street (APN 011-223-002) having two distinct zoning districts;

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 2 of 8

a "CC-DD", Community Commercial – Design District and "R3", Residential Professional Office District.

Planner Lundquist noted an agenda item description correction identifying the project is actually "CC" located within two zoning districts, the Community Commercial Residential/Professional Office Zoning Districts. He reported this application is solely for Design Review consideration because the property is currently a developed multi-family property and the existing use will continue as a legal non-conforming use. Staff requested the Commission look at the architectural design elements, noting during this hearing we would not be looking at parking or other development standards as those items are generally reviewed during the multi-family use permit authorization.

Planner Lundquist provided a brief historical summary noting the property is developed with three multi-family buildings A, B and C, a pump house and laundry building. He reported Building B was recently renovated due to disrepair in 2008. Building B is a two story building that currently stands 23' 3" in height; other two story buildings within the neighborhood are also designed to 23 feet in height. The applicant is requesting to redevelop Building A due to significant dry rot and a failing roof. Staff has been working with the property owners to develop a plan that meets the policy of the General Plan and the suggestions in the Urban Design Plan. Staff presented elevation drawings describing a two story building with stucco and a "comp" roof. It was noted that "comp" roofs and stucco are called out specific in the Urban Design Plan for the lower Washington area. Staff reported this project provides a unique opportunity for redevelopment and even though there were no restrictions for affordability the owner is agreeable to provide the units at an affordable rate. The architecture is quite typical of Calistoga and its rural residential character. Staffs recommendation was to approve the project design as presented based on the findings and conditions as described in the staff report and draft resolution.

Commissioner Kite questioned if the buildings have been occupied thus enabling the owners to maintain the legal non conforming use.

Planner Lundquist replied "yes", noting they will also continue to vest that right through their application for a building permit.

Bill Squire, 9000 Franz Valley School Rd., co- owner of 800 Washington Street stated Planner Lundquist had described the situation fairly. He noted the building does now have tenants, but have been advised of the project and given notice. During preparations for renovations, structural problems were discovered with the foundation. As a result they have given much consideration on what to do and how to comply with existing rules and regulations. He noted the two story multi-family building is what they have come up with, although maybe in the future they may consider utilizing the first floor level as commercial space.

Chairman Manfredi asked the applicant if they had plans for renovation of the pump house.

Bill Squire reported their long term plans included coming back to the Planning Commission with plans to replace the back building too; and the pump house would then disappear. They are also thinking the back section would lend itself to parking. Right now they are just re-establishing Building A.

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 3 of 8

Chairman Manfredi stated he liked the looks of the proposed project and the contrast with the neighborhood.

Bill Squire stated he thought that Mary Sikes and Associates had done a good job with the design.

Commissioner Kite agreed the design was pretty, although he shared his concern with the intensification of use, especially related to parking. He asked if the owner could impose rules on the number of tenants/persons allowed in each unit, asking if that can be controlled.

Bill Squire stated as landlords they try to regulate the number of people in the unit and noted they have not really experienced too much of a problem with parking. Guidelines with housing allows for a maximum of two people for each bedroom, plus one. He acknowledged that lower Washington does seem to get the maximum population per square footage of space.

Commissioner Kite stated there is a lot of pressure on the neighborhood. Situations with multiple adults in one unit generate additional cars and traffic.

Bill Squire repeated it has not been a problem in their units, in fact they have apartments with tenants where no one owns a car. It has not been an issue, but it is a concern.

Chairman Manfredi closed the public portion of the hearing at 5:45 PM.

Commissioner Bush reported she had walked down and looked at the property and this proposal is a vast improvement over what is there.

Commissioner Moye acknowledged going to see the property and agreed with Commissioner Bush, this will be a great improvement. He suggested the City work with other landlords on Washington Street to encourage others to do what the Squires are doing. He shared his concern for people living in substandard conditions in that vicinity.

Chairman Manfredi asked what the time frame was for starting the project.

Bill Squire stated they have a demo permit and hope to demolish the building next month. They need to modify the plans and process the building permit. They hope to get the proper permits by the end of March or the beginning of April.

There was motion by **Commissioner Kite**, seconded by **Commissioner Bush** to adopt Resolution 2011-06 approving Design Review (DR 2011-03), to allow modifications to an existing legal non-conforming multifamily apartment building (fourplex) on the property located at 800 Washington Street within the "CC-DD", Community Commercial - Design District and "R-3" Residential/Professional Office district (APN 011-214-003) based upon the findings and conditions of approval as provided in the Resolution. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0**.

I. NEW BUSINESS

1. U 2000-06(A): Presentation of the Live Entertainment Management Plan required pursuant to Condition No. 9 of approved Conditional Use Permit U-2000-06(A) allowing amplified music and

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 4 of 8

- 135 live entertainment indoors at La Prima Pizza restaurant located at 1923 Lake Street (APN 011-
- 535-010) within the "CC-DD" (Community Commercial Design District Overlay) Zoning District. 136
- 137 This item is for informational purposes only. No action will be taken by the Planning Commission.

138

- 139 Manager MacNab provided a brief recap reporting in 2009 the Planning Commission approved 140 the amended Conditional Use Permit for La Prima which included extensive review and multiple 141 sound tests, before allowing live entertainment one night per week. Subsequently there was an 142 appeal by Mitch Hawkins and in January 2010 there was a de novo hearing with lots of testimony 143 Ultimately the City Council denied the appeal, upholding the Planning and deliberation. Commission's decision approving La Prima to have live entertainment one night per week.
- 144
- 145 Condition #9 of the use permit required a live entertainment management plan be provided and 146 administratively approved prior to commencement of events. On January 13, 2011 the Planning
- 147 and Building Department received the plan and on January 18 the Planning and Building Director
- 148 Charlene Gallina approved the plan which satisfied the condition. During the previous Planning
- 149 Commissions deliberation it was requested that once a management plan was approved staff 150 should come back to the Planning Commission with a noticed agenda item and present the plan to
- the Commission to report the owner's basic approach on how events will be managed. Although 151
- 152 no action is required of the Commission this provides commissioner's an opportunity to ask Mrs.
- 153 Nunez questions on how the program will work.
- 154 **Chairman Manfredi** opened the item for public discussion at 5:51 PM.
- 155 Caryl Maniscalco, attorney for Mitch Hawkins reported reviewing the document concerning the 156 event plan and reported things that still bothered her as follows:
- 157 • The use permit as amended allows for live entertainment one night a week from 5-9 pm, and 158 yet the plan states every night.
- 159 She took issue with the applicant's attitude stating the applicants tend to scoff at the law and 160 run amuck with the rules.
- 161 • The application actually says they have already provided music, again they do not abide by the rules or laws. 162
- 163 • The staff report and the event plan are contradictory with regard to the allowable hours of music, one calling out no later than 9:00 PM and the other no later than 10:00 PM. 164
- 165 Another consideration should be they are in violation of their liquor license. They are not 166 allowed under their liquor license to have live entertainment. ABC needs to be advised they are 167 approved for live entertainment as part of their use permit.
- 168 The application letter to the commission talks of a dance floor. The live entertainment is to be 169 more incidental to the primary restaurant use, so the dance floor is not permitted under the use 170 permit.
- 171 Manager MacNab stated he did not see a dance floor on the plan and if Ms. Maniscalco is correct 172 it will not be allowed.
- 173 Mitch Hawkins, 1910 Carli Drive stated prior to this meeting he had surveyed around his
- 174 neighborhood and the feedback that most want a professional sound test performed. He provided
- 175 the following objections and comments:

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 5 of 8

- He objected to any intensification of use for this business. Noting during the public hearings
 the Calistoga Police Department had spoken out against intensification of use
- Stated the cities reference to CEQA exemption due to water allocations was not appropriate, and this was not the first time. He read aloud CEQA language related to environmental sensitivity and the neighborhood. He announced the neighborhood deserves a full CEQA environmental review.
- He reminded that this property is within the entry corridor gateway where small town character should be preserved.
- The general rule is there should be no possibility of impact on the environment.
- He reported a previous conversation with the city Building Official Brad Cannon related to permitting and any change of a use permit. At that time Mr. Cannon stated such changes triggered the need to bring the structure up to meet the current Building Code requirements. Mr.
- 188 Cannon had further reported La Prima did not have a certificate of occupancy on file.
- He reported the parking plan was not up to code, stating mowing the area and posting a sign did not meet code requirements.
- He asked the Commission to enforce the rules and codes as written.
- 192 **Betty Nunez**, Sharp Road reported removal of the outdoor speakers, stating they haven't had
- amplified music since the approval. She clarified speakers had been installed but not in use. Ms.
- Nunez responded to the comments about ABC stating they dealt with this last year and they had
- 195 contacted ABC. She advised there have been no noise or trash problems for a whole year,
- everything had been very calm and ABC was not concerned. She also clarified it is clear the
- 197 entertainment has been approved for only one night per week.
- 198 **Chairman Manfredi** asked for confirmation from the owner that she was aware the one night per
- week was for either Friday or Saturday, and then asked where in the plan or report it referenced a
- 200 dance floor.
- Manager MacNab stated he has not found any reference to a dance floor in this proposal, but possibly in the earlier application in August there may have been a proposal for a dance floor.
- 203 Betty Nunez stated initially the intent of her proposal was to have events on the deck including
- events the Chamber or City promotes, especially knowing in previous years her establishment has
- 205 had live entertainment. Considering the neighborhoods opposition they withdrew the request and
- understand the live entertainment will be allowed indoors only. She reiterated it is very clear it is
- 207 allowed either Friday or Saturday, and no later than 9:00 pm. It wouldn't be loud at all, we need to
- 208 be able to take orders over the phone.
- 209 **Commissioner Moye** questioned speakers on the deck.
- 210 **Betty Nunez** stated it was recommended they take them off and replace them facing the building.
- 211 Since that time they have replaced them with low planter/speakers. They are better on the ground
- rather than in the air on the deck. Those speakers were part of a previous use permit for the last
- 213 eight years and provide only background music during restaurant hours. There has never been a
- related complaint. The issue has never been about speakers it was about live entertainment.

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 6 of 8

- 215 **Commissioner Kite** clarified the reference to allowable hours until 10:00 PM seems to be for
- background music and not the live entertainment.
- 217 **Manager MacNab** referenced Resolution 2010-003, Page 3, item 1 clearly identifies the hours for
- 218 live entertainment between the hours of 5:00 and 9:00 PM.
- 219 **Commissioner Kite** provided a word of advice recommending the business owner try not to push
- their use of music to the limit; and try not to push the limits to the furthest extreme or close to the
- property line.
- 222 **Manager MacNab** reported there is only room for a minimal amount of noise. The condition
- doesn't say no live entertainment, it says no noise in the area out of control of the licensee.
- 224 **Betty Nunez** reported because of suggested problems with trash and loud speakers blasting ABC
- 225 had sent an inspector out on three random occasions and found the business to be spotless, with
- regular customer noise that could be found at any restaurant and they said La Prima was fine.
- 227 Manager MacNab stated ABC was very aware of the application and at no time had they
- 228 expressed concern with approval of live indoor entertainment or state it would be in violation of the
- 229 liquor license.
- 230 Commissioner Moye questioned the validity of the comment regarding a certificate of
- 231 occupancy.
- 232 **Manager MacNab** reported he and the Building Official Clif Castle had inspected the facility. The
- restaurant has an occupancy of 80 people posted and Mr. Castle concurred that eighty people is
- acceptable. One correction had been identified, noting the fover door doesn't have an exit sign.
- Once that sign is posted he will re-inspect and will then issue a certificate of occupancy.
- 236 **Mitch Hawkins** approached the podium directing comment to Chairman Manfredi with his
- 237 recollection of previous direction from Chairman Manfredi that the La Prima owners were to
- 238 disconnect or remove the speakers on the deck and no amplified music was to be played until a
- event plan was in place.
- 240
- 241 **Betty Nunez** restated that amplified background music had been allowed on the deck through the
- 242 previous use permit. They have removed and replaced the elevated speakers that faced the
- roadway, with low planter speakers redirected toward the building.
- 244
- 245 **Chairman Manfredi** response to Mr. Hawkins was "no comment".
- Manager MacNab stated he could say that during the recent inspection, he saw that the original
- outdoor speakers were removed, and he did not see the planter speakers. They were not on site
- 248 while they were there.
- 249 **Commissioner Kite** concluded that whenever anything is done in town there are a range of
- views. There are sometimes persons that are heavily opposed and those that don't seem to care.
- 251 The Planning Commission listens to views and tries to come up with a compromise and the
- 252 applicant must meet the behavior as conditioned. The strongest views inevitably will not be happy

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 7 of 8

and we ask the applicant to be aware and keep in the spirit of the compromise; don't push the limits. We also ask those with opposing views to show forbearance as there is never a solution that will please everybody. The Commission and staff try to come up with something that is fair to make for a happier community.

257

258

Chairman Manfredi thanked everyone for coming, no action was taken.

259260

J. MATTERS INITIATED BY COMMISSIONERS

261262

Commissioner Kite inquired what the status was for consideration of a digital tape of meetings on the City web site.

263264265

266267

268

271

274

277

280

283

Manager MacNab reported streaming live or digital documentation on the web was investigated and had a reported cost starting at approximately \$15,000 for setup and a large monthly service fee, and we are not currently in a financial position to do that. He reported archiving video's not broadcasting live may also require upgrades to memory storage.

269 270

It was suggested why not post them on YouTube for free. Staff advised the current taping was not digital.

272273

Commissioner Moye said he thought it was a great idea when he read Commissioner Kites suggestion in the Minutes and it would be very beneficial.

275276

Manager MacNab agreed it is a great resource and that he would further investigate converting to digital and follow up with the Commission next meeting.

278 279

Planner Lundquist noted there would be a live broadcast of the upcoming mediation related to the Rent Stabilization Ordinance on February 16 and 17th, from 9:00 AM, to 5:00 PM.

281 282

Norma Tofanelli stated she was glad we were having this conversation and asked if staff could find a way to provide a CD of meetings when someone wants an audio copy of the meeting. The current audio tape that is provided is difficult to find someone who can play them back.

284 285 286

287

K. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS/PROJECT STATUS

288 289 **Manager MacNab** referenced previous interest with the old vet clinic building and the adjacent vacant property. He reported Chief Mills is currently working with the property owner and has sent some of the diversion program participants to help clean up the lot next to the vacant building.

290291292

293294

Manager MacNab referenced the white envelope distributed to the Commissioners stating enclosed was the Draft Housing Element update. A lengthier full report will be distributed as part of the normal informational packet, but we will not redistribute another Draft Housing Element and Study.

295296

Commissioner Moye inquired about progress with the Shell gas station project.

297298

Planning Commission Minutes February 09, 2011 Page 8 of 8

Manager MacNab reported the applicant wants to proceed but has strung along the process.

There is a balance owed in their Developer Deposit account and as yet he still does not know what they intend to do with the convenience market.

Commissioner Moye couldn't believe County had not shut them down for lack of marking. He though Weights and Measure would tell them to cease and desist by now.

L. ADJOURNMENT

There was motion by Chairman Manfredi, seconded by **Commissioner Bush** to adjourn to the next regular meeting of the Planning Commission scheduled for Wednesday, February 23, 2011, at 5:30 PM. **Motion carried: 4-0-1-0.** The meeting adjourned at 6:23 PM.

312

313 Kathleen Guill

314 Secretary to the Planning Commission

316 Attachment

303

304

305

306

307

315